Afferent neuropeptide Y projections to the ventral tegmental area in normal-weight male Wistar rats
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Abstract
The hypothalamic neuropeptide Y (NPY) circuitry is a key regulator of feeding behavior. NPY also acts in the mesolimbic dopaminergic circuitry, where it can increase motivational aspects of feeding behavior through effects on dopamine output in the nucleus accumbens (NAc) and on neurotransmission in the ventral tegmental area (VTA). Endogenous NPY in the NAc originates from local interneurons and afferent projections from the hypothalamic arcuate nucleus (Arc). However, the origin of endogenous NPY in the VTA is unknown. We determined, in normal-weight male Wistar rats, if the source of VTA NPY is local, and/or whether it is derived from VTA-projecting neurons. Immunocytochemistry, in situ hybridization and RT-qPCR were utilized, when appropriate in combination with colchicine treatment or 24 hr fasting, to assess NPY/Npy expression locally in the VTA. Retrograde tracing using cholera toxin beta (CTB) in the VTA, fluorescent immunocytochemistry and confocal microscopy were used to determine NPY-immunoreactive afferents to the VTA. NPY in the VTA was observed in fibers, but not following colchicine pretreatment. No NPY- or Npy-expressing cell bodies were observed in the VTA. Fasting for 24 hr, which increased Npy expression in the Arc, failed to induce Npy expression in the VTA. Double-labeling with CTB and NPY was observed in the Arc and in the ventrolateral medulla. Thus, VTA NPY originates from the hypothalamic Arc and the ventrolateral medulla of the brainstem in normal-weight male Wistar rats. These afferent connections link hypothalamic and brainstem processing of physiologic state to VTA-driven motivational behavior.
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INTRODUCTION
Neuropeptide Y (NPY) is one of the most potent orexigenic peptides. Hypothalamic arcuate nucleus (Arc) NPY neurons and their projections throughout the hypothalamus have received substantial attention with regards to the role of NPY in feeding behavior (Clark, Kalra, & Kalra, 1985; Mercer, Chee, & Colmers, 2011; Stanley, Chin, & Leibowitz, 1985). However, it is now recognized that NPY interacts with the reward-related brain circuitry to regulate motivational aspects of feeding behavior (Liu & Borgland, 2015; Pandit, la Fleur, & Adan, 2013; Quarta & Smolders, 2014).
For example, administration of NPY into the lateral ventricle of rats increases motivation to obtain food pellets (Jewett, Cleary, Levine, Schaal, & Thompson, 1995). The ventral tegmental area (VTA) and nucleus accumbens (NAc) are important components of the reward-related brain circuitry in mediating feeding-related motivational behavior (Hernandez & Hoebel, 1988; Meye & Adan, 2014; Wise, 2004). We have previously demonstrated that NPY infusion specifically into the VTA or NAc but not in the hypothalamus, increases motivation to work for sugar without affecting intake of freely available sugar (Pandit, Luijendijk, Vanderschuren, la Fleur, & Adan, 2014). In line with the effects of NPY on motivation, intracerebral and intra-NAc NPY infusions increase dopamine concentrations in the NAC (Quarta, Leslie, Carletti, Valerio, & Cabelotto, 2011; Sorensen et al., 2009). NPY also directly affects VTA dopaminergic neurotransmission via multiple pre- and postsynaptic mechanisms (Korotkova, Brown, Sergeeva, Ponomarenko, & Haas, 2006; West & Roseberry, 2017).

The effects of NPY on motivational behavior or mesolimbic neurotransmission have, however, been studied by applying exogenous NPY in the brain, and to date, no studies have investigated the role of endogenous NPY in these effects. The presence of NPY-1 and -5 receptors (NPY1R, NPY5R) in the NAc and VTA indicates that endogenous NPY can interact with the reward-related brain circuitry (Korotkova et al., 2006; Parker & Herzog, 1999). For the NAc, the origin of endogenous NPY is both local as well as from an afferent Arc projection (Chronwall et al., 1985; de Quidt & Emson, 1986; van den Heuvel et al., 2015). In contrast, the origin of endogenous NPY in the VTA is currently unknown. Studies on NPY-like immunoreactivity have demonstrated inconsistent results, reporting either the presence or absence of NPY-like immunoreactive cell bodies in the VTA (Chronwall et al., 1985; de Quidt & Emson, 1986; Everitt et al., 1984). Furthermore, no studies to date have directly analyzed VTA NPY afferent projections using a neuroanatomical tracing approach. Endogenous NPY in the VTA could thus originate from local VTA interneurons, from afferent projections, or from both.

The aim of this study was to determine the source of endogenous NPY in the VTA. In addition, we investigated whether local VTA NPY expression is influenced by the physiologic state of the animal. Many NPY neurons in the brain present neuroanatomical features of interneurons (de Quidt & Emson, 1986). Therefore, we hypothesized that the VTA would contain NPY-expressing interneurons. In addition, we hypothesized that the Arc and several brainstem nuclei would contain NPY-positive projections to the VTA, as these brain areas are known to contain NPY-immunoreactive neurons as well as to project to the VTA (Chronwall et al., 1985; de Quidt & Emson, 1986; Geisler & Zahm, 2005; Yamazoe, Shiosaka, Emson, & Tohyama, 1985). We investigated local VTA NPY/Npy expression using immunocytochemistry and in situ hybridization in normal-weight male Wistar rats. The effect of physiologic state on VTA NPY expression was measured by real time qPCR (RT-qPCR) of VTA brain punches of 24 hr-fasted and nonfasted controls. Afferent NPY VTA projections were determined following infusion of the fluorescence-conjugated retrograde tracer cholera toxin-Beta (CTB) into the VTA, using fluorescent immunocytochemistry and confocal microscopy to visualize colocalization with NPY-immunoreactive neurons. We examined brain regions that have been described to contain NPY-expressing neurons as well as to project to the VTA. In addition, as not all Arc → VTA projecting neurons showed NPY immunoreactivity, we also assessed whether these neurons colocalized with proopiomelanocortin (POMC), the other major neuronal population in the Arc (Cone, 2005). This is the first study to systematically investigate the nature of the neuroanatomical relationship between NPY and the VTA of the reward-related brain circuitry.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Animals

Male Wistar rats (Charles River breeding Laboratories, Sulzfeld, Germany), weighing 240–280 g at arrival, were habituated to a temperature (21–23°C) and light-controlled room (12:12 hr light/dark cycle, 07:00–19:00 lights on) of the animal facility of the Netherlands Institute of Neuroscience. Rats had ad libitum access to a container with standard high carbohydrate diet (Teklad global diet 2918; 24% protein, 58% carbohydrate, and 18% fat, 3.1 kcal/g, Envigo) and a bottle of tap water. The animal care committees of the Amsterdam UMC of the University of Amsterdam and the Netherlands Institute for Neuroscience approved all experiments according to Dutch legal ethical guidelines.

### 2.2 Fluorescent immunocytochemistry

Every other VTA slice was used from colchicine-infused rats from the retrograde tracing experiment in which the tracer injection was not apparent (N = 4; see Retrograde tracing section below for details) or naïve rats perfused with cold saline and 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) after i.p. injected pentobarbital (N = 3). Brains were postfixed for 24 hr in 4% PFA and cryoprotected in 30% sucrose/PBS, and subsequently frozen on dry ice and stored at −80°C. Cryostat sections (35 μm) were kept at −20°C in cryoprotectant (30%v/v glycerol, 30%v/v glyceraldehyde, 40%v/v 10xPBS). Arc slices were used as positive controls and tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) staining was used to visualize dopaminergic neurons in the VTA.

Free-floating sections were washed in tris–buffered saline (TBS; 50 mM Tris–Cl, 150 mM NaCl; pH 7.6) and incubated with 1:1000 rabbit anti-NPY (Niekpe 26/11/1988, RRID: AB_2753189, Netherlands Institute for Neuroscience; Buiks et al., 1989) and 1:1,000 mouse anti-tyrosine hydroxylase (#MAB318, RRID: AB_2201528, EMD Millipore Corporation, Temecula) in superfund (0.15 M NaCl, 0.05 M Tris, 0.25%w/v gelatin, 0.5% v/v Triton X-100, pH 7.6 at RT) in a humidified chamber for 1 hr at RT and overnight at 4°C. After TBS washes, sections were incubated for 1 hr at RT with 1:400 biotinylated goat anti-mouse IgG (H + L) (BA1206, Vector Laboratories, Burlingham) in superfund. After TBS washes, sections were incubated with 1:500 Alexa Fluor-488 donkey anti-rabbit IgG (H + L) (A21206, Molecular Probes) for 1 hr at RT, then washed, and stored in the dark at 4°C.
Procedures for immunocytochemistry for POMC are similar to those described for NPY staining. Briefly, slices were used from brains with tracer infused into the VTA (N = 6; same animals as for NPY retrograde tracing). In chronological order, antibodies for the different incubation steps were: 1:8,000 rabbit anti-POMC antibody (Lot. 01643-3, Cat# H-029-030, RRID: AB_2307442, Phoenix Pharmaceuticals, CA); 1:400 biotinylated anti-rabbit IgG (H + L) (BA1000; Vector Laboratories, Burlingham); 1:500 Alexa Fluor-647-Streptavidin (s32357, Invitrogen, Carlsbad), and 1:150 Hoechst (Pure Blue nuclear staining dye 33342; Biorad Laboratories, Hercules). Sections were stored as mentioned above.

2.3 | Fluorescent in situ hybridization

After 7 days in the animal facility, naïve animals were sacrificed by decapitation after 30%CO2/70%O2 anesthesia for tissue collection (N = 6). Brains were rapidly dissected, frozen on dry ice and stored at −80°C. Cryostat sections (35 μm) of the Arc and VTA were mounted, air-dried (40 min at 37°C) and stored at −80°C.

Tissue, materials and solutions were kept in RNAse-free conditions until after the stringency washes (see below). Arc sections and every other VTA section was defrosted and fixed in 4%w/v PFA in PBS (20 min at 4°C). Sections were then pretreated with 0.25%w/v acetic anhydride in 0.1 M triethanolamine, washed in PBS and delipidated with 0.1% Triton X-100/PBS (10 min). After a PBS and 1x standard saline citrate solution (SSC) wash, sections were prehybridized for 1 hr in hybridization buffer (HB) containing 50%v/v formamide, 600 mM NaCl, 10 mM HEPES Buffer (pH 7.5), 5×Denhardt’s solution, 1 mM EDTA and 200 μg/mL denatured herring sperm DNA in Ultra-pure water. Sections were hybridized overnight with HB containing 3,000 ng/mL denatured antisense NPY RNA probe (Larhammar, Ericsson, & Persson, 1987) in a humidified chamber at 55°C. Sequential stringency washes included: 5×SSC (5 min, 55°C), 2×SSC (1 min, 55°C), 0.2x SSC/50%v/v formamide (30 min, 55°C), and 0.2×ssc (5 min, RT). Immunological detection ensued with 5 min washing in buffer 1 containing 100 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl in Ultra-pure water (pH 7.5), 1 hr incubation with 1%w/v blocking reagent (11096176001, Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim Germany) in buffer 1, 5 min washing in buffer 1, and 3 hr incubation in 1:1,000 anti-DIG alkaline phosphatase (11093279410, Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) in buffer 1. After washing (buffer 1: 2x 15 min; buffer 2: 100 mM Tris, 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl2, in Ultra-pure water, pH 9.5; 1x 5 min), sections were developed by overnight incubation with NBT/BCIP coloring solution (337.5 μg/mL nitroblue tetrazolium, 175.4 μg/mL 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate p-toluidine salt, 240 μg/mL levamisole in buffer 2). After sequential washing (distilled water, 100% methanol, distilled water; 5 min), sections were prepared for TH detection. All following incubations were separated by TBS washes.

Sections were incubated in 1:1,000 mouse anti-TH in supermix in a humidified chamber for 1 hr at RT and overnight at 4°C, for 1 hr with 1:400 biotinylated goat anti-mouse IgG (H + L) in supermix, and with 1:800/1:800 avidin/biotin complex (PK-6100, Vector Laboratories, Burlingham) in supermix for 1 hr at RT. Sections were developed with a Vector Red kit (SK-5100, Vector Laboratories, Burlingham), coverslipped and stored as mentioned above. Arc sections were used as a positive control. As previously tested with this NPY probe (van den Heuvel et al., 2014), no staining was seen in negative controls of VTA and Arc slices incubated without NPY probe.

2.4 | The effect of metabolic state on VTA NPY mRNA levels

After 7 days in the animal facility, naïve rats were fasted for 24 hr (10:00–10:00) or kept under ad libitum conditions (N = 8 per group), and decapitated after 33%CO2/66%O2 anesthesia. Brains were rapidly dissected, frozen on dry ice and stored at −80°C.

Sections (250 μm) were cut on the cryostat to obtain punches of the Arc (Bregma −1.72 to −3.48 mm) and bilaterally of the VTA (Bregma −4.68 to −6.24 mm [Paxinos & Watson, 2007]). Sections were placed in RNAlater (Ambion, Waltham, MA) and punched with a 1 mm-diameter blunt needle. Pulses were stored in 300 μL (Arc) or 500 μL (VTA) TriReagent. After homogenization using an Ultra Turrax homogenizer (IKA, Staufen, Germany), total RNA was isolated by a chloroform extraction followed by RNA purification using the Machery Nagel nucleopspin RNA clean-up kit. RNA quality was determined using Agilent RNA nano chips, using the manufacturer’s kit and instructions, and was analyzed with a Bioanalyzer (Agilent, Santa Clara). Only RIN values larger than 8.50 were included. cDNA synthesis was carried out using equal RNA input (124.4 ng; measured with Denovix DS11; Denovix, Wilmington) and the transcriptor first-strand cDNA synthesis kit with oligo d(T) primers (0489703001; Roche Molecular Biochemicals, Mannheim, Germany). Genomic DNA contamination was controlled for by cDNA synthesis reactions without reverse transcriptase.

Gene expression was measured using RT-qPCR with the SensiFAST SYBR no-rox kit (Bioline, London, UK) and Lightcycler® 480 (Roche Molecular Biochemicals); 2 μL cDNA was incubated in a final reaction volume of 10 μL reaction containing SensiFAST and 25 ng per primer (see Table 1 for primer sequences). PCR products were analyzed on a DNA agarose gel for qPCR product size.

2.5 | Retrograde tracing from the ventral tegmental area

After 7 days in the animal facility, rats (N = 22) were implanted with two cannulas; in the right VTA for the infusion of the retrograde tracer CTB conjugated to Alexa-555 (C-22843, Invitrogen, Bleiswijk, The Netherlands), and in the left lateral ventricle (LV) for the infusion of cholchicine to block neuronal transport, which is required to visualize NPY cell bodies in the medial basal hypothalamus (de Quijdt & Emson, 1986). Stereotoxic surgeries and infusions were performed as described previously (van den Heuvel et al., 2014). Rats were anesthetized with a mix of ketamine (80 mg/kg; Eurovet Animal Health, Bladel, The Netherlands), xylazine (8 mg/kg; Bayer Health Care, Mijdrecht, The Netherlands), and atropine (0.1 mg/kg; Pharmachemie B.V., Haarlem, The Netherlands) intraperitoneally and fixed in a permanent stainless steel guide cannulas were placed in the periost. Permanent stainless steel guide cannulas were placed in the periost.
angle of 10° in the frontal plane and A/P: −0.8 mm, L: +1.8 mm, and V: 4.0 mm below the surface of the brain, respectively. Cannulas were secured to the skull by three anchor screws and dental cement. Cannula’s were occluded by stainless steel dummy cannula’s. Immediately after surgery, rats received an analgesic subcutaneously (Carprofen, 0.5 mg/100 g body weight) and were housed individually for the rest of the experiment.

Directly after surgery and using pressure injection (Yi et al., 2006), 50-100 nL 1% CTB-Alexa555 was infused into the VTA at an infusion rate of 0.3 μL/min using a syringe infusion pump (Harvard apparatus) with a 10 μL Hamilton syringe connected to an injector (33 gauge, PlasticsOne) that extended 1 mm below the guide cannula. Ten days later, rats were anesthetized with pentobarbital and 100 μL saline followed by 4% PFA in 0.1 mol/L PBS (pH 7.6; 4°C). Brains were removed and, after 24 hr postfixation, cryoprotected in 30% sucrose in PBS at 4°C. C. Brains were frozen on dry ice and stored at −80°C. A cut was made in the cortex to allow determination of the ipsi- and contralateral side of the tracer infusion. Slicing, storing and staining procedures were performed as for fluorescent immunohistochemistry above. The injection site was determined in VTA slices counterstained with anti-tyrosine hydroxylase (1:1,000 rabbit anti-TH; T8700, Sigma-Aldrich, Zwijndrecht, The Netherlands) and biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG (H + L) (1:400, BA1000, Vector laboratories) and Alexa Fluor 647-Streptavidin (1:500, s32357, Invitrogen). Slices throughout the rostral-caudal length of the brain were stained for NPY as described above to determine colocalization with CTB (see below for details of the analysis). Slices were coverslipped and stored as written above for fluorescent immunocytochemistry.

### 2.6 Antibody characterization

All primary antibodies used in this study have been extensively characterized. The specificity of anti-NPY was tested by preabsorption controls on human infundibular brain tissue (homologous to the rat Arc) and formalin-fixed porcine NPY to nitrocellulose paper, as well as by testing for cross-reactivity with several peptides formalin-fixed onto gelatin-coated nitrocellulose paper (Buijs et al., 1989; Goldstone, Unmehopa, Bloom, & Swaab, 2002; van der Beek et al., 1992; van Wamel, Aziz, Anink, Roos, & Swaab, 2013). The specificity of anti-POMC was tested by preabsorption controls on Arc brain sections containing POMC neurons, where staining was abolished (Wittmann et al., 2017). The specificity of anti-TH was tested by Western Blot analysis of recombinant TH and of VTA protein homogenates, which showed that it recognized a single band of 56–60 kDa, and the absence of staining in midbrain-containing brain slices of animals in which dopamine neurons where specifically lesioned by 6-hydroxydopamine (Wang & Morales, 2008). We again confirmed the specificity of all primary and secondary antibodies by omitting the primary antibody in the staining procedure of Arc or VTA slices. This consistently resulted in complete absence of staining in the Arc or VTA. We additionally used Western Blotting to confirm that the POMC antibody specifically recognizes a 29 kDa protein in Arc lysates from naïve male Wistar rats, and that the TH antibody specifically recognizes a 55–60 kDa protein in VTA lysates. Finally, the staining patterns of all primary antibodies are in accordance with previous studies that characterized NPY and POMC staining in the Arc, and TH staining in the VTA (Wang & Morales, 2008; Wittmann et al., 2017). See Table 2 for a summary of the antibodies used in this study.

### 2.7 Microscopy and image analyses

VTA slices stained for NPY mRNA were analyzed by light microscopy using a Zeiss Axioskop 980 with 2.5× (n.a. 0.075) and 10× (n.a. 0.30) PlanNeofluar objectives (Zeiss) and a color camera (EvolutionMP; QImaging). Fluorescent stained slices were analyzed using widefield fluorescent microscopy on a Zeiss Axioskop 980 with excitation filters 365/12 nM (Hoechst) 560/40 nM (Alexa Fluor 488), 605/50 nM (Alexa Fluor 647) and emission filters >397 nM, 603/75 nM, 515/30 nM, and 670/50 nM, respectively. Images were obtained with a black and white camera (ExiAqua, QImaging) and ImageProPlus software (version 6.3, Media Cybernetics). Colocalization was confirmed with confocal image series.
made with a Leica TCS SP8 X confocal microscope encompassing a Z-stack of the entire slice thickness and sequential excitation with a diode for Hoechst (450 nm), and a white light laser for CTB (555 nM) and NPY (647 nM). Hybrid detection settings were 415–460 nM, 565–605 nM, and 657–700 nM, respectively. All images were taken with the same excitation and emission parameters. Image series were taken with 20× (n.a. 0.75) and 63× (n.a. 1.40) oil-immersion objectives with Immersol 518F (Zeiss) acquired at a digital resolution of 1024 × 1024 pixels in a unidirectional scan. Minimal Z-stack resolution was 2.50 μM.

For retrograde tracing, all LV cannulas were placed correctly as determined using thionine staining. Animals were included in retrograde tracing analysis when CTB and TH-fluorescence overlapped with minimal CTB outside the TH-stained area or when the CTB fluorescent pattern was comparable to Geisler and Zahm (2005), using a similar approach to map all afferent projections to the VTA. Widefield fluorescent images were analyzed with ImageJ (Rasband, http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/, 1997–2005) and confocal images with LAS AF Lite X (version 2.6.3, Leica microsystems).

Every 10th slice was selected, stained and counted for the entire brain (Bregma 5.64 till –15.00 mm). For the Arc region (Bregma –1.08 till –4.68 mm) every 6th slice was sampled. Regions of interest were selected based on known VTA afferents from literature (Geisler & Zahm, 2005; Yetnikoff, Lavezzi, Reichard, & Zahm, 2014) and which express NPY peptide. In widefield images for investigation of colocalization, structures were delineated based on anatomical markers (visual anatomical structures such as ventricles or fiber tracts, and DAPI-staining) and NPY staining patterns. In regions where colocalization was detected, regions of interest were determined based on, and therefore always completely encompassed, the staining pattern of NPY or POMC in Z-stack confocal image series as those areas lack specific anatomical markers. Arc POMC neurons extend laterally into the peri-arcuate region, therefore POMC analysis included this area. For the brainstem region, this area was conform to the A1 and C1 catecholaminergic regions as described previously (Everitt et al., 1984; Harfstrand, Fuxe, Terenius, & Kalia, 1987; Sawchenko & Swanson, 1982). The Arc was thus contained in 11 sections at 210 μm intervals, and the brainstem area containing colocalization was contained in 8 sections at 350 μm intervals.

In structures determined to contain colocalization (by widefield image analysis and verification by confocal microscopy), the number of labeled neurons were counted in all sections that contained the structure according to the rat brain atlas (Paxinos & Watson, 2007). Structures were counted as cells if they contained a Hoechst-stained nucleus that was completely contained in the Z-stack, showed fluorescence at least 2× above background (executed by excluding signal intensity below 2× background, which was determined in slices incubated according to the staining protocol without primary antibody), and did not show an aberrant staining pattern (e.g., red or green fluorescence in the nucleus). The abundance of NPY cells in the Arc complicated their counting. Therefore, all CTB-containing cells within the NPY-regions were counted by scrolling through the Z-stack in the red and blue channel and labeling CTB neurons according to our criteria. Subsequently, colocalization was determined in recognized CTB cells by enabling the green channel within as well as next to the red channel. CTB-positive and CTB/NPY-positive neurons were counted ipsilateral and contralateral to the CTB infusion site. As all six rats showed a consistent number of CTB and CTB/NPY-positive neurons, the data are presented as the mean of the estimated total neuron number (N), calculated using the following equation: 

\[
N = \frac{\Sigma Q^-}{t/h} \cdot \frac{t}{asf} \cdot \frac{1}{ssf}
\]

where \(\Sigma Q^-\) = total number of neurons counted per region, \(t\) = thickness of the section, \(h\) = height of the section, asf = areal sampling fraction, and ssf = section sampling fraction (West, Slomianka, & Gundersen, 1991). In our study \(t/h\) is equal to 1 as the entire section thickness was included, and \(1/asf\) also equals 1 as the entire area of the region of interest was included. Therefore, the used formula equals to \(N = \Sigma Q^- \cdot 1/ssf\) with ssf = 6 for the Arc and ssf = 10 for the brainstem. Subsequently, the percentage CTB-NPY/total CTB cells was calculated per hemisphere. In all

### Table 2: Antibodies used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody (dilution)</th>
<th>Immunizing agent</th>
<th>Manufacturer/Cat#/RRID/Clonality</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-NPY (1:1,000)</td>
<td>Full porcine NPY-peptide conjugated to thyroglobulin with glutaraldehyde: YPSKPDPNGEDAPAE DLARYYSALRHYNLTRQRY-NH2</td>
<td>Netherlands Institute for Neuroscience/n.a./RRID: AB_2753189/polyclonal</td>
<td>(Buijs et al., 1989; Goldstone et al., 2002; van der Beek et al., 1992; van Wamelen et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-TH (1:1,000)</td>
<td>Tyrosine hydroxylase purified from PC12 cells</td>
<td>EMD Millipore Corporation/#MAB318/RRID: AB_2201528/monoclonal</td>
<td>(Wang &amp; Morales, 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-TH (1:1,000)</td>
<td>SDS-denatured rat tyrosine hydroxylase purified from pheochromocytoma</td>
<td>Sigma–Aldrich/#T8700/n.a./polyclonal</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotinylated goat anti-mouse IgG (H + L) (1:400)</td>
<td>Mouse IgG</td>
<td>Vector Laboratories/BA9200/RRID: AB_2336171/polyclonal</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG (H + L) (1:400)</td>
<td>Rabbit IgG</td>
<td>Vector Laboratories/BA1000/RRID: AB_2313606/polyclonal</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexa Fluor-488 donkey anti-rabbit IgG (H + L) (1:500)</td>
<td>Rabbit IgG</td>
<td>Invitrogen/A21206/RRID: AB_2535792/polyclonal</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.a. = not applicable.
other regions, confocal microscopy confirmed absence of colocalization. Counts were performed independently by two investigators.

### 2.8 Statistics and analyses

RT-qPCR quantification was performed using LinReg Software (Ramakers, Ruijter, Deprez, & Moorman, 2003). Samples deviating >5% from the mean PCR efficiency and outliers (Grubb’s test) were excluded. Factor_qPCR (version January 2016.0; Ruijter et al., 2006) was used for factor correction, and values were normalized using the geometric mean of three reference gene values (Ubiquitin-C, Hypoxanthine guanine phosphoribosyl transferase and Cyclophilin-A; see Table 1). All values were normalized to ad libitum controls. Compliance with normality and equal variance assumptions were confirmed with Shapiro–Wilk and Levene’s test for equal variances, respectively. Differences between groups were then evaluated using Two-way ANOVA analysis followed by the Bonferroni method for multiple comparisons (IBM SPSS version 24). All data are presented as mean ± SEM.

### 3 RESULTS

#### 3.1 Assessment of local NPY/Npy expression in the VTA

Many NPY-expressing neurons present anatomical characteristics representative of interneurons (de Quindt & Emson, 1986). Therefore, we first determined whether the VTA contains NPY-immunoreactive cell bodies using fluorescent immunocytochemistry in brain slices containing the VTA (Figure 1a). NPY-immunoreactive fibers were found scattered throughout the VTA (Figure 1b–d,h). In contrast, no NPY-immunoreactive cell bodies were found throughout the full rostro-caudal extent of the VTA. Visualization of NPY can be enhanced by pretreatment of animals with colchicine, which arrests neuronal peptide transport (Borisy & Taylor, 1967; de Quindt & Emson, 1986). Consistent with the effects of colchicine on neuronal peptide transport, no NPY-immunoreactive fibers were found in the VTA (Figure 1e–g). Furthermore, colchicine treatment did not visualize NPY-immunoreactive cell bodies throughout the VTA. Collectively,
these observations indicate that the NPY-immunoreactive fibers are part of an NPY afferent projection to the VTA.

We next used in situ hybridization to confirm the absence of NPY-expressing cell bodies in the VTA. Throughout the VTA, no Npy-expressing cell bodies were observed (Figure 2a,b). In contrast, Npy-expressing cell bodies were observed in the posterior lateral hypothalamic area and the medial supramammillary nucleus (Figure 2a,c), both located adjacent to the anterior VTA. As expected, positive control slices containing the Arc also showed strong expression of Npy in cell bodies (Figure 2d). Taken together, these findings indicate that NPY is not locally produced in the VTA, and that NPY afferents project to the VTA.

3.2 Modulation of Npy expression by physiologic state

Next, we used RT-qPCR, a sensitive method that allows the measurement of very low mRNA copy numbers (Bustin, 2000), to exclude that VTA neurons express Npy. In ad libitum-fed normal weight male rats, Npy expression in VTA punches was practically negligible compared to Npy expression in the Arc, but not completely absent (Figure 3a).

NPY levels vary with physiological state in certain brain regions. For example, a 24 hr fast increases Npy expression in the Arc of the hypothalamus (Hahn, Breininger, Baskin, & Schwartz, 1998; Marks, Li, Schwartz, Porte Jr., & Baskin, 1992). We therefore determined if 24 hr fasting induces Npy expression locally in the VTA. See Figure 3b for the experimental setup and Figure 3c for punch areas. In accordance with previous studies (Hahn et al., 1998; Marks et al., 1992), Arc Npy expression was increased by ~40% after a 24 hr fast (Figure 3a; Two-way ANOVA interaction: $F_{1,22} = 317.9$, $p < .0001$; post hoc Arc ad lib vs. fasting, $p = .0007$). In contrast, a 24 hr fast did not modulate VTA Npy expression, which remained practically negligible (Figure 3a; post hoc VTA ad lib vs. fasting, $p > .05$). We also measured expression of two NPY receptors, Npy1r and Npy5r, in the VTA. Both receptors were

![FIGURE 2](image-url)
expressed in the VTA, but not regulated by a 24 hr fast (data not shown). Together with our neuroanatomical data, these observations confirm that the origin of endogenous VTA NPY comes predominantly, if not completely, from an afferent projection to the VTA.

3.3 | Identification of NPY-immunoreactive afferents to the VTA

To study the origin of NPY afferents to the VTA, we infused the retrograde tracer CTB into the VTA, followed by infusion of colchicine prior to sacrifice to enhance visualization of NPY (de Quidt & Emson, 1986). Six rats showed CTB infusions confined to the VTA region (Figure 4a–d). In these animals, whole-brain serial sections showed CTB labeling throughout the brain (Figure 5) in a pattern consistent with previously reported VTA afferents (Geisler & Zahm, 2005; Yetnikoff et al., 2014). Throughout the brain, several regions showed both NPY-immunoreactive neurons and CTB-containing neurons. We included all regions known to contain NPY neurons, to project to the VTA and to be involved in motivational or reward-related behavior (see Table 3). In all six rats, the Arc of the hypothalamus contained neurons that were double-stained with NPY and CTB (Figure 6a,b). Over all animals, a mean estimated total number of 293 ± 42 CTB-positive and 64 ± 20 CTB/NPY-positive were calculated ipsilaterally to the infusion site. Contralaterally, a mean estimated total number of 224 ± 40 CTB-positive and 57 ± 21 CTB/NPY-positive neurons were calculated. Of the CTB-positive neurons, a mean percentage of 19.8 ± 5.0% and 21.9 ± 5.8% colocalized with NPY ipsilaterally and contralaterally, respectively, throughout the entire rostro-caudal extent of the Arc. Figure 6c shows the Arc NPY region of interest schematically.

Based on known VTA projections (Geisler & Zahm, 2005; Mejias-Aponte, Drouin, & Aston-Jones, 2009) and brainstem NPY expression (Chronwall et al., 1985; de Quidt & Emson, 1986; Everitt et al., 1984; Yamazoe et al., 1985), we also expected colocalization of CTB and NPY in several brainstem structures and thus analyzed the brainstem of four CTB-infused brains (from Figure 4a–c; magenta, green, black, and orange color-coded animals were analyzed). The ventrolateral medulla showed colocalization of CTB and NPY that was limited to a region from Bregma −12.12 till −15.00 mm (Figure 7a–c). A mean estimated total number of 193 ± 64 CTB-positive neurons and 65 ± 23 CTB/NPY-positive neurons were calculated ipsilaterally. Contralaterally, a mean estimated total number of 230 ± 84 CTB-positive neurons and 28 ± 11 CTB-NPY-positive neurons were calculated. Of the CTB-positive neurons, a mean percentage of 33.8 ± 8.2% and 16.0 ± 6.2% colocalized with NPY ipsilaterally and contralaterally, respectively, in the ventrolateral medulla. Thus, the Arc as well as a part of the ventrolateral medulla of the

**FIGURE 3**  A 24 hr fast increases Npy expression in the Arc, but does not induce Npy expression in the VTA. (a) Npy expression in the Arc and VTA at the beginning of the light period, normalized to three reference genes and expressed as percentage of Arc ad libitum levels. Npy expression in the Arc was modulated by a 24 hr fast (post hoc; \( p < .001 \)). VTA Npy expression was barely detectable compared to Arc levels, and not modulated by a 24 hr fast (post hoc; \( p > .05 \)). (b) Schematic overview of the experimental setup and timeline. Rats were fasted for 24 hr or fed ad libitum before sacrifice at the beginning of the light period. (c) Coronal rat brain drawing indicating the punch areas for the respective regions. Atlas figures adapted from Paxinos and Watson (2007). N = 6/7 per group.
FIGURE 4  CTB infusion sites. (a–c) Overview of the six experimental animals with the retrograde tracer CTB confined to the VTA and used for the analysis. The region with the largest area in the coronal plane is shown at the respective Bregma level. (d) Example of CTB infusion site (magenta area in b), showing tyrosine hydroxylase (TH, green), CTB (magenta) and Hoechst (blue). Atlas figures adapted from the rat brain atlas (Paxinos & Watson, 2007). Scale bar = 500 μm [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

FIGURE 5  Schematic overview of identified VTA afferents using CTB. Schematic sagittal rat brain drawing showing a selection of the brain regions containing CTB neurons (red areas) following CTB infusion into the VTA (blue). The Arc of the hypothalamus and the ventrolateral medulla are the only regions showing CTB/NPY colocalization (areas indicated in yellow). Not all projections are shown for clarity. Atlas figure adapted from the rat brain atlas (Paxinos & Watson, 2007). Arc = arcuate nucleus of the hypothalamus; AMY = amygdala (all amygdala regions); BNST = bed nucleus of the stria terminalis; CI = claustrum; DR = dorsal raphe; EnPir = endopiriform nucleus and piriform cortex; Ins = insular cortex; IPAC = interstitial nucleus of the posterior limb of the anterior commissure; LdTg = laterodorsal tegmental nucleus; LHA = lateral hypothalamic area; LHb = lateral habenula; LC = locus coeruleus; LPO = lateral preoptic area; NAc = nucleus accumbens; PAG = periaqueductal gray; PBP = lateral and medial parabrachial nucleus; VLM = ventrolateral medulla; VTA = ventral tegmental area; ZI = zona incerta [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
brainstem contain NPY-immunoreactive afferents projecting to the VTA in normal-weight male Wistar rats.

### 3.4 Identification of POMC-immunoreactive Arc afferents to the VTA

We next investigated the identity of CTB-positive neurons in the Arc that did not express NPY. POMC-expressing neurons represent another major population of neurons in the Arc, which are a separate from the NPY population, and also project to the VTA (Hahn et al., 1998; Pandit et al., 2015). We confirmed that Arc POMC-immunoreactive neurons project to the VTA, and quantified the Arc POMC projection in the same brains used for NPY tracing (Figure 8a–c). A mean estimated total number of 592 ± 84 CTB-positive neurons and 689 ± 174 CTB/NPY-positive neurons were calculated ipsilaterally to the infusion site. Contralaterally, a mean estimated total number of 134 ± 16 CTB and 142 ± 17

---

**TABLE 3** Overview of NPY-immunoreactive afferent projections to the VTA. Included regions were selected based on the current literature showing both a VTA afferent projection as well as an indication of NPY cell bodies by immunocytochemistry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VTA afferent containing NPY cell bodies</th>
<th>VTA projection</th>
<th>NPY cell bodies</th>
<th>Colocalization</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Telencephalon</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claustrom</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-NPY projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endopiriform nucleus + piriform cortexa</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-NPY projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insular cortex</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-NPY projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleus accumbens (core and shell)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-NPY projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed nucleus of the stria terminalis</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-NPY projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPACb</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-NPY projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial preoptic nucleus</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-NPY projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventral pallidum</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-NPY projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amygdalac</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-NPY projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral septum</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-NPY projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagonal band of Broca</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-NPY projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral preoptic area</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-NPY projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cingulate cortex</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-NPY projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diencephalon</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arcuate nucleus of the hypothalamus</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NPY projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior hypothalamus</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-NPY projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-NPY projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventromedial hypothalamic nucleus</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-NPY projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zona incerta</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-NPY projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perifornical lateral hypothalamic area</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-NPY projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal hypothalamus</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-NPY projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior lateral hypothalamus</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-NPY projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mesencephalon</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periaqueductal grayd</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-NPY projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Met- and Myelencephalon</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locus coeruleus</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-NPY projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laterodorsal tegmental nucleus</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-NPY projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal Raphe</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-NPY projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Lateral) of Central Gray</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-NPY projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral parabrachial nucleus</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-NPY projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial parabrachial nucleus</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Non-NPY projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventrolateral medulla</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NPY projection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table Notes:**

- aAs fluorescent immunocytochemistry does not allow the separation of the endopiriform nucleus and piriform cortex, these structures were analyzed together.
- bIPAC = interstitial nucleus of the posterior limb of the anterior commissure.
- cAs no colocalization of NPY and CTB was found throughout all amygdala regions, these regions were analyzed together.
- dThe periaqueductal gray for the magenta case in Figure 4 contained a total of 2 CTB/NPY positive cell bodies, out of >15 CTB-positive cell bodies per brain slice containing the periaqueductal gray.
CTB/NPY-positive neurons were calculated. The mean percentage of the CTB-positive neurons colocalizing with POMC was 21.7 ± 1.7% ipsilaterally, and 28.7 ± 4.2% contralaterally. Figure 8d shows the Arc POMC region of interest schematically.

### DISCUSSION

In the present study, we have characterized NPY-immunoreactive afferents projecting to the VTA. Using immunocytochemistry, we observed NPY-immunoreactive fibers, but not NPY-expressing cell bodies, in the VTA. NPY fibers in the VTA were not visible following treatment with colchicine, which arrests neuronal peptide transport. As colchicine treatment also did not lead to visualization of NPY cell bodies in the VTA and no Npy-expressing cell bodies were found using in situ hybridization, this indicates that the NPY-immunoreactive fibers come from afferent projections to the VTA. Accordingly, following infusion of the retrograde tracer CTB in the VTA, we identified cellular colocalization of CTB and NPY in the Arc of the hypothalamus and in the ventrolateral medulla of the brainstem. We did not observe
colocalization in any other brain region. To investigate if an altered metabolic state could induce Npy expression within the VTA, we also measured Npy expression following a 24 hr fast using the sensitive RT-qPCR method. However, the 24 hr fast, which was sufficient to increase Npy expression in the Arc, did not modulate the barely detectable levels of Npy expression in the VTA. Collectively, our data indicate that the Arc of the hypothalamus and the ventrolateral medulla of the brainstem are the only brain regions that contain NPY-immunoreactive afferents that project to the VTA under normal physiological circumstances.

To date, detection of NPY-immunoreactive cell bodies in the VTA has produced inconsistent results, likely driven by variation in experimental methods, such as differences in species or colchicine treatment dose and duration (Chronwall et al., 1985; de Quidt & Emson, 1986; Everitt et al., 1984). Similar to the study of de Quidt and Emson (1986), we did not observe NPY- or Npy-expressing cell bodies using immunocytochemistry or in situ hybridization, respectively. However, using RT-qPCR, a much more sensitive technique compared to in situ hybridization, we detected practically negligible Npy expression in the VTA compared to the Arc. As we identified Npy-expressing cell bodies in the posterior lateral hypothalamic area/medial supramammillary nucleus, located adjacent to the VTA (Figure 2a), it is likely that the very low number of Npy mRNA copies observed by RT-qPCR result from accidental inclusion of Npy-expressing cell bodies in the posterior lateral hypothalamic area/medial supramammillary nucleus in our punched VTA samples.

Despite the inconsistency in observing NPY-immunoreactive cell bodies, our study as well as all previous studies, consistently observed
NPY-immunoreactive fibers in the VTA. In accordance with the presence of Agouti-related protein (AgRP)-immunoreactive fibers in the VTA (Broberger, Johansen, Johansson, Schalling, & Hokfelt, 1998; Dietrich et al., 2012), we found that a subset of Arc NPY-immunoreactive neurons project to the VTA. AgRP is found exclusively in the Arc, where it colocalizes almost entirely with NPY neurons (Broberger et al., 1998; Hahn et al., 1998). Although the Arc → VTA projection is considered to be relatively small compared to other VTA afferents (Geisler & Zahm, 2005; Watabe-Uchida, Zhu, Ogawa, Vamanrao, & Uchida, 2012), general activation of Arc NPY/AgRP neurons using a chemogenetic approach increases motivation to obtain food pellets, suggesting a behaviorally functional and important role for this projection in motivational and behavioral aspects of feeding behavior (Krashes, Shah, Koda, & Lowell, 2013).

We also confirm that Arc POMC neurons project to the VTA (Pandit et al., 2015). Despite a comparable percentage of VTA-projecting neurons being NPY and POMC (20–30%), it has to be noted that the absolute number of Arc CTB/POMC neurons is larger than the number of Arc CTB/NPY neurons. We believe that this difference is likely attributable to the larger POMC area in the Arc that was used for the cell counts due to the lack of visible anatomic markers for the Arc (see Section 2, Figures 6 and 8). NPY and POMC neurons are separate populations in the Arc with opposing effects on feeding behavior and energy expenditure (Aponte, Atasoy, & Sternson, 2011; Hahn et al., 1998). Future studies, utilizing chemogenetic or optogenetic manipulation of these VTA-projecting Arc NPY and POMC neuronal populations, will provide valuable insight into the role of these neurons in motivational and behavioral aspects of feeding behavior.

In addition to the NPY-immunoreactive Arc → VTA projection, we also observed colocalization of CTB and NPY in the ventrolateral medulla of the brainstem. This VTA afferent projection has previously been demonstrated using the CTB tracing approach (Geisler & Zahm, 2005), and several regions in the ventrolateral medulla contain NPY neurons, including the A1 and C1 catecholaminergic cells groups (Everitt et al., 1984; Sawchenko et al., 1985). The population colocalizing neurons that we found is located similarly to the A1 and C1 regions. In addition, NPY neurons in the ventrolateral medulla almost completely colocalize with markers for catecholaminergic neurons (Everitt et al., 1984; Harfstrand et al., 1987; Sawchenko et al., 1985; Tseng, Lin, Wang, & Tung, 1993) and ventrolateral medulla catecholaminergic projections to the VTA were observed in male Sprague Dawley rats (Mejias-Aponte et al., 2009). Together, this might indicate that the NPYergic brainstem → VTA projection is (partially) catecholaminergic. Several studies indicate a role for catecholaminergic/NPY neurons in this area in the regulation of feeding and energy homeostasis. For example, specifically catecholaminergic/NPY neurons in the caudoventralateral medullar area are necessary for glucoprivic feeding (Li, Wang, Dinl, & Ritter, 2009). Also, Npy overexpression in catecholaminergic brainstem neurons alters body composition independent of changes in food intake (Ruohonen et al., 2008; Ruohonen, Vahatalo, & Savonta, 2012; Zhang et al., 2014). Though catecholaminergic/NPY neurons in the A1/C1 regions project to the hypothalamus (Sawchenko et al., 1985), a role for their possible connection with the VTA in regulating the above-mentioned processes cannot be excluded, and despite the relatively small size of the VTA afferent projection, it may have a substantial impact on energy homeostasis. However, additional anatomical studies will have to determine whether the NPYergic VTA projecting neurons are indeed catecholaminergic. Mechanistic studies can target the VTA-projecting NPY-expressing neurons in the ventrolateral medulla to determine their role in feeding-related motivational behaviors.

Brain area-specific NPY expression can fluctuate during increased motivation as well as hyperphagia. For example, both Arc Npy expression and the number of Arc NPY neurons increases during a 24 hr fast (see Figure 3b, e.g., [Hahn et al., 1998]), and hindbrain A1/C1 Npy expression increases during glucoprivation (Li & Ritter, 2004). Furthermore, NPY-immunoreactive cell bodies are not commonly observed in
the rat dorsomedial hypothalamus during adulthood, yet they become apparent in lactating females as well as in diet-induced obese rodents (Kesterson, Huszar, Lynch, Simerly, & Cone, 1997; Li, Chen, & Smith, 1998). In this study, we demonstrate that a 24 hr fast was not associated with increased local VTA Npy expression. We cannot, however, exclude that the number and/or identity of NPY-immunoreactive VTA afferents is different between sexes or during conditions with altered physiological needs, such as pregnancy and diet-induced obesity, compared to the standard physiological condition assessed in this study. In addition, infusion of colchicine in the lateral ventricle may not lead to a uniform increase in immunoreactivity in the brain, particularly in the hindbrain areas, and our tracer injection did not encompass the entire VTA, which may lead to an underestimation of the number of colocalized neurons. Lastly, the CTb tracer is not unsusceptible to uptake by fibers of passage, which could lead to the identification of a nonexistent NPY-containing VTA afferent (Chen & Aston-Jones, 1995; Ericson & Blomqvist, 1988; Luppi, Fort, & Jouvet, 1990). However, the two projections we have determined to be partially NPYergic have been described in a tracing study employing retrograde viral tracers specifically targeted to VTA dopaminergic neurons by using the Cre-Lox system (Watabe-Uchida et al., 2012). As uptake by fibers of passage is highly unlikely with this technique, we therefore believe that the assessment of NPY afferents in our study is accurate.

We demonstrate that both the Arc and a part of the ventrolateral medulla of the brainstem contain NPY-immunoreactive afferents projecting to the VTA under normal physiological circumstances in normal-weight male Wistar rats. Therefore, these brain regions link the NPY circuitry to VTA-driven changes in motivational behavior. Our study is the first to systematically investigate the origin of VTA afferent projections using neuroanatomical tracing methods. The next step will be to establish how these neuronal populations drive VTA-driven motivational behavior and whether the function of these populations is dysregulated during altered physiological states, including obesity.
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