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Fuchs’ theory
Ernst Fuchs, who first described this disease in 1906, assumed that the syndrome was caused by a noxious factor of unknown origin, which was active from fetal or early postnatal life. First, the normal development of uveal pigmentation would be inhibited, resulting in heterochromia (Fig 1). Later, the eye would respond to this pathological agent by a low grade inflammation. Many objections were raised against Fuchs’ theory. The heterochromia was not always congenital and there were no signs of overt ocular inflammation.

Sympathetic theories
The sympathetic theories are based on the fact that sympathetic lesions may be caused by iris hypochromy. Bistis introduced the idea that some ‘trophic’ defect in the sympathetic nerve system inhibited the normal process of uveal pigmentation.

Two other conditions have also been associated with Fuchs’ heterochromic cyclitis (FHC) and a sympathetic defect – ‘status dysraphicus’ and progressive facial hemiatrophy (Parry Romberg syndrome). ‘Status dysraphicus’ was described as a microform of syringomyelia, which was believed to be due to a faulty closure of the primitive neural tube. Sometimes FHC was its sole manifestation. Other abnormalities frequently reported to be part of ‘status dysraphicus’ were: (1) Marfan syndrome and the Parry Romberg syndrome; (2) skeletal abnormalities, such as kyphoscoliosis and ‘funnel chest’; (3) Horner’s syndrome, pigment asymmetry of the nipples; (4) sensory and motor neuron disturbances, such as acrocyanosis, involvement of the fifth, sixth, and seventh cranial nerves.

In 1973, Loewenfeld and Thompson rejected the sympathetic theory. In their literature review of 1746 cases with FHC, only 25 cases (1.4%) with FHC and Horner’s syndrome were found. This was said to be too low an incidence to indicate a relation between FHC and the occurrence of a sympathetic defect (Horner’s syndrome). Loewenfeld and Thompson, however, did not compare this figure (1.4%) with the product of the prevalence of the separate diseases in the general population, which is necessary when a clinical (epidemiological) association is investigated. No evidence was found for the existence of ‘trophic sympathetic nerve fibers’ and it was proved that ‘status dysraphicus’ was a manufactured syndrome without existence in reality.

However, since 1973, four more patients with FHC and the syndrome of Parry Romberg have been reported. In two other cases Horner’s syndrome and FHC developed consecutively in the same eye after stellate ganglionectomy. Five patients with unilateral FHC and ipsilateral Horner’s syndrome were reported. Papillary changes, Horner’s syndrome, and heterochromia are often reported in patients with hemifacial atrophy, and are all signs of an impaired sympa-

thetic nervous system. The leakage seen on the iris fluorescein angiographic studies in FHC may be caused by a disturbance in the iris vessel innervation, which is solely derived from the sympathetic nerve system. Electron microscopic studies in FHC have suggested that the hypochromia may result from a defective melanin production, caused by abnormal adrenergic innervation.

Laties, Ehinger, and others have demonstrated a direct adrenergic innervation of iris stromal melanocytes. For mammals this is extraordinary, because skin melanocytes have no innervation. After denervation of the sympathetic nervous system on one side in young rabbits a significant decrease in activity of the enzyme tyrosinase was found in the ipsilateral, discoloured iris tissue. Moss and Cirkelai demonstrated hemifacial atrophy after unilateral cervical sympathectomy in young rats. Evidence for a neurovascular defect involved in the pathogenesis of hemifacial atrophy was

Figure 1. Eyes of a patient with Fuchs’ heterochromic cyclitis. (A) Normal eye, (B) cyclitic eye.
found in a recent electron microscopic study on human skin biopsy specimens.\textsuperscript{22} The iris hypopigmentation in FHC and Horner’s syndrome may be due to a common factor: a defective production of melanin granules caused by inadequate function of the adrenergic nerves. Furthermore, defective adrenergic innervation of blood vessels in FHC may cause increased permeability of the blood-aqueous barrier with subsequent leaking of proteins, cells, and inflammatory mediators into the aqueous.

**Hereditary theory**

Because two types of heterochromia are dominantly hereditary, namely ‘simple’ uncomplicated heterochromia and heterochromia in Waardenburg syndrome, it was thought that all types of heterochromia, including FHC, were hereditary.\textsuperscript{2}

In 1973, Loewenfeld and Thompson performed a review of 1500 cases with FHC and found only five families with two cases of FHC.\textsuperscript{7} Dernouchamps found six familial cases in the 550 cases with FHC described by members of the IUSG.\textsuperscript{9} The proportion of familial cases is thus very small and does not provide adequate proof for the hereditary theory in FHC. In 1956, Makley\textsuperscript{30} described monozygotic twins who both developed FHC. Recently, another pair of monozygotic twins was reported, of which only one child had FHC.\textsuperscript{31} Studies on HLA typing in patients with FHC have shown no significant deviation in the distribution of HLA-A or B antigens in FHC compared with normal healthy blood donors.\textsuperscript{32} The frequency of HLA-CW3 and HLA-DRW53 was, however, decreased in patients with FHC compared with healthy controls and a possible role for HLA-linked genetic factors in the pathogenesis was suggested.\textsuperscript{33} Further reports with larger series of patients are necessary to confirm this assumption.

**Association with ocular toxoplasmosis**

Three theories explaining the fundus lesions in FHC have thus far been proposed.

1. In 1982, de Abreu et al\textsuperscript{34} reported a high incidence (56.5%) of chorioretinal lesions characteristic of toxoplasmosis in their 23 patients with FHC. Later, this study was confirmed by other authors.\textsuperscript{34-35} It was suggested that these fundus lesions were caused by a (previous) *Toxoplasma gondii* infection.\textsuperscript{34} Until now, only few patients with FHC and active *Toxoplasma* retinochoroiditidis have been described,\textsuperscript{36} who truly support the association between FHC and ocular toxoplasmosis.

The reported prevalence of toxoplasmosis-like lesions in FHC varies considerably between the studies: 7.5 to 65%.\textsuperscript{33-38} This may be due to the fact that lesions in the peripheral retina are often missed in studies based on retrospective analysis. Furthermore, not all authors used the same criteria and some authors\textsuperscript{35} did not even mention their definition of a ‘toxoplasmosis-like’ chorioretinal scar (Fig 2). Another explanation may be that the prevalence of *Toxoplasma* retinochoroiditidis differs between populations\textsuperscript{37} as shown by a difference in prevalence of antibodies against *Toxoplasma*.
gondii. Moreover, Toxoplasma gondii strains may vary in their virulence. Therefore, it is important that a control group from the same population is studied simultaneously. This was done in four studies. A significantly higher percentage of patients with FHC had choriotinal scars consistent with ocular toxoplasmosis than these control groups.

In a recent study, the association between FHC and toxoplasmosis-like scars could not be substantiated by laboratory tests for toxoplasmosis. No active choriotinal lesions, however, were present in the patients with FHC at the time of sampling. Moreover, Toxoplasma serology has no definite diagnostic value for ocular toxoplasmosis, since in the general population a high prevalence of positive titres also exists. In addition, even in children with definite ocular toxoplasmosis a negative Sabin-Feldman dye test was sometimes encountered.

Schwab recently reported that in five of his 16 patients with FHC and toxoplasmosis-like lesions the typical corneal precipitates (Fig 3) were absent. Patients with ocular toxoplasmosis sometimes have clinical findings closely resembling those of FHC. Schwab therefore suggested that ocular toxoplasmosis can create a chronic condition that can resemble FHC, but without the same pathogenesis. This idea needs further investigation.

In addition to toxoplasmosis-like scars, non-specific choriotinal scars and histoplasmosis-like scars were found in patients with FHC. Affa and Schlaegel described two patients with FHC who had fundus lesions characteristic of toxoplasmosis, but negative titres for toxoplasmosis in undiluted serum. They suggested that the choriotinal lesions could result from autoimmunity against retinal or choroidal antigens. In support of this hypothesis, Hey et al found that a significantly higher percentage of patients with FHC had a positive cellular autoimmune response to human retinal S antigen than healthy controls or other patients with anterior uveitis. However, no choriotinal scars were seen in five out of six cases of FHC with a positive immune response to S antigen. Probably the immune sensitisation against S antigen in these patients is a secondary autoimmune (epi-) phenomenon and does not cause the choriotinal lesions. Recently, the presence of messenger RNA (mRNA) of S antigen was demonstrated in irides of patients with uveitis but not from control irides. This finding may indicate a possible role for S antigen in anterior segment inflammation and could account for the fact that some patients with FHC without choriotinal scars had a positive cellular immune response to S antigen.

**Immunological theory**

The hypothesis that a degradation of uveal tissue following an infection could cause an autoimmune reaction against this altered tissue, was one of the earliest immunological theories. It was based on the finding of anti-uvea antibodies at high concentrations in the aqueous humour of patients with FHC. In a more recent study, however, no antibodies against human iris tissue could be demonstrated in any of the serum samples obtained from 27 patients with FHC.

In 1960, an increased level of the gammaglobulin fraction, which later appeared as a relative excess of IgG, was found in the aqueous humour of patients with FHC. Murray et al confirmed these findings of intraocular IgG production. They also demonstrated oligoclonal IgG bands, mainly of the IgG1 subclass, in the aqueous humour of 57% of his patients with FHC. No oligoclonal IgG response was found in the aqueous humour of patients with uveitis. Furthermore, Murray et al demonstrated a local production of the cytokine interleukin 6 (IL-6), in the aqueous humour of 63% of the patients with FHC, but also in 70% of the other types of uveitis.

In a recent immunohistochemical study interleukin 2 receptor negative T helper and T suppressor lymphocytes, B lymphocytes, and plasma cells were demonstrated in four out of eight irides from patients with FHC. Similar findings, however, were also observed in patients with other types of uveitis.

Seventy per cent of all patients with FHC showed a cellular autoimmune response against a major corneal antigen (54 kDa, aldehyde dehydrogenase). Autoantibodies against corneal epithelium were recently demonstrated in almost 90% of the patients with FHC.

No abnormalities have been detected in any of the following immunological parameters in the peripheral blood of patients with FHC: immunoglobulin G, IgA, IgM, rheumatoid factor, C reactive protein, antinuclear antibodies, antiglomerular basement membrane antibodies, antigliadin antibodies, antinuclear antibodies, antilow molecular weight antibodies, total lymphocyte count, total number of T cells, number of helper or suppressor T cells, or soluble serum ICAM-1, a circulating adhesion molecule.

**Authors' hypothesis**

FHC (Fig 4) seems a complex disease with various clinical features and multiple causes. The high incidence of cellular immunity and humoral immunity against corneal antigens may be associated with the typical keratic precipitates. It is tempting to speculate that the diffuse scattering of these keratic precipitates on the corneal endothelium in FHC represents (cellular) immune responses to (a) corneal anti-
gen(s), in comparison with the usually inferior distribution of keratic precipitates in other forms of anterior uveitis. Indeed, as reported by Foets, human corneal endothelial cells should be considered as 'active' immunomodulating cells because of their ability to express MHC class II antigens and immune adhesion molecules. The sensitisation against retinal S antigen found in patients with FHC, is even more conspicuous. Arrocker and Murray independently demonstrated that a high proportion of patients with FHC had an increased level of interleukin 2 receptor (IL-2R), a marker of (T) lymphocytic activation. Such a high incidence of cellular autoimmunity against various ocular antigens is remarkable.

One has to keep in mind that the eye is an immunologically privileged site, as shown by the ACAID (anterior chamber associated immune deviation) phenomenon, when soluble antigens are placed within the anterior chamber, a systemic antigen specific inhibition of delayed type hypersensitivity (DTH) to this antigen occurs. Also within the anterior chamber itself, expression of cell mediated immunity of DTH is strongly inhibited. The aqueous humour in normal eyes seems to be a powerful inhibitor of certain aspects of antigen driven T cell function, a capacity which may be largely conferred by the cytokine transforming growth factor β (TGF-β) secreted by iris and ciliary body parenchymal cells, and the neuropeptides, α-melanocyte stimulating hormone (α-MSH) and vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP), produced by ocular neurons. Intracameral injections of a subinflammatory dose of interferon γ (IFN-γ), a cytokine that antagonises TGF-β, resulted in a disturbance of this immunosuppressive microenvironment and the development of severe intraocular inflammation.

In FHC, this immunosuppressive mechanism (ACAIID), may be diminished; the increased lymphocytic sensitisation found in these patients points in this direction. Perhaps the concentration of the immunosuppressive TGF-β is decreased as a result of the atrophic process of the iris, also affecting the parenchymal cells.

Until now, also in studies using modern sensitive techniques, no specific (immuno-)histopathological abnormalities were found in the irides of patients with FHC. The detection of oligoclonal IgG bands in the aqueous humour of these patients seems to be the only specific (immunological) abnormality detected until now. It may imply that a small number of intraocular B cells is stimulated by an (as yet unidentified) specific antigen, which may be part of an infectious agent or an intraocular autoantigen. This B cell stimulation may be the result of interleukin 6 (IL-6) production, demonstrated in the aqueous humour of patients with FHC.

It was found that IL-6 significantly upregulated the expression of ICAM-1 on skin melanocytes, an adhesion molecule involved in the process of antigen presentation. Skin melanocytes have recently been shown to be capable of processing and presenting antigenic peptide fragments (after stimulation with IFN-γ) to cytotoxic T cell clones in an MHC-II restricted manner. Such melanocytes may thus
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Figure 4  Patient with Fuchs' heterochromic cyclitis and cataract of the left eye.

Figure 5  Schematic diagram of Fuchs' heterochromic cyclitis as secondary phenomenon. Underlined are the causes which may lead to Fuchs' heterochromic cyclitis. Clinical and (immuno)histopathological findings in this eye disease are in italic. All other findings and pathogenetic mechanisms in this diagram are hypothetical.
function as target cells in T cell mediated (auto)immune reactions, leading to the destruction of these melanocytes with areas of depigmentation of the skin, as may be seen in vitiligo, a presumed autoimmune disease. Whether autoantibodies against iris tissue, however, could be demonstrated in patients with FHC, the release of neuropeptides may be disturbed owing to a defect of the sympathetic nerve system. Subsequently, the function of (vascular) endothelial cells and the immunosuppressive microenvironment of the aqueous humour may be altered. Because the stromal melanocytes of the iris are derived from the neural crest, it is important to remark that TGF-β has been suggested to play a crucial role in the differentiation of neural crest cells into the connective tissues of the eye during embryogenesis.

Via a complex pathway of a secondary (auto)immune response, a congenital Toxoplasma gondii infection may be responsible for the secondary development of FHC in a small subgroup of patients. Nussenblatt suggested that the inflammatory retinal response in ocular toxoplasmosis is, at least in part, autoimmune. Also, in FHC a secondary autoimmune response may play a role. When a mother is infected during pregnancy, the neurotrophic Toxoplasma parasites may migrate into the retina. In utero, in patients with FHC, the Toxoplasma parasites may also have migrated into the iris. Toxoplasmal cysts have been found in the iris. By causing a local destruction of these tissues (iris, retina), a release of potent ocular antigens into the circulation may result, leading to a sensitisation against retinal (S) antigen(s) and/or iris antigens. A cellular autoimmune response to S antigen could be demonstrated in patients with FHC. Whether a cellular immune response against iris antigens exists in patients with FHC has not been investigated. One can also imagine that if the Toxoplasma parasites have gained access to the retina during pregnancy, they may have altered the production of TGF-β and the neuropeptides of MSF and VIP, by a local destruction of the iris and ciliary neurons. This may lead to a disturbance of the immunosuppressive properties of the aqueous humour (ACAID) and subsequently the development of an anterior uveitis. Indeed, recently TGF-β was found to be decreased in experimental uveitis.

In addition to toxoplasmosis, FHC has been reported in combination with a retinitis pigmentosa-like clinical picture, ocular trauma, the subclavian steal syndrome, hemifacial atrophy, Horner’s syndrome, and Moebius syndrome. The term FHC is merely descriptive; there is (still) no diagnostic test for this eye disease. Moreover, it is hardly likely that the clinical ‘syndrome’ of FHC has a single etiology. Many pathogenetic mechanisms have been proposed, which all made sense in some aspects. FHC may have multiple causes. A number of different abnormalities may trigger the eye, which may have only a limited set of uretoretal responses, to react in a particular (pathway), yielding the clinical end state of FHC. Such a stimulus may be immunological, infectious, or a combination of both. It may cause the release of potent autoantigens, leading to a common pathway of a secondary autoimmune uveitis that becomes self-perpetuating. FHC therefore is probably a secondary phenomenon with a spectrum of clinical features and multiple causes (Fig 5).


