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ABSTRACT
In previous studies grouped under the common denominator “Idea Collider,” the CERN Hadron-Collider was used as a metaphor to explore the meaning of breaking down existing structured and less-structured clusters of information into the finest particles to get a better understanding of the laws and nature of the universe of knowledge (Heuvel & Smiraglia, 2010). Moreover we compared past conceptualizations and visualizations of multidimensional classifications, in particular of faceted systems, and tried to assess their potential for future information retrieval (Heuvel 2011; Heuvel & Akdag Salah, 2011).

Where most classification theories focused on knowledge integration in a single universe of knowledge, we outlined the framework of an elementary theory of knowledge interaction in a multiverse of knowledge (Smiraglia, Heuvel & Dousa 2011). We believe that similar to the real universe, not only matter, but also energy and gravitational forces are of importance for understanding the multiverse of information better. For that reason we want to elaborate on the question of how one perceives and interacts with knowledge production.

“Likeness” has been a recurrent theme in classification theory. Here the focus is on the concept “likeliness” which we, unlike Hjørland (2008), do not describe in the terms of Richardson and Bliss as “putting together of like things, - likeness” or “alike”, but rather refer to as the probability that a meaningful relationship or pattern will be recognized at a certain moment (event). We assume that the likeliness that knowledge “interacts” is conditioned by cognitive and cultural forces of perception. Vertical and horizontal lines might be considered universally as dividers and connectors, but the way we read ascending or descending steps is culturally defined by differences in reading and writing practices. (see Figure 1)

Figure 1 Cognitive and cultural forces of perception

In order to put our still very abstract elementary theory of knowledge interaction to the test we are in need of empirical research. In this lightning paper examples will be shown from art history, musical notation and literature that are more or less likely to interact. (see Figures 2 and 3)
Figure 2 Lines of vision connecting works with similar features through Dalí’s The three Sphinxes of Bikini

Figure 3 Visual similarities between musical notation of Paysage du temps of Anestis Logothetis and Paul Klee’s painting Highways and Byways

Figure 4 Shamir&Tarakovsky, Phylogeny of Automatic Computer Analysis of Artistic Styles of Painters

The research of such experiments focuses on the concept of “likeness.” The second type of experiment is based on collaborative filtering technology that allow for the deconstruction of various expressions of multi-media. An example is the Art.Similarities experiment (G.J Nauta 2008) which uses a custom-made interface to record visual behavior: the non-verbally expressed visual similarity associations and judgments of distributed individuals which can be assessed both according to human analysis and statistical procedures. This research focuses on the concept of “likeliness.” (see Figure 5)
Furthermore, we will continue our formulation of an elementary theory of knowledge interaction in a multiverse of knowledge. So far, we individuated the components of such a theory derived from epistemology, semiotic theory and phenomenology. We stressed the importance of creating temporary interfaces to knowledge interaction and stated that they can be described in terms of instantiation and event-ontologies (Heuvel & Smiraglia 2010; Heuvel 2012 forthcoming). We challenged the universe of knowledge metaphor, but our multiverse of knowledge is still a metaphor. To see whether we can go beyond the metaphor, our next step will be to look into the theory of transdisciplinarity of the Romanian astro-physicist Basarab Nicolescu. Transdisciplinarity in his view not only solves the problem of reduction of multidimensional knowledge, but also provides an explanation for the dynamics, that is for the interactions, within a discontinuous (knowledge) universe that is compliant to the laws of quantum physics. (see Figure 6)
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Figure 6 Nicolescu Transdisciplinarity Ontology of Levels of Reality and Hidden Third
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