Individual Differences in Sleep Timing Relate to Melanopsin-Based Phototransduction in Healthy Adolescents and Young Adults
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Study Objectives: Individual differences in sleep timing have been widely recognized and are of particular relevance in adolescents and young adults who often show mild to severely delayed sleep. The biological mechanisms underlying the between-subject variance remain to be determined. Recent human genetics studies showed an association between sleep timing and melanopsin gene variation, but support for functional effects on downstream pathways and behavior was not demonstrated before. We therefore investigated the association between the autonomic (i.e., pupil diameter) and behavioral (i.e., sleep timing) readouts of two different downstream brain areas, both affected by the same melanopsin-dependent retinal phototransduction: the olivary pretectal nucleus (OPN) and the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN).

Methods: Our study population included 71 healthy individuals within an age range with known vulnerability to a delayed sleep phase (16.8–35.7 y; 37 males, 34 females). Pupillometry was performed to estimate functionality of the intrinsic melanopsin-signaling circuitry based on the OPN-mediated post-illumination pupil response (PIPR) to blue light. Sleep timing was quantified by estimating the SCN-mediated mid-sleep timing in three different ways in parallel: using a chronotype questionnaire, a sleep diary, and actigraphy.

Results: All three measures consistently showed that those individuals with a later mid-sleep timing had a more pronounced PIPR (0.03 < P < 0.05), indicating a stronger blue-light responsiveness of the intrinsic melanopsin-based phototransduction circuitry.

Conclusions: Trait-like individual differences in the melanopsin phototransduction circuitry contribute to individual differences in sleep timing. Blue light-sensitive young individuals are more prone to delayed sleep.
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Significance
Biological mechanisms underlying individual differences in sleep timing are insufficiently understood. We show an association of individual differences in sleep timing with specific functionality of melanopsin-containing intrinsically photosensitive retinal ganglion cells (ipRGCs). Focusing on adolescents and young adults, an age range with known vulnerability to a delayed sleep phase, we measured the post-illumination pupil response after blue light. This measure of intrinsic ipRGC functionality was consistently associated with three independent measures of sleep timing (i.e., a chronotype questionnaire, a sleep diary, and actigraphy). Individuals with a later sleep timing had a stronger intrinsic ipRGC responsiveness to blue light. Clinical interventions for delayed sleep phase syndrome may benefit from considering hypersensitivity to blue light.

INTRODUCTION
The suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) in the hypothalamus is the central pacemaker for endocrine, physiological, and behavioral rhythms.1 The rhythms orchestrated by this endogenous clock are characterized by a periodicity of approximately 24 h and are therefore dubbed “circadian rhythms” (circa = about, dies = day).2 In order to be in phase with the environment (i.e., a day on earth), however, these circadian rhythms need to be synchronized to an exact 24-h cycle. The most important environmental information used for this entrainment is the light-dark cycle.3 Key players in this so called circadian photoentrainment are the intrinsically photosensitive retinal ganglion cells (ipRGCs)4,5: a small subset of retinal ganglion cells expressing the photopigment melanopsin (peak sensitivity 460–480 nm), which renders them intrinsically photosensitive.4,5 The ipRGCs integrate their intrinsic photoreceptor with the extrinsic input from the classic rod and cone phototransduction pathways.8 As a result of direct connections between ipRGCs and the brain, the integrated information on environmental light is directly transduced from the retina to downstream areas, with the SCN as one of the main targets.8 From an evolutionary perspective, ambient light solely consisted of sunlight, but since the introduction of electric light, the 24-h exposure profile is increasingly becoming a mixture of solar and artificial light.10 Findings from previous studies suggest that this “light pollution” affects photoentrainment of the biological clock and may shift circadian rhythms.11,12

The direction of photoinduced circadian shifts is dependent on the diurnal profile of solar and artificial light exposure according to a so-called phase response curve.13 Morning bright light advances circadian phase,14 whereas bright light exposure in the evening causes a phase delay.15 Classic studies on circadian rhythms identified circadian phase changes from shifts in the core body temperature minimum or the dim light onset of the sleep-promoting hormone melatonin.16,17 Photo-induced shifts were also found in timing of the sleep-wake cycle,18 which is the most explicitly expressed behavioral circadian rhythm.19,20 Interestingly, the phase shifting effects of light on sleep-wake timing show a considerable interindividual variation.21 Whereas entrainment in “early birds” is
more likely to result in a sleep-wake schedule that is advanced relative to the environmental light-dark cycle, the entrainment of “night owls” is more likely to result in a sleep-wake schedule that is relatively delayed. These individual differences in internal-external phase relationships are thought to result, in part, from polymorphisms in core clock genes. Recent findings moreover suggest that the individual differences may involve as well polymorphisms in genes of the phototransduction circuitry. Human genetics studies found an association between sleep timing and melanopsin gene variation. Previous work also showed chronotype-dependent differential responses to light. It remains to be evaluated, however, whether such individual differences actually involve a specific functionality of the melanopsin phototransduction circuitry. We here address this question, by assessing the association of individual differences in a pupillary response that is independent from the inputs from rods and cones and specific to intrinsic melanopsin-dependent phototransduction effects on the downstream olivary pretectal nucleus (OPN), with those in three different markers of sleep timing involving the SCN.

We focus on healthy adolescents and young adults, a population in which a mild to severely delayed sleep timing is highly prevalent. Functionality of the intrinsic melanopsin-driven phototransduction circuitry is assessed from the post-illumination pupil response (PIPR) after bright blue light (i.e., the sustained pupil constriction), which indicates the strength of the photosensitivity of the intrinsic melanopsin-signaling phototransduction circuitry. Individual differences in PIPR are correlated with sleep timing assessed in three ways: using the Munich Chronotype Questionnaire (MCTQ), the Consensus Sleep Diary, and actigraphy.

METHODS

Participants
We included participants with an age between 16 and 36 y. Other inclusion criteria were self-acclaimed health and no use of medication, as indicated by the sleepregistry.nl implementation of the health-related questions of the Duke Structured Interview for Sleep Disorders. The sleepregistry.nl implementation includes questions on current and past health issues according to the 10 categories of the International Classification of Diseases. Shift workers were excluded. Nagel anomalouscope tests were used to exclude participants suffering from color vision deficiency. Participants received oral and written information on the study and signed informed consent before participation. The study was approved by the Medical Ethical Committee of the VU University Medical Center Amsterdam.

Our study population included 37 males and 34 females with a mean age ± standard deviation [range] of 22.7 ± 5.0 [16.8 – 35.7] y, and was composed of participants included in three different studies. As part of these studies, all 71 participants were subjected to a PIPR assessment, and completed the MCTQ to obtain a measure for habitual sleep timing. Recent sleep timing was measured in 56 of the 71 participants using a sleep diary and actigraphy: in 29 participants immediately following the PIPR assessment and in 27 participants one month prior to the PIPR assessment.

Post-illumination Pupil Response
Most characteristics of the pupillary light reflex are determined by the combined activation of rods, cones, and melanopsin. Conversely, the PIPR is a feature of the pupillary light reflex that is almost entirely driven by melanopsin activation, with only limited contribution of rod and cone activity, and can therefore be used to quantify the functionality of the intrinsic melanopsin-signaling system. Details of the pupillometry paradigm for PIPR assessment are described elsewhere. In brief, using a custom-made infrared pupillometry setup, the pupil diameter of the left eye was measured while the dilated (tropicamide 0.5%) pupil of the right eye underwent a light exposure protocol. This protocol contained five consecutive 5-min blocks: baseline dark; monochromatic red light (peak wavelength (full width half maximum): 630 (20) nm, luminance: 375 cd/m²) to maximize the effect of subsequent blue light; dark; monochromatic blue light (peak wavelength (full width half maximum): 470 (20) nm, luminance: 375 cd/m²); and postblue dark. We calculated the PIPR by subtracting pupil diameter during post-blue dark from pupil diameter during baseline dark. All PIPR assessments were performed between 8:30 and 17:00 to avoid the evening and nighttime modulation of the melanopsin-based phototransduction circuitry. We previously showed that this outcome parameter is sensitive to individual differences and has very high within-subject test-retest reliability.

Habitual Sleep Timing: Munich Chronotype Questionnaire
From the MCTQ we obtained habitual lights-out time, sleep onset latency, and final wake-up time separately for work days and free days. Sleep onset time was calculated by subtracting sleep onset latency from lights-out time. Sleep duration was calculated as the duration between sleep onset time and final wake-up time and mid-sleep as the midpoint between them.

Recent Sleep Timing: Consensus Sleep Diary
As a second measure of sleep timing, 56 participants kept a sleep diary for 1 w. From the sleep diaries we obtained lights-out time, sleep onset latency, and final wake-up time. For each of the 7 days, sleep onset time, mid-sleep time, and sleep duration were calculated as previously described.

Recent Sleep Timing: Actigraphy
To obtain an objective estimate of sleep timing, 56 participants wore an actigraph during the week they kept a sleep diary. Participants were equipped with either a traditional actigraph (Philips Activwatch Spectrum, Philips Respironics, Murrysville, PA, USA) or a microelectromechanical accelerometer (Move II, Mivosens GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany). We previously showed almost perfect agreement between these two types of actigraphs in discriminating between sleep and wake. Sleep onset time and final wake-up time were automatically estimated using a detection algorithm implemented in Matlab (Version 2014A, The Mathworks Inc., Natick, MA, USA). The algorithm searches for these two parameters between the lights-out time and final wake-up time as obtained from the sleep diary (https://github.com/blindert/actant-1). Mid-sleep and sleep duration were calculated as previously described.
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Mixed-effect regression models were used to estimate how individual differences in the PIPR predicted individual differences in sleep timing. Mid-sleep was used as the main marker for sleep timing. Ancillary analyses with sleep onset time and final wake-up time as outcome measures were run to determine whether mid-sleep shifts arose from changes in sleep onset time, wake-up time, or both. Finally, it was evaluated whether individual differences in the PIPR predicted individual differences in sleep duration.

The data from all three measurement techniques represented two-level hierarchies. For each participant, the MCTQ provided two sleep timing measures, one for work days and one for free days. The sleep diaries and actigraphy provided seven sleep timing measures: five for work days and two for free days. Type of day (work day versus free day) was included as within-subject time-varying covariate, dummy coded as 0 for work days and 1 for free days. Age and sex were initially included as possible confounding covariates. Sex was dummy coded as 0 for females and 1 for males. Covariates were selected for inclusion in the final model using backward elimination and comparing models with likelihood-ratio tests. The PIPR and age variable were centered around the grand mean to optimize interpretation of the model’s intercept and slope parameters. Q-Q plots were made for all models to evaluate the assumption of a normal distribution of the residuals. Mixed-effect regression models were conducted using the “lme4” package for R (Version 3.1.1, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). The significance of the effects of the independent variables on the sleep timing variables was evaluated using t-tests with the denominator degrees of freedom based on Satterthwaite approximation.

Underscoring the value of multiple outcome measures, sleep timing derived from the MCTQ shared no more than 48.3% of its variance with the variance in mid-sleep timing estimated from sleep diaries and 51.2% of its variance with the variance in mid-sleep timing estimated from actigraphy. The mid-sleep timing estimates from sleep diaries and actigraphy shared 94.5% of their interindividual variance. Regression analyses for all three measures consistently indicated that people with more pronounced PIPR showed a later mid-sleep timing (MCTQ: P = 0.03; sleep diary: P = 0.046; actigraphy: P = 0.04) (Figure 1). The association between the magnitude of the PIPR and mid-sleep timing arose from later sleep onset (MCTQ: P = 0.01; sleep diary: P = 0.02; actigraphy: P = 0.04) rather than a delay in wake-up time (MCTQ: P = 0.15; sleep diary: P = 0.30; actigraphy: P = 0.17) (Table 1). MCTQ data indicated that individuals with a more pronounced PIPR had a shorter sleep duration (P = 0.04), but this association was not confirmed using sleep duration estimates from sleep diaries (P = 0.12) or actigraphy recordings (P = 0.25).

The association of individual differences in PIPR magnitude and sleep timing was not secondary to confounding by common effects of age or sex. Sex did not affect either PIPR magnitude (P = 0.82) or any of the three mid-sleep variables (0.19 < P < 0.51). Whereas PIPR magnitude decreased with
The aim of the current study was to assess the relationship between individual sleep timing and interindividual variation in PIPR (see linear regression models did not eliminate the association between individual differences in the timing of sleep in a healthy young population with known vulnerability to a delayed sleep phase. We found a significant association showing that individuals with a stronger blue-light responsiveness of the intrinsic melanopsin-based phototransduction circuitry slept at a later phase of the 24-h light-dark cycle.

Previous studies showed that individual differences in the habitual timing of sleep are related to the magnitude of the effect of light exposure on acute melatonin levels. One study reported that two individuals with early habitual bedtimes lacked the melatonin-suppressing effect of light. In contrast, another study showed enhanced light-induced melatonin suppression in individuals with very late habitual bedtimes due to delayed sleep phase disorder. Interestingly, others showed that individuals with an increased photoinduced delay of melatonin secretion had a stronger PIPR. These endocrine findings are in line with our results on behavioral circadian measures, indicating that an increased PIPR strength is associated with a larger photoinduced delay of sleep timing. For future studies it would therefore be interesting to integrate endocrine and behavioral circadian measures and relate them to the PIPR to more specifically investigate to what extent individual phase differences are related to individual differences at the very earliest stage of circadian photoentrainment (i.e. the melanopsin-expressing pRGCs in the retina). If a robust association is demonstrated, it is tempting to imagine the feasibility of estimating an individual’s circadian phase from the PIPR in combination with one’s 24-h light exposure profile. This would be a major advantage, because such measures may be less costly than dim light melatonin onset assessment (i.e., one of the most reliable markers of the phase of the circadian pacemaker in the SCN).

A limitation of our study was that our design does not allow for a causal interpretation of the association between individual differences in sleep timing and interindividual variation in functionality of the intrinsic melanopsin-based phototransduction circuitry. However, we consider it more likely that an individual’s melanopsin-based phototransduction circuitry affects habitual sleep, rather than that an individual night affects the PIPR, because we have previously shown that the PIPR magnitude is highly replicable across multiple assessments within subjects, whereas sleep timing is quite variable over subsequent nights. An adequate estimate of habitual sleep timing requires averaging 1 w of data to overcome most of the day-to-day variance, as employed in the current study. A limitation of the study was that information on the participant’s use of alcohol, health food supplements, or recreational drugs was incomplete. The intake of such substances may alter the pupil response and sleep timing and thus have increased the unexplained variance in our data. We did not obtain the number of alcohol consumptions during the week in which the participants filled out the sleep diary and wore

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1—Model estimates of the effects of post-illumination pupil response, age, and type of day on sleep timing.</th>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of day (free vs. work)</td>
</tr>
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</tr>
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<td></td>
</tr>
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Mean values ± standard error are displayed. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001. Each estimate was obtained from the MCTQ (top row), the Consensus Sleep Diary (sleep diary; center row), and actigraphy (bottom row). The intercept reflects the population mean during work days and is referenced to midnight (00:00). MCTQ, Munich Chronotype Questionnaire; PIPR, post-illumination pupil response.
an actigraph. We found no association between alcohol intake and mid-sleep during work days (P = 0.35), indicating that the possible confounding effect of substance use on our results would be only small, if present. Another limitation of our study was that the PIPR assessment only allows for a quantification of the functionality of the intrinsic melanopsin-based phototransduction circuitry and not for estimating functionality of the extrinsic rod and cone pathways. For future studies it may therefore be interesting to include functional measures on both the intrinsic as well as the extrinsic circuitry in order to get a more complete picture of the individual differences in ipRGC functionality. Another possible limitation of our study was that we did not measure light history. Differences in habitual environmental light exposure may contribute to interindividual variation in sleep timing.13 However, whereas a different way presented here, may be a very reliable biomarker with consider-able interindividual differences, yet marginal sensitivity to environmental and behavioral changes. We therefore consider it likely that these individual differences in functionality of the intrinsic melanopsin-dependent circuitry result from the natural variation in the melanopsin gene. Indeed, melanopsin-driven characteristics of pupillary light reflex have previously been associated with melanopsin polymorphisms.54 Interestingly, other studies reported that melanopsin gene polymorphisms were also associated with the timing of sleep.25,26 Future studies should ideally combine assessment of melanopsin polymorphisms, PIPR, dim light melatonin onset, and sleep timing in order to elucidate the mechanisms underlying their associations and to assess the value of the PIPR assessment to understand individual differences in circadian regulation.

ABBREVIATIONS

DLMO, Dim Light Melatonin Onset
ipRGC, intrinsically photosensitive retinal ganglion cell
MCTQ, Munich ChronoType Questionnaire
OPN, olivary pretectal nucleus
PIPR, post-illumination pupil response
SCN, suprachiasmatic nucleus
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