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SUMMARY

The action observation network (AON) has been extensively studied using short, isolated motor acts. How activity in the network is altered when these isolated acts are embedded in meaningful sequences of actions remains poorly understood. Here we utilized intracranial electrocorticography to characterize how the exchange of information across key nodes of the AON—the precentral, supramarginal, and visual cortices—is affected by such embedding and the resulting predictability. We found more top-down beta oscillation from precentral to supramarginal contacts during the observation of predictable actions in meaningful sequences compared to the same actions in randomized, and hence less predictable, order. In addition, we find that expectations enabled by the embedding lead to a suppression of bottom-up visual responses in the high-gamma range in visual areas. These results, in line with predictive coding, inform how nodes of the AON integrate information to process the actions of others.

INTRODUCTION

How the brain processes the observed actions of others is a question of enduring interest. The network of brain regions recruited by action observation (action observation network, AON) has been mapped in some detail by having monkeys and humans view isolated actions, typically lasting a couple of seconds, such as grasping or manipulating objects. It includes nodes around the medial occipital, supramarginal, and precentral gyri also activated during the execution of similar actions.1–3 Outside the laboratory, actions seldom occur as isolated 2-s acts. Instead, individual acts, such as grasping a knife, follow each other in somewhat predictable order within meaningful sequences to achieve an overarching intention, such as preparing breakfast.4,5 How does the predictability of the acts within such sequences influence how they are processed? How is information integrated across the nodes of the AON, and how does the predictability of an act within a sequence influence this information flow? These questions are at the heart of this study.

That predictions play a key role in perception, and manifest as feedback information flow in the beta band from higher brain regions to lower sensory brain regions, has become prominent in neuroscience.5,7 In many models of predictive coding or active inference, these feedback predictions are thought to attenuate the response to expected stimuli in lower, visual cortices.6,8 Empirical evidence of whether such feedback is also increased, and visual representations suppressed, within the AON when acts become predictable remains scarce—despite these features having been at the center of influential models of AON information integration for decades.9–13 Evidence that predictions are computed within premotor cortices in the monkey AON comes from single-cell responses depending on what action can be predicted to come next.14–18 That neural response latencies to predictable observed actions can be shorter in premotor than parietal cortices is compatible with the notion that predictions could be transmitted from premotor to parietal cortices.19 In the human AON, action-related information in the blood-oxygen-level-dependent (BOLD) response in parietal and premotor cortices depends on the sequence in which acts are presented,20 and electroencephalogram (EEG) recordings reveal anticipatory activity in the AON when movements are expected.21 Unfortunately, whether information in fMRI studies flows from premotor to parietal cortices remains unclear, as directional connectivity measures applied to the BOLD signal are notoriously unreliable.22 Tentative analyses however are encouraging: using Granger causality
measures, participants playing charades seem to send more information from premotor to parietal cortices when guessing the meaning of sequences of gestures,23 and using dynamic causal modeling, seeing people move in unpredictable ways triggers changes in flow in the premotor to parietal direction.24 Using methods other than traditional fMRI would thus be important to corroborate these findings.

What paradigm should be used? Different paradigms have been developed to study predictions in the visual system. A careful review concluded that the cleanest and most successful strategy has been to contrast different sequences of stimuli: if stimuli appear in a highly familiar order, they become expected, because their probability is constrained by the preceding stimulus; if they appear in random sequences, they become neutral, as their probability is unconstrained by previous stimuli.6 Comparing responses to expected, neutral stimuli then provide a good measure of the effect of predictions. The term neutral differentiates stimuli with a probability that is independent of the prior stimuli from truly surprising stimuli, i.e., those occurring if an ordered sequence predicts one stimulus, but a different stimulus is presented. Surprising stimuli trigger attentional processes that are difficult to disentangle from predictions, which is why their use to study predictions has been discouraged.6 Interestingly, contrasting stimuli in expected vs. random sequences has also been helpful in revealing how cortical networks are altered when words occur in meaningful sentences using fMRI and electrophysiological measures,25,26 and this approach thus appears as a strong candidate to investigate the effect of predictions and their suppressive effects also in the AON. As lessons learned from visual neuroscience have led to the conclusion that “experiments testing for ES (expectation suppression) should employ a range of recording techniques under similar or identical stimulation conditions” and that “time-resolved electrophysiological measures may be especially useful,” one should ideally perform such experiments using a variety of recording modalities, including EEG, traditional 3T fMRI, depth-resolved 7T fMRI, and intracranial electrocorticography (ECoG).

Accordingly, we recorded a number of longer action sequences that should be familiar to most participants (Figure 1; Table 1), and we aimed to present them to participants in two forms: first in their intact, natural order, in which each act can be expected based on the previous acts and our decade long experience with these actions, and second, in a scrambled order, in which the same individual acts are relatively neutral, i.e., their probability is only minimally constrained by the previous acts. As simply cutting movies at the transition between consecutive acts and randomizing the sequence would introduce sudden visual changes in the scrambled sequence that are absent in the intact sequence, we recorded the sequences with two cameras 45° apart, and we alternated between the two views between acts in both the intact and scrambled sequences. This ensured similar levels of visual transients in both conditions (see Figure S1 for a quantification), with the predictability of the individual acts now being the primary difference between the sequences. We then compared intact vs. scrambled sequences using multiple recording methods across multiple studies. Here we present data recorded from intracranial ECoG measurements. Using the same stimuli while recording 3T fMRI revealed that the information content in premotor and parietal cortices was higher for intact sequences, showing that these regions are sensitive to the predictability of observed actions, and while recording EEG, that responses in electrodes over the occipital lobe were suppressed in the intact sequences, in line with the notion of expectation suppression.20 Whether the information flow was increased in the premotor to parietal direction for intact sequences could not be determined due to the limitations of the methods. Using these stimuli while measuring BOLD activity in a depth-resolved 7T fMRI study, we leveraged that feedback connections from premotor to inferior parietal regions are known to terminate in layers 3, 5, and 6 in the monkey, and we found that information was indeed increased at depths aligning with these layers in the intact—scrambled contrast, and intersubject functional connectivity confirmed that this effect could originate from premotor feedback.27

As attributing BOLD activity at certain depths to feedback or feedforward information remains tentative, particularly outside of the visual cortices,28 here we aim to leverage the unique properties of ECoG to provide independent evidence by leveraging the observation that feedforward information flow associated with visual input and the feedback information flow associated with predictions are associated with directed information transfer in distinguishable frequency bands, namely the gamma and beta band, respectively.6,26,30 Both the gamma and the beta bands contain separable subbands with distinct functions.31,32 Between the premotor and parietal lobes, the high-gamma (60–90 Hz) and high-beta (20–30 Hz) frequency bands seem particularly relevant to information integration,33,34 and these bands will therefore be our frequency ranges of interest. We expect two effects when comparing expected versus neutral acts using the intact versus scrambled sequence contrasts: First, that the parietal node of the AON, in the supramarginal gyrus (SMG) should receive more premotor feedback from the precentral gyrus (PreCG) in the high-beta range for intact than scrambled sequences, and second, that visual response in the high-gamma band should be suppressed in the visual node of the AON in the middle occipital gyrus (MOG) for this intact compared to the scrambled sequences (Figures 1A and 1B). We thus selected ECoG electrodes in the precentral, supramarginal cortex and MOG across 10 patients implanted with ECoG grids (Figure 1C). We selected those three regions because they encompass key nodes of the AON and because we had a sufficient number of patients with ECoG strips that encompassed pairs of these regions to calculate measures of directed information transfer: seven patients had electrodes in the PreCG and SMG and six had electrodes in the SMG and MOG (Figure 1D).

RESULTS

Increased high-beta power in precentral and supramarginal channels for expected actions

To investigate whether beta oscillations were indeed increased for expected action sequences, as an increase in feedback information may suggest, we calculated the power spectral density for all movies in each condition and used a linear mixed effect model (LME) to compare power across conditions. We found that in the high-beta range, precentral and supramarginal regions showed the hypothesized increase in power for the more
expected, intact compared to the scrambled condition \(p < 0.05\), corrected \(F\)\(igures 2A and 2B\). When we averaged the power at the hypothesized high-beta frequency range \(20–30\) Hz \(n\) each person across all electrodes, we saw that the majority of subjects had higher beta power in the intact condition, in both the precentral \(\text{LME, } t_{116} = 3.09, p = 0.002\) \(F\)\(igure 2A, inset left\) and the supramarginal region \(\text{LME, } t_{112} = 2.29, p = 0.02\) \(F\)\(igure 2B, inset left\).

\textbf{Reduced gamma power in middle occipital channels for expected actions}

To investigate the notion that expected actions show suppressed feedforward signals in the gamma range in earlier visual cortices, we quantified the power in the gamma range. We observed opposite effects in earlier visual cortices and supramarginal cortices. We found the hypothesized reduction of gamma power for the expected, intact movies compared to the scrambled movies in channels over the middle occipital cortices \(p < 0.05\), \(F\)\(igure 2C\). However, over the supramarginal cortices, we found significantly higher gamma power in intact compared to scrambled condition \(p < 0.05\), \(F\)\(igure 2B\). Examining the averaged power in the gamma band \(60–90\) Hz, we found that most of the participants had higher gamma power in the intact condition in the supramarginal cortices \(\text{LME, } t_{78} = 3.12, p = 0.002\) \(F\)\(igure 2B, inset right\) but higher gamma power in the scrambled condition in the middle occipital cortices \(\text{LME, } t_{78} = 2.64, p = 0.01\) \(F\)\(igure 2C, inset right\).
Temporal dynamics of beta and gamma power relative to camera change

We next investigated the temporal dynamics of both high-beta and -gamma power in these regions just before and after the camera changes. The camera changes represent a challenging event for the brain. From a low-level visual point of view, camera changes lead to a sudden change in the visual input (Figure S1), similar to those occurring during saccades though not self-initiated like saccades. During saccades, predictions from higher-visual areas are thought to maintain a sense of continuity in the visual scene. We may thus expect an interplay between putatively predictive signals in the high-beta range preparing the system for a camera change ramping up around the likely time of a camera change, followed by a high-gamma signal starting in the occipital regions caused by the strong change in visual input following the camera change. The former might be more pronounced for intact sequences and the latter for scrambled sequences.

In the high-beta range, all regions showed a pattern in which power was higher around the time of the camera change than in the middle of a segment. As expected, for precentral and supramarginal channels, the power was higher for the intact sequences, which encourage predictions, at several time points (p < 0.05, Figures 3A–3C), with significantly higher beta power in the precentral area from 140 ms before the camera change until 220 ms after the camera change and in the supramarginal area from 380 until 740 ms after the camera change (Figures 3A and 3B). The middle occipital cortex however showed a different pattern, with higher high-beta power for intact movies only appearing late in the segment, with early segments showing the opposite pattern (albeit only at uncorrected levels; Figure 3C).

With regard to high-gamma power, the middle occipital cortex showed the expected increase in high-gamma after typical visual latencies, with the power being lower for the intact than scrambled sequences, as expected if expectation suppression was at play within the AON (Figure 3D). The supramarginal and precentral cortices failed to show such a high-gamma peak following the sudden change in visual input and instead showed a dip in high-gamma power, which in the supramarginal cortex was more pronounced for the scrambled sequences (Figure 3E). Precentral channels, on the other hand, showed no such changes in gamma power (Figure 3D).

**Beta synchronization and information transfer between precentral and supramarginal**

The increased high-beta activities in precentral channels for intact sequences preceded that in supramarginal channels. This would be in line with a model in which predictions in premotor regions would be transferred backward to the parietal nodes of the AON and in line with single-cell recordings in monkeys. To test this notion, we computed the interregional connectivity across precentral and supramarginal channels using spectral coherence.

First, we measured imaginary coherence (see STAR Methods) using all electrode pairs within the first second after the camera change, and we found significantly higher beta coherence between precentral and supramarginal channels in the intact compared to the scrambled condition. This effect was restricted to the high-beta range (23–30 Hz, \(\rho_{corr} < 0.05\)) (Figure 4A). Interestingly, the low-beta range showed a difference in the opposite direction, confirming the functional dissociation between low and high beta. Frequencies around 50 Hz were masked out due to line noise contaminating the coherence estimates. Furthermore, using a sliding window method to characterize the timing of the differential high-beta coherence, we find that it emerges 300 ms after the camera change (p < 0.05) (Figure 4C).

To further investigate the directionality of information transfer between the precentral and supramarginal, we calculated the non-parametric Granger causality (GC) using all electrode pairs within the first second after the camera change. In the intact compared to the scrambled condition, the GC spectrum exhibited higher feedback information from precentral to supramarginal in the high-beta band (p < 0.05; Figure 5A), but there was no difference between conditions in the opposite (feedforward) direction (Figure 5B). Both GC and coherence exhibited frequencies that were in the high-beta range (20–30 Hz). We estimated the phase slope index (PSI) in 20–30 Hz using a sliding window method to further validate the information flow between precentral and supramarginal in this high-beta range. Starting 400 ms before the camera change, the PSI exhibited opposing information directions, with feedforward information from supramarginal to precentral in scrambled movies and feedback information from precentral to supramarginal in intact movies (Figure 6A).

---

**Table 1. Stimuli**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Seconds</th>
<th>Acts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inflating and tying a balloon</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparing bread with butter and jam</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewing a button</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing a gift card</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arranging flowers in a vase</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Framing a picture</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaning spectacles</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaning a laptop screen</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sending a letter</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replacing battery in a torch</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replacing a pillow cover</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folding a shirt</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toasting bread</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Making a paper boat</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rolling a cigarette</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applying nail polish</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squeezing oranges</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharpening a pencil</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removing nail polish</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparing a sandwich</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

List of sequences used as stimuli with total duration in seconds and number of motor acts shown. The first 12 were rated as familiar to Japanese individuals based on an informal evaluation by experimenter Y.O. The remaining 8 (labeled with letters a–h) from the original study by Thomas et al. were not used, because they were considered less familiar to Japanese individuals.
Gamma synchronization and information transfer between supramarginal and middle occipital cortices

We also looked at the interregional relationships between the supramarginal and middle occipital channels. In both the high-beta (20–30 Hz) and high-gamma (60–90 Hz) range, the computed imaginary coherence during the first second following the camera change indicated significantly stronger gamma coherence between supramarginal and middle occipital channels in the scrambled condition ($p < 0.05$, Figure 4 B). Furthermore, the time-resolved coherence increased 400 ms after the camera changed in the scrambled condition ($p < 0.05$, Figure 4 D).

Comparing the two conditions using GC did not demonstrate a preferential information direction between supramarginal and middle occipital channels in the gamma band ($p < 0.05$, Figures 5C and 5D). However, the PSI derived at a high-gamma frequency (60–90 Hz) suggested the expected direction of effect, with reduced feedforward information from the middle occipital to the supramarginal channel, beginning 200 ms after the camera change ($p < 0.05$), for the intact than scrambled condition. (Figure 6 B).

**DISCUSSION**

Our study aimed to provide insights into how the nodes of the AON interact with each other, and how that interaction is altered when acts are not studied in isolation, but in natural sequences in which they can be predicted from the preceding acts. We compared these natural sequences, in which acts could be expected, against a control condition in which the order was randomized to reduce expectations to a neutral level. We found that signals in the high-beta and high-gamma range across the precentral, supramarginal, and middle occipital regions of the AON were indeed differentially modulated by this manipulation. In what follows, we will discuss changes in these two frequency bands separately, together with some background on how
changes in these frequency bands have been associated with feedback and feedforward information flow in the literature. Beta oscillations in high-level brain regions have been associated with top-down processing and sensori-motor integration via feedback information flow across distal brain regions. Several studies have shown that the power in the beta range, particularly in the high-beta range from 20 to 30 Hz, is modulated by action observation, confirming that it may be important for feedback processes also during action observation. Here we found that observation of actions in predictable order caused higher high-beta power in, and higher high-beta coherence between, precentral and supramarginal cortices. While the fact that this power and coherence increase occurs in the beta range is indicative of a feedback direction of information flow, the high temporal resolution of ECoG, and its relatively higher spatial resolution compared to scalp recordings, allows us to directly test the prevalent direction of information flow using phase slope indices and GC. Both methods confirmed that intact sequences lead to more information flow in the high-beta range in the feed-back direction from precentral to supramarginal cortices. This provides evidence that the predictability of action sequences indeed increases feedback information, in line with the influential notion of predictive coding during action observation and in line with our findings of increased action-observation-related activity for predictable actions in layers of the SMG known to receive premotor feedback. Monkey single-cell physiology has shown that neurons in the premotor cortex can indeed show anticipatory responses while witnessing the actions of others, in line with a role in generating expectations and the responses in the premotor cortex to such expected action observation at the population level precede those in the parietal cortex, in line with the notion that the predictions could be transmitted from the premotor to the parietal cortices. This finding also dovetails with findings using conventional 3T BOLD measurements that have shown that when participants actively try to guess the meaning of sequences of gestures, GC analyses reveal a net direction of information flow from the premotor to the parietal cortices, and that activity in the premotor and parietal cortices depends on the order in which the acts are presented. That the premotor to parietal connection is influenced by predictability is also in line with a recent finding, using DCM, that effective connectivity in that region...
direction is sensitive to mismatches between expected and observed action kinematics. We observed differences in power across conditions in the high-beta range (20–30 Hz), as expected, and comparing power across all frequencies confirmed that this effect was restricted to the high-beta (>20 Hz) and absent in the low-beta (13–20 Hz) band. The specific role of subbands in the beta range remains incompletely understood, but our findings converge with a small number of studies pointing toward a particular relevance of high beta for integration of motor signals and showing that the high-beta range is selectively altered during the observation of other people’s actions. Only when examining the coherence between PreCG and SMG across all frequencies did we observe a significant difference in the low-beta range across the intact vs. scrambled condition, and this difference was no longer significant when using GC. Altogether, this confirms the notion that different subbands of beta have different functions, with high beta most involved in top-down effects.

Gamma power, in contrast, has been associated with local processing and feedforward information flow. In the visual system, gamma is triggered particularly by sudden changes in the visual input. In accordance with that literature, the camera changes, which trigger a sudden change in visual input, triggered a transient in high-gamma power in our MOG contacts, based on the expectation suppression reported in other domains of visual neuroscience, and this hypothesis was confirmed: in the intact condition, occipital channels had reduced high-gamma power compared to the scrambled condition. Considering the aforementioned association of high-gamma activity with feedforward visual channels, this attenuated high-gamma power in the middle occipital cortices suggests, in line with some predictive coding accounts, that expectation suppressions also occur in the visual nodes of the AON. Importantly, we also found the coherence to be reduced in the intact condition across the middle occipital cortex and the SMG, and the PSI confirms that this information in the high-gamma band indeed flowed from the middle occipital to the SMG, in accordance with the notion that visual input to the parietal AON nodes is indeed attenuated by expectations. This expectation suppression dovetails with our EEG finding, using the same stimuli, that showed attenuated responses over the occipital cortex in the intact compared to the scrambled sequences. Considering the different timing of the responses in PreCG and MOG, our results also dovetail with the idea that high-level abstract stimulus features are anticipated earlier than the low-level visual features that are predicted in closer proximity to the actual sensory input. Interestingly, high-gamma activity in the SMG was increased in the intact compared to the scrambled condition. Given that broadband high-gamma power is known to be tightly linked to neural spiking and thereby reflects local processing, this

---

**Figure 4. Spectral coherence and temporal dynamics of coherence**

(A) Precentral and supramarginal showed significantly higher coherence in intact condition in beta frequency from 23 to 30 Hz (LME, n = 355, FDR corrected p < 0.05).

(B) Middle occipital and supramarginal showed significantly higher coherence in scrambled condition in gamma frequency from 68 to 73 Hz (LME, n = 265, FDR corrected p < 0.05).

(C) Precentral and supramarginal showed significantly higher coherence in intact condition from 300 ms after camera change (LME, n = 355, FDR corrected p < 0.05).

(D) Middle occipital and supramarginal showed significantly higher coherence in scrambled condition from 400 ms after camera change (LME, n = 265, FDR corrected p < 0.05).

Data are presented as mean ± SEM; n represents number of electrode pairs (A–D).
increased high-gamma power in the supramarginal cortex during intact sequences, together with the increased intersubject correlation for intact sequences in the SMG in fMRI BOLD signals, suggests that the parietal node indeed represents more than the individual motor acts that are identical across the intact and scrambled movies, and it preferentially encodes actions when they integrate into larger, meaningful sequences. Such preferential encoding of longer chains of actions in the parietal node contrasts with the expectation suppression that appears to dominate the occipital node, in line with the notion that there is a progressive increase in the “temporal receptive field” of cortical regions along a hierarchy from earlier sensory regions, with activity that integrates information over short intervals to more anterior parietal and frontal regions that can integrate information over minutes.  

Leveraging the temporal resolution of electrical recordings, and the spatial specificity afforded by recording so close from the cortex, our data therefore add independent evidence for how the brain processes actions when we observe them in larger, meaningful sequences: when predictions are possible (in our intact sequences), feedback information is transmitted in high-beta oscillation from precentral to supramarginal cortices, and local processing in the high-gamma band is increased in the supramarginal cortices and reduced in occipital visual cortices. When expectations are more difficult, as is the case following a camera change in our scrambled sequences, a more prominent transient increase in high-gamma activity in the visual cortices is triggered, and information flows from these visual cortices to the SMG in the gamma band. Zooming in on the moment of a camera change, our data suggest a particular succession of events. For intact sequences, precentral cortices generate high-beta activity thought to reflect predictions just before the camera change, and this increased high-beta activity continues after the camera change. This pattern is reminiscent of the predictive activity around a saccade onset in frontal eye fields that is thought to provide the brain with a continuity of perception despite the low-level discontinuity that a saccade causes. In contrast, when visual input is difficult to predict, after a camera change in the scrambled sequences, a more prominent, high-gamma transient, occurring around the typical response latency of visual areas after the camera change, appears to emphasize the transfer of visual information in a forward direction of information flow to the parietal node.

**Limitations of the study**

Our study has a number of limitations that should be considered. First, we used a passive action observation paradigm. We chose this paradigm because the length of these stimuli (∼1 min), and the possibility to use the same stimuli in all participants, allowed us to characterize the effect of expectation in the AON using complementary neural activity recording modalities including faster electrophysiological measurements (EEG and ECoG) and slower traditional and depth-resolved BOLD fMRI. Combining these modalities using the same stimuli avoids that findings are biased by the limitations of a given measurement modality, such as the disrupting effect of variability in hemodynamic responses delays in connectivity analysis in traditional BOLD MRI, uncertainty about the attribution of signals at a gate how information from these other nodes may integrate

Figure 5. Non-parametric Granger causality

(A) Stronger Granger causality was found from precentral to supramarginal in the intact condition in the beta frequency from 26 to 29 Hz (LME, n = 355, FDR corrected p < 0.05).

(B) No significant difference between conditions was found from supramarginal to precentral.

(C and D) No difference was found between middle occipital and supramarginal channels between conditions. Data are presented as mean ± SEM; n represents number of electrode pairs (A–D).
with those of the network we focus on. Third, to increase the statistical power of our analyses, we pooled electrodes over relatively large regions of the cortex within our three regions of interest. Future studies may wish to explore whether specific subregions show different patterns. Indeed, some studies mentioned a specific topological organization of the premotor cortex and its connectivity with the parietal lobe in action observation. A preliminary analysis of our data however failed to reveal a specific topography of connectivity (Figure S2). Forth, while for the high-beta analysis, two methods estimating the direction of information flow (PSI and GC) agree, for the high-gamma frequency range across the occipital and parietal channels, the PSI analysis revealed a significant difference between conditions, while the GC did not. The exact source of this discrepancy is difficult to identify. It has been suggested that PSI is a more robust and sensitive method than GC when signals contain a mixture of several independent sources and when noise levels are higher. The fact that high-gamma frequencies capture more local signals than high-beta frequencies and the large size of our occipital region may have conspired to generate the heterogeneity of signals that GC is thought to suffer from more than PSI. That high-gamma frequencies also have lower power than high-beta frequencies may have additionally increased the relative noise level that GC is thought to suffer from more than PSI. Together this may explain why only the PSI analyses could detect the hypothesized condition effects in the high-gamma signals. Such discrepancy should however temper the confidence we can have in the effect of predictability on the directionality of the information flow in the gamma band across these regions until future studies can better isolate the origins of this discrepancy.
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Figure 6. Phase slope index
(A) Phase slope index revealed more beta information (20–30 Hz) from precentral to supramarginal in intact condition but from supramarginal to precentral in scrambled condition (LME, n = 355, FDR corrected p < 0.05).
(B) On the other hand, gamma information (60–90 Hz) was observed to transfer more from middle occipital to supramarginal in scrambled condition but from supramarginal to middle occipital in intact condition (LME, n = 265, FDR corrected p < 0.05). Data are presented as mean ± SEM; n represents number of electrode pairs (A and B).
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## KEY RESOURCES TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deposited data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data reported in the paper</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>OSF: <a href="https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/4XJG7">https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/4XJG7</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ActionPredictionECoG (The code used to analyze the data in this paper)</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>Zenodo: <a href="https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8409871">https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8409871</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental models: Organisms/strains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human: Epilepsy patients</td>
<td>Jichi Medical University Hospital</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Software and algorithms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATLAB 2021a</td>
<td>Mathworks</td>
<td><a href="https://www.mathworks.com/">https://www.mathworks.com/</a>; RRID:SCR_001622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation</td>
<td>Neurobehavioral Systems</td>
<td><a href="https://www.neurobs.com">https://www.neurobs.com</a>; RRID:SCR_002521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fieldtrip</td>
<td>Oostenveld et al.</td>
<td><a href="https://www.fieldtriptoolbox.org">https://www.fieldtriptoolbox.org</a>; RRID:SCR_004849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freesurfer 7.3.2</td>
<td>Reuter et al.</td>
<td><a href="https://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu">https://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu</a>; RRID:SCR_001847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPM12</td>
<td>Friston et al.</td>
<td><a href="https://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/software/spm12/">https://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/software/spm12/</a>; RRID:SCR_007037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAGNETOM Skyra</td>
<td>Siemens, Germany</td>
<td><a href="https://www.siemens-healthineers.com/en-us/magnetic-resonance-imaging/3t-mri-scanner/magnetom-skyra">https://www.siemens-healthineers.com/en-us/magnetic-resonance-imaging/3t-mri-scanner/magnetom-skyra</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquilion Prime SP TSX-303B</td>
<td>Canon Medical Systems, Japan</td>
<td><a href="https://global.medical.canon/products/computed-tomography/aquilion_primesp">https://global.medical.canon/products/computed-tomography/aquilion_primesp</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

### Lead contact

Further information and requests for resources should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact Christian Keysers (c.keysers@nin.knaw.nl).
Materials availability
This study did not generate new unique reagents.

Data and code availability
- Data reported in the paper is available at OSF.
- The code used to analyze the data in this paper is in the Github repository.
- Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this work paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND STUDY PARTICIPANT DETAILS

Ethics
This study was approved by the ethics committee at Jichi Medical University Hospital and registered in the UMIN Clinical Trial Registry (number UMIN000040073). All participants had mental capacity and gave written informed consent to participation.

Participants
Ten subjects with refractory epilepsy participated in this study (five males and five females, aged 18–39 years, mean = 27.3 years, standard deviation = 7.3; see Table S1 for the demographic features of patients). Subdural electrodes were placed to localize epileptic foci and examine the cognitive and motor functions of areas under the electrodes. Preoperative interviews with the patients and family members, and an examination of available medical records, did not reveal social or communicative deficits in the included patients. Epileptic activity was not recorded from the electrodes included in our regions of interest. Nine of the patients included also participated in a task to be reported in a different manuscript, in which participants reported on a trial-by-trial basis how much pain they perceive a person to experience in short movies of the person’s hand being hit by a belt. This task is known to depend on a kinematic analysis of the movements of the hand. Comparing the ratings of these 9 patients against those of an age and sex-matched control group revealed that our group of patients was within the normal range (the z-scores were normally distributed, Shapiro-Wilk, SW = 0.935, p = 0.529, and a one-sample t test against zero, mean(z) = 0.04, t(8) = -0.116, p = 0.91, BF_{10} = 0.323) suggesting that their ability to perceive the kinematics of biological agents is preserved.

METHOD DETAILS

Stimuli and experiment procedure
The stimuli used here were a subset of those used in. Briefly, twenty movies containing different daily actions (e.g., preparing sandwiches with butter and jam; see Table 1 for the full list) were simultaneously recorded by two video cameras (Sony MC50, 29 frames/s) at an angle of 45°. The videos were edited using Adobe Premiere ProCS5 running on Windows. Each movie was subdivided into shots containing one meaningful motor act each (e.g., taking bread, opening the butter dish, scooping butter with a knife, etc.). This was done on recordings from both camera angles. These motor acts (mean/standard deviation duration 2s ± 1s) were then assembled to build two types of 1 min long stimuli (average 67s, Figure 1). For the Intact (I) presentation, the natural temporal sequence in which the acts were recorded was maintained, but a camera angle change was introduced between every two consecutive acts by alternate sampling from the recordings of the two cameras. In the Scrambled (S) versions, the acts remained the same, but the order of the acts was randomly re-arranged, and a camera angle change was introduced between every two consecutive acts. Camera angle changes were imposed at each act transition in both types of movies to compensate for the visual transients that would otherwise be present only in the scrambled movies. Because that stimulus set depicted actions typical for western Europeans, but the experiment was performed in Japan, author YO examined all twenty movies and selected 12 actions that should be familiar to Japanese participants (Table 1). This resulted in 12 intact and 12 scrambled movies. Each movie was presented twice. The experiment was conducted in 6 sessions. They were composed of 3 unique sessions presented twice, with each of the sessions including 4 intact and 4 scrambled movies presented in pseudorandom order, with an inter-movie interval between 8 and 12 s. No behavioral response was required during the experiment, but participants were to carefully observe the videos. Among the included participants, one completed only 3 sessions and one completed only 2 sessions.

Electrophysiological recordings and signal preprocessing
Intracranial EEG signals were recorded using a Nihon-Kohden system with 1000Hz sampling rate in Jichi Medical University Hospital, Japan. All signals were online referenced to two electrodes in the first head stage. All data analysis was conducted in MATLAB using the fieldtrip toolbox (www.fieldtriptoolbox.org/) and customized scripts. The recorded signals were first low-pass filtered using a 4th order Butterworth filter with a cutoff frequency at 200 Hz. The 50Hz power line noise and its harmonics were removed using bandstop filters with variable bandwidth according to individual power spectra. Channels with obvious artifacts were excluded from further
analysis. Each electrode was then locally re-referenced to the average of its neighboring electrodes within 12mm spatial distance. This procedure removes the common recording reference, which otherwise leads to spurious correlations and coherence. Coherence, imaginary coherence, phase-slope index and Granger causality were exclusively calculated between electrodes, for which the neighboring electrodes used for re-referencing had no overlap. Data were down-sampled to 500 Hz for subsequent analyses.

Electrode locations and region definition
The spatial locations were derived from each patient’s pre-implantation MR images and post-implantation CT images. For each patient, the post-implantation CT was co-registered to the pre-implantation MRI using a six-parameter rigid body transformation, implemented in SPM12 (https://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/software/spm12/). The registration was visually verified and manually adjusted if necessary. ECoG electrodes were identified semi automatically according to anatomical landmarks in native space. For visualization of all subjects’ electrodes on an average surface, individual electrode coordinates were transformed to MNI152 space using the Freesurfer CVS function (v6.0.0, surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/). The regions of interest including precentral gyrus (PreCG), supramarginal gyrus (SMG) and middle occipital gyrus (MOG) were extracted from the Anatomy toolbox (Figure 1 C).

Trial separation
In the connectivity analysis including coherence, phase slope index and granger causality, data were first separated into trials based on the time of the camera change. The actions used in our stimuli were composed of a sequence of different shorter acts (e.g., the act of buttering bread included the acts of e.g., taking bread, opening the butter dish, scooping butter with a knife, etc.). These acts were used as “action primitives” and determined the location of the camera changes. The intervals between two camera changes therefore depended by the duration of each act, and varied from 0.4–6.76s. In our analyses, to minimize the overlap between trials as well as preserving the temporal dynamics during action perception, we thus chose a time window from −0.5s to 1s relative to the camera change.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Power spectral density
Power spectral density was estimated from 2 to 120Hz using the ft_freqanalysis function, and separate parameters for low (2-30Hz) and high frequencies (30-120Hz). For the low frequencies, the power spectrum was estimated by a short-time Fourier transform with Hann tapers of 1s, sliding over the whole experimental session in steps of 0.1s and averaged over all windows in a given condition. For the high-frequency part, we used a multi-taper spectral estimation with 5 tapers in 0.5s windows sliding in steps of 0.1s, and results were also averaged over all time windows in a given condition. Power difference between conditions in each frequency was then compared using all the electrodes in each selected region of interest (Figure 2). In some analyses, we used a priori bands of interest in order to compare the power difference for specific frequency bands: we averaged the power from 20 to 30Hz for the high-beta band and from 60 to 90Hz for the gamma band in both Intact (I) and Scrambled (S) conditions for each subject (Figure 2 inset; Figure 3). The raw power of each frequency was multiplied by the square of frequency for better visualization in Figure 2.

Coherence
For the selected time window, all trial data in each electrode within this window were Fourier transformed using multi-tapering with 6Hz frequency smoothing in frequencies ranging from 2 to 120Hz with 1Hz step using ft_freqanalysis. The coherence between two signals was then calculated in each electrode pair and each region pair in both conditions using ft_connectivityanalysis, the imaginary part of coherence was taken as the metric to measure the synchronization between regions (Figures 4A and 4B). The time-resolved coherence was calculated in a sliding-window manner with window length of 1s and steps of 0.1s and averaged across the frequency points in each frequency band of interest (Figures 4C and 4D).

Phase slope index
The phase slope index (PSI) was calculated in a similar manner as coherence across regions. All trial data for each electrode were Fourier transformed using multi-tapering with 4Hz frequency smoothing and 1Hz frequency resolution. The phase index was then calculated ft_connectivityanalysis across frequencies ranging from 20 to 30Hz and 60 to 90Hz with the same bandwidth of 4Hz for each frequency; the PSI values were then averaged, separately for each of the two frequency bands, to get the temporal dynamics, this was done in each electrode pair for each region pair in both conditions as well (Figure 6).

Granger causality
Granger causality (GC) was calculated in a time window from 0 to 1s relative to the camera change using the nonparametric estimation. The Fourier spectrum was estimated using the same parameters as the PSI mentioned above and entered into a nonparametric spectral matrix factorization as implemented in the Fieldtrip toolbox (Figure 5).
Statistical assessment
Statistical assessments were performed to compare the difference between conditions using the LME model implemented in MATLAB. We implemented the LME model with patient and electrode (or electrode pairs) as two random effects and used the restricted maximum likelihood method to optimize. In the model, fixed and random effects were considered together. Post hoc tests of p values were performed using FDR correction to correct for multiple comparisons not specified otherwise. Statistical inferences were under a significance threshold of p < 0.05 if not specified otherwise. As there is no non-parametric alternative to LME that could accommodate the nested structure of our data, and as LME has been shown to be robust against violation of normality,77,78 we did not test our residuals for normality.