Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.
Brief Communication

Sleep quality during the COVID-19 pandemic: not one size fits all

Desana Kocevska a,1, Tessa F. Blanken a, b,1, Eus J.W. Van Someren a, c, Lara Rössler a, *

a Netherlands Institute for Neuroscience, Department of Sleep and Cognition, the Netherlands
b University of Amsterdam, Department of Psychological Methods, the Netherlands
c Departments of Integrative Neurophysiology and Psychiatry, Center for Neurogenomics and Cognitive Research, VU University, Amsterdam UMC, Amsterdam Neuroscience, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

Article history:
Received 13 July 2020
Received in revised form 3 September 2020
Accepted 29 September 2020
Available online 8 October 2020

Keywords:
Insomnia
Sleep quality
COVID-19
Affect
Worry

Abstract

Background: The COVID-19 pandemic imposes a long period of stress on people worldwide and has been shown to significantly affect sleep duration across different populations. However, decreases in sleep quality rather than duration are associated with adverse mental health effects. Additionally, the one third of the general population suffering from poor sleep quality was underrepresented in previous studies. The current study aimed to elucidate effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on sleep quality across different levels of pre-pandemic sleep complaints and as a function of affect and worry.

Method: Participants (n = 667) of the Netherlands Sleep Registry (NSR) were invited for weekly online assessment of the subjective severity of major stressors, insomnia, sleep times, distress, depression, and anxiety using validated scales.

Analysis: To investigate the overall impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the sleep quality of people with and without a history of insomnia, we performed a mixed model analysis using pre-pandemic insomnia severity, negative affect, and worry as predictors.

Results: The effect of COVID-19 on sleep quality differs critically across participants, and depends on the pre-pandemic sleep quality. Interestingly, a quarter of people with pre-pandemic (clinical) insomnia experienced a meaningful improvement in sleep quality, whereas 20% of pre-pandemic good sleepers experienced worse sleep during the lockdown measures. Additionally, changes in sleep quality throughout the pandemic were associated with negative affect and worry.

Conclusion: Our data suggests that there is no uniform effect of the lockdown on sleep quality. COVID-19 lockdown measures more often worsened sleep complaints in pre-pandemic good sleepers, whereas a subset of people with pre-pandemic severe insomnia symptoms underwent a clinically meaningful alleviation of symptoms in our sample.

© 2020 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

The lockdown measures in response to the COVID-19 pandemic have caused unprecedented changes in human behavior worldwide. Sleep is a physiological process which highly depends on environmental and social cues, and varies substantially with levels of stress [1]. Conceivably, environmental and social changes introduced by the pandemic were shown to affect sleep timing and duration in several recent studies across different populations [2–5]. However, self-reported poor sleep quality rather than short sleep duration carries the strongest risk for adverse health consequences [6]. Moreover, most sleep studies on lockdown consequences included populations with few pre-pandemic sleep complaints, and underrepresent approximately one third of the general population with poor sleep quality, and 10% who fulfill the criteria of persistent insomnia disorder. In the current large-scale study, we therefore recruited a population with a balanced representation of good and poor sleepers, and focused on perceived sleep quality [as indicated by the insomnia severity index (ISI) [7], where higher scores indicate worse sleep quality]. We collected weekly logs of sleep and mood during an average of 3.3 ± 1.7 weeks of the lockdown. Participants further retrospectively indicated their sleep quality prior to the pandemic (retrospectively assessed for February 2020). A subset of participants (n = 413, 61.9%) completed reports on their self-perceived sleep quality prior to the pandemic (data collected between May 2012 and December 2019). We found that there is no uniform effect of the lockdown on perceived sleep
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quality. Marked individual differences in individual response to the lockdown would have been overlooked by simply calculating a whole-sample mean change. The lockdown on average worsened sleep in people that were previously good sleepers. In contrast, one out of four people with pre-pandemic clinical insomnia experienced a meaningful sleep improvement. Additionally, we observed that variability in self-reported sleep quality during lockdown was associated with changes in negative affect and worry.

Participants completed the Insomnia Severity Index (ISI; range 0–28) on their perceived sleep quality. Of the 667 participants in the current study, 221 (33.1%) were good sleepers (ISI scores 0–7), 233 (34.9%) had mild sleep complaints (ISI scores 8–14), and 213 (31.9%) had clinical insomnia (ISI scores 15–28) before the pandemic [7]. We inspected whether self-reported sleep quality changed meaningfully during the lockdown, where we defined meaningful change as a minimum of six-point absolute change as suggested by Yang et al. [8]. Weekly assessments during the lockdown (March 15th 2020 onwards) revealed a meaningful change in 169 (25.3%) of the participants, which occurred equally often in all three groups (ie, 51, 57, and 61, respectively; \( \chi^2(2) = 1.92, p = 0.38 \); Fig. 1A). However, the direction of change differed (\( \chi^2(2) = 73.03, p < 0.001 \)), such that the majority of changing pre-pandemic good sleepers experienced worsening of sleep quality, whereas the majority of people with prepandemic clinical insomnia who experienced sleep changes most often experienced sleep improvement. In interpreting the direction of change it is important to highlight that following our definition of meaningful change, people that already slept good before the pandemic (ie, an ISI score below six) or people that slept very poorly before the pandemic (ie, an ISI score above 22) could only experience meaningful change in one direction. Nevertheless, 84% of the people with pre-pandemic clinical insomnia had a pre-pandemic ISI score of 22 or less, allowing them to have meaningful change in sleep quality in both directions (See Fig. 1). Despite the possibility to either worsen or improve in sleep quality, of the people with pre-pandemic clinical insomnia who changed meaningfully, 86.9% showed an improvement in sleep quality.

Overall, the COVID-19 pandemic has been reported to negatively affect mental health [9–11]. It was therefore surprising to find that the lockdown elicited a clinically meaningful sleep improvement in a quarter of the people that suffered from insomnia prior to the lockdown whereas previous studies reported no changes [4,12] or a slight decrease [2,3] in sleep quality during the COVID-19 pandemic. However, the implications of the lockdown are not the same for everyone and differential emotional responses, including attenuation of negative affect [13], towards the pandemic have been reported [2,14]. Since individual differences in experiences and attitudes throughout the pandemic might be best captured in negative affect (eg “irritable”, “nervous”, “distressed”) and worry, we investigated whether these are related to the observed changes in sleep. In our sample, negative affect and worry significantly predicted sleep quality throughout the pandemic. Specifically, pre-pandemic good sleepers who had experienced a stronger worsening of sleep also experienced more negative affect during the

Fig. 1. Association between retrospective pre-pandemic insomnia severity and changes in sleep during the COVID-19 pandemic. Clinically meaningful changes absolute difference ≥ 6 points [7]; are shown in dark purple, whereas non-meaningful changes are coloured green. The lower panel shows the relationship between the mean negative affect during the pandemic and changes in sleep separately for pre-pandemic good sleepers, people with mild sleep complaints, and people with (clinical) insomnia. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)
lockdown (Fig. 1, lower panel left). Likewise, people with pre-
pandemic clinical insomnia who experienced a stronger amelio-
ration of insomnia experienced less negative affect during the
lockdown (Fig. 1, lower panel right).

In conclusion, we found profound individual differences in the
effect of the lockdown measures on perceived sleep quality.
Importantly, this effect could go both ways: some people experi-
enced improved sleep quality whereas others experienced wors-
endered sleep quality during the pandemic. We further observed that
the severity of negative affect and worry experienced during the
“intelligent lockdown” was related to the change in perceived sleep
quality. An improved understanding of this interplay may ulti-
mately inform strategies to alleviate sleep problems and deterio-
rating mental health.
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