Importance of particle formation to reconstructed water column biogenic silica fluxes

Brivaëla Moriceau,¹ Morgane Gallinari,¹ Karline Soetaert,¹ and Olivier Ragueneau¹

Received 9 August 2006; revised 23 April 2007; accepted 11 May 2007; published 24 August 2007.

[1] The particles sinking out of the ocean's surface layer are made up of a mixture of living and dead algal cells, fecal pellets, and aggregates, while the parameters used to describe the behavior of biogenic silica (bSiO₂) in today's models are experimentally determined on freely suspended diatoms (FC). In a simple advection-reaction model we combine sinking rates of FC, zooplankton fecal pellets, and aggregates with recent measurements of bSiO₂ dissolution to reconstruct theoretical downward profiles of bSiO₂ fluxes at eight sites in the world ocean. Statistical analyses showed that sinking and dissolution parameters for two pools of bSiO₂ are required to model particle fluxes accurately. The two-pool model results confirm the importance of seasonality for particle formation and its connection to the percentage of the bSiO₂ production that reaches the seafloor. The depth of bSiO₂ recycling appears to be influenced more by particle formation than by dissolution rates of bSiO₂ or the ballast effect of the dense diatom frustules.

Citation: Moriceau, B., M. Gallinari, K. Soetaert, and O. Ragueneau (2007), Importance of particle formation to reconstructed water column biogenic silica fluxes, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 21, GB3012, doi:10.1029/2006GB002814.

1. Introduction

[2] Diatoms form the basis of a short food chain that leads to carbon export to higher trophic levels [Cushing, 1989; Thornton, 2002] and to deeper waters [Buesseler, 1998]. They are an important player in the global carbon cycle because of their participation in an efficient food web and their ability to aggregate [Smetacek, 1999]. Because diatoms require silica to build their frustules, their share in total primary production (PP) depends on the availability of the nutrient silicic acid (DSi) in many regions of the world ocean such as the equatorial Pacific [Dugdale *et al.*, 1995; Leynaert *et al.*, 2001] or the Southern Ocean [Brzezinski *et al.*, 2001; Pondaven *et al.*, 1998]. The availability of DSi in surface waters, in particular relative to other nutrients, is linked to the composition of the source waters in upwelling regions and/or the intensity of biogenic silica (bSiO₂) recycling in surface waters in other oceanic regions. Depending on the depth of bSiO₂ dissolution, diatom production is affected on different timescale. Shallow depth mineralization immediately influences diatom production and phytoplankton dynamics [Officer and Ryther, 1980]. Recycling in intermediate waters [Sarmiento *et al.*, 2004] and/or deep waters [Ragueneau *et al.*, 2000] affects the meridional distribution of DSi or the redistribution of DSi among oceanic basins, respectively, with implications for intermediate to long timescales. A global average of 60% of the bSiO₂ produced in surface waters is recycled

in the first 100 m [Nelson *et al.*, 1995; Tréguer *et al.*, 1995]. This global mean hides large regional differences [Nelson *et al.*, 1995; Ragueneau *et al.*, 2000, 2002], which cannot be related to any simple trend in production or diatom abundance, nor to any single mechanism known to influence dissolution, such as temperature [Lawson *et al.*, 1978] or bacterial activity [Bidle and Azam, 1999]. The dissolution to production ratio, integrated over the surface layer ($\int D : \int P$), has been related to the formation of diatom blooms by Brzezinski *et al.* [2003]; this ratio is lower during blooms, leaving more room for export and deeper recycling. However, the use of global biogeochemical models to reproduce both DSi and bSiO₂ flux profiles in the global ocean is difficult and does not always agree with in situ measurements [Aumont *et al.*, 2003]. We hypothesize that the present difficulty in modeling both the bSiO₂ and DSi profiles of the global ocean are due to underestimating the importance of particle formation. Whereas aggregates and fecal pellets of large grazers are major vehicles for export (review given by Turner [2002] and Thornton [2002]), the parameters used to represent the fate of PP in global ocean models are still measured on freely suspended diatoms.

[3] To test this hypothesis, we developed a simple advection-reaction model using one and then two different pools of particles. The model combines a series of in vitro bSiO₂ dissolution experiments undertaken on the different constituents of the flux: freely suspended diatoms (compiled by Van Cappellen *et al.* [2002]), zooplankton fecal pellets [Schultes, 2004; M. Gallinari *et al.*, unpublished data, 2004] and aggregates [Moriceau *et al.*, 2007] with data on bSiO₂ production, export and deep fluxes from 8 biogeochemical provinces of the world ocean (described by Ragueneau *et al.* [2002]). The bSiO₂ downward fluxes are

¹Institut Universitaire Européen de la Mer, UMR CNRS 6539, Plouzané, France.

Table 1. Range of Sinking Rates and Specific Dissolution Rates Cited in the Literature for Freely Suspended Cells, Aggregates, and Copepods Fecal Pellets

	Sinking Rate, m d ⁻¹		Dissolution Rate, d ⁻¹		Used in This Study ^a
	Range	References	Range	References	
Cells	0.2–20	<i>Bienfang</i> [1981], <i>Mann and Lazier</i> [1996], <i>Smayda</i> [1970], <i>Turner</i> [2002]	0.005–1.3	<i>Van Cappellen et al.</i> [2002]	0.044
Aggregates	1–370	<i>Allredge and Gotschalk</i> [1988], <i>Ridgwell et al.</i> [2002]	0.012–0.056	<i>Moriceau et al.</i> [2007]	0.022
Copepod fecal pellets	5–220	<i>Turner</i> [2002], <i>Wassmann et al.</i> [1999]	0.003–0.027	<i>Schultes</i> [2004], <i>M. Gallinari et al.</i> , unpublished data, 2004	0.022

^aThe column “Used in This Study” gives the dissolution values actually used herein. For the slow sinking/fast dissolving group (FC), we used the mean value given by *Van Cappellen et al.* [2002] from a compilation of studies, whereas for the LP (aggregates + fecal pellets) the rates are calculated from the average value given by *Van Cappellen et al.* [2002] divided by the factor of two which is the average decrease in dissolution rates observed when cells are incorporated into fecal pellets and aggregates [*Schultes*, 2004; *Moriceau et al.*, 2007; *M. Gallinari et al.*, unpublished data, 2004].

modeled to fit the downward flux data at the eight sites. This allows us to derive important information about particle formation in the upper ocean. The composition of the bSiO₂ fluxes in terms of large or small particles and, the sinking and specific bSiO₂ dissolution rates of each component help to unravel mechanisms involved in the spatial variability of the intensity and depth of bSiO₂ dissolution.

2. Approach: From Laboratory Experiments to a Virtual Water Column

2.1. Experimental Measurements

[4] The flux of matter sinking through the water column is mainly composed of freely suspended phytoplankton cells, aggregates, and zooplankton fecal pellets [*Turner*, 2002; *Thornton*, 2002]. Specific bSiO₂ dissolution rates are usually measured experimentally on freely suspended diatoms (compiled by *Van Cappellen et al.* [2002]). Recently, however, specific dissolution rates of bSiO₂ incorporated into aggregates [*Moriceau et al.*, 2007] and fecal pellets [*Schultes*, 2004; *M. Gallinari et al.*, unpublished data, 2004] have been measured in the laboratory and shown to be about half the rates measured on freely suspended diatoms (Table 1). Including the dissolution rates of all particle types in models should enable us to predict the bSiO₂ sedimentation flux more precisely. Here we consider a model of bSiO₂ flux with depth that includes two types of particles (free diatom cells: FC, and large particles: LP). We can keep the model simple with only two particle types by assuming that the sinking rates and specific bSiO₂ dissolution rates of aggregates and fecal pellets are similar as is demonstrated in Table 1. The FC group has the slow sinking rate and fast specific bSiO₂ dissolution rate characteristic of free diatom cells. The LP group has faster sinking rates than the FC and half the specific bSiO₂ dissolution rate. For comparison, the model was first run using only one type of particle with sinking properties and bSiO₂ dissolution rates between those of FC and LP particles as described below.

[5] The data used for this study were collected in eight biogeochemical provinces of the world ocean, for which annual measurements of bSiO₂ production, export and deep fluxes (at 2 depths) are available (data from *Ragueneau et al.* [2002]). In this data set, export fluxes (at 200 m) were derived from models or from sediment trap measurements

while deeper water fluxes (1000 and 3000 m depth) were measured using sediment traps. Two sites are located in the Equatorial (EqPac) and North Pacific (OSP), and two in the North Atlantic Ocean (PAP and BATS). Four are located in the Southern Ocean: one north of the Polar Front in the Pacific sector (NACC), two in the Polar Front Zone of the Atlantic (APFA) and Pacific (APFP) sectors, and one just south of the Polar Front in the Indian sector (POOZ) (see positions in Table 2). Four data points can be fitted for each site, providing a total of 32 data points.

2.2. Model Description

[6] The concentration profiles of bSiO₂ for each particle pool *i* (LP or FC) can be described by a simple advection-reaction model (equation (1)),

$$\frac{\partial \text{bSiO}_{2i}}{\partial t} = -S_i \cdot \frac{\partial \text{bSiO}_{2i}}{\partial z} - k_i(T) \cdot \text{bSiO}_{2i}, \quad (1)$$

where *t* is time (d), *z* depth (m), *S_i* is the sinking rate (m d⁻¹), and *k_i(T)* is the (temperature-dependent) first-order specific bSiO₂ dissolution rate (d⁻¹). Such first-order dissolution of bSiO₂ is often observed in batch experiments and leads to a simple exponential increase in the DSi concentration as a function of time [e.g., *Greenwood et al.*, 2001].

[7] Assuming steady state ($\frac{\partial \text{bSiO}_{2i}}{\partial t} = 0$), and constant sinking and dissolution rates, the concentration (mmol m⁻³) and flux of bSiO₂ (mmol m⁻² d⁻¹) at each water column depth (*z*) are given by equation (2):

$$\text{bSiO}_{2i}(z) = \text{bSiO}_{2i}(0) \cdot e^{-\frac{k_i}{S_i} z}, \quad (2)$$

which in terms of the total fluxes for the one-particle model and the two-particle model gives equation (3) and (3'), respectively,

$$\text{FbSiO}_2(z) = \text{PP}_{\text{bSiO}_2} \cdot e^{-\frac{k}{S} z} \quad (3)$$

$$\text{FbSiO}_2(z) = \text{PP}_{\text{bSiO}_2} \cdot \left[\alpha \cdot e^{-\frac{k_{\text{FC}}}{S_{\text{FC}}} z} + (1 - \alpha) \cdot e^{-\frac{k_{\text{LP}}}{S_{\text{LP}}} z} \right], \quad (3')$$

Table 2. Environmental Characteristics and Results of the Two Versions of the Two-Particle Model Calculated to Fit the bSiO₂ Flux Profiles at Eight Sites of the Global Ocean^a

	POOZ	APFP	NACC	APFA	OSP	EqPac	BATS	PAP
Latitude	−52	−60	−56.9	−50.1	50	1	28	48.8
Longitude	62	−170	−170.1	5.8	−145	−139	−67.5	−16.5
MMLD, m	259	235	390	180	100	48	100	576
SI	2.80	3.75	2.80	2.70	2.50	0.33	1.30	3.00
Model 2: Two-particle model, global S _{FC}								
Percent PP _{bSiO₂} in FC stage α, %	80	70	40	20	69	88	83	65
S _{FC} , m d ^{−1}	2.76	2.76	2.76	2.76	2.76	2.76	2.76	2.76
Percent PP _{bSiO₂} into LP (1 − α), %	20	30	60	80	31	12	17	35
S _{LP} , m d ^{−1}	470	53	27	47	90	812	92	55
Model 3: Two-particle model, variable S _{FC}								
Percent of PP _{bSiO₂} in FC stage α, %	68	81	78	17	64	88	83	85
S _{FC} , m d ^{−1}	1.4	4.5	10.3	0.1	2	0.1	0.1	6.7
Percent PP _{bSiO₂} into LP (1 − α), %	32	19	22	83	36	12	17	15
S _{LP} , m d ^{−1}	51	452	242	45	62	500	90	605

^aSee Figure 1. The depth of the maximum mixed layer (MMLD) and the location of the sites are from *Ragueneau et al.* [2002]. The seasonality indexes (SI) are calculated following the work of *Berger and Wefer* [1990]. The last rows depict combinations of sinking rates for FC (S_{FC}) and LP (S_{LP}) and percentage of bSiO₂ incorporated into the two groups of particles calculated with each version of the two-particle model from the best fits.

where α and (1 − α) correspond to bSiO₂ production (PP_{bSiO₂}, in mmol m^{−2} d^{−1}) in the FC and the LP groups in the upper layer, respectively.

[8] As temperature is allowed to vary, we use a piecewise approximation to model equation (3). The water column is subdivided into a number of layers (with thickness Δz) in which temperature is assumed constant. Then, for each fraction, the flux at the bottom (z + Δz) and top (z) of this layer are related by

$$\text{FbSiO}_{2i}(z + \Delta z) = \text{FbSiO}_{2i}(z) \cdot e^{-\frac{k_i(T)}{S_i} \Delta z}. \quad (4)$$

For the one-particle model the flux at z = 0 equals the bSiO₂ production (PP_{bSiO₂}), and for the two-particle model the bSiO₂ flux at each depth is the sum of the LP and FC fluxes.

$$\text{FbSiO}_{2\text{FC}}(0) = \alpha \cdot \text{PP}_{\text{bSiO}_2}$$

$$\text{FbSiO}_{2\text{LP}}(0) = (1 - \alpha) \cdot \text{PP}_{\text{bSiO}_2}$$

$$\text{FbSiO}_{2\text{TOT}}(z) = \text{FbSiO}_{2\text{FC}}(z) + \text{FbSiO}_{2\text{LP}}(z). \quad (5)$$

[9] In these equations, FbSiO_{2TOT}(z) is the flux of total bSiO₂ in mmol m^{−2} d^{−1} at depth z; PP_{bSiO₂} is the production flux of bSiO₂ in mmol m^{−2} d^{−1}; FbSiO_{2FC}(z) and FbSiO_{2LP}(z) are the fluxes of bSiO₂ in the FC and LP groups at depth z respectively. Here k_{FC}(T) and k_{LP}(T) are the specific bSiO₂ dissolution rates in d^{−1} given in the literature for FC particles (Table 1) and corrected by the factor of 2 less that was measured experimentally for LP particles. Rates were also corrected for temperature, according to equation (6) from *Rickert et al.* [2002]; temperature profiles of the water column at each site were from the NODC (Levitus) World Ocean Atlas 1998 (<http://www.cdc.noaa.gov/>).

$$\ln(k_z(T_2)) = \ln(k_1) + \frac{E_{\text{app}}}{R} \times \left(\frac{1}{T_1} - \frac{1}{T_2} \right), \quad (6)$$

where k₁ is the specific bSiO₂ dissolution rate in d^{−1} measured in controlled conditions in the laboratory; T₁ is the experimental temperature in degrees Kelvin (286.15 K); k_z(T₂) is the rate recalculated for the depth z at the in situ temperature T₂; and E_{app}/R = 7211 °K.

[10] To facilitate comparison among sites, the total flux is normalized to the production flux to obtain a profile of bSiO₂ flux expressed in % of bSiO₂ production. For both models we have

$$\% \text{FbSiO}_2(z) = \frac{\text{FbSiO}_{2\text{tot}}(z)}{\text{PP}_{\text{bSiO}_2}} \times 100, \quad (7)$$

which for the two-particle model becomes

$$\% \text{FbSiO}_2(z) = \frac{\text{FbSiO}_{2\text{FC}}(z) + \text{FbSiO}_{2\text{LP}}(z)}{\text{PP}_{\text{bSiO}_2}} \times 100. \quad (7')$$

2.3. Parameter Determination

[11] As a first step, we applied the one-pool model to fit the bSiO₂ flux profiles measured in the field. The specific dissolution rate was set to the value given in Table 1 for FC, and corrected for temperature at each site and depth using equation (6). At each site, the model 1 calculated the bSiO₂ sinking rate required to fit the in situ bSiO₂ flux data. Note that the fit is not improved when the model uses LP specific dissolution rates instead of k_{FC} nor an average of the two values.

[12] In a second step, bSiO₂ specific dissolution rates and the sinking rates for two pools of particles were included in the model (model 2). Specific bSiO₂ dissolution rates of FC and LP were set to values presented in Table 1 and corrected for in situ temperature at each depth using equation (6). The model 2 calculated a global sinking rate for FC particles (S_{FC}) for all sites, one LP sinking rate per site and the percent of bSiO₂ from the production which is incorporated into large particles (1 − α) or stays freely suspended (α).

Figure 1. Best fits (lines) of the three versions of the models and in situ (dots) bSiO₂ flux profiles, for eight sites in the global ocean described by *Ragueneau et al.* [2002] (positions given in Table 2). The dashed lines are the fits obtained from the one-particle model, the dotted lines describe the bSiO₂ fluxes calculated with the two-particle model that uses a global S_{FC} , and the solid lines depict the bSiO₂ profiles obtained from the two-particle model that uses a variable S_{FC} calculated at each site.

[13] Finally, in a third step (model 3), the parameter S_{FC} was allowed to vary at each site.

[14] In the three versions of the model, parameters were calculated by minimizing the sum of the square residuals using the Excel Solver and the GRG method (Generalized Reduced Gradient). These calculations were tested for many initial values because the algorithm used is nonlinear. Best fits to the data using the three model versions are shown for each of the eight sites in Figure 1.

2.4. Statistical Comparison of the One-Particle and Two-Particle Models

[15] We used an F test to compare the three versions of the model. The null hypothesis considers that adding

complexity to the model does not significantly decrease the sum of the square residuals between model and observed data (SSR). The calculated F value is obtained using equation (8) and compared to the F test critical value.

$$F = (SSR1 - SSR2)(df1 - df2)/(SSR2/df2). \quad (8)$$

SSR1 and SSR2 are the sum of the square residuals between the modeled and the experimental values; df1 and df2 are the degrees of freedom of the different versions of the model calculated as follows.

$$dfi = E - P - 1, \quad (9)$$

Figure 2. Sensitivity of the model, represented by successive variation of parameters. (a) Sensitivity of the model to the sinking rate of the slow sinking/fast dissolving particle S_{FC} , with S_{LP} set at 51 m d⁻¹ and α at 68%. (b) Sensitivity of the model to the sinking rate of the fast sinking/slow dissolving particle S_{LP} , with S_{FC} set at 1.4 m d⁻¹ and α at 68%. (c) Sensitivity of the model to the repartition of the bSiO₂ between the FC group α , and the LP group ($1 - \alpha$), with S_{LP} set at 51 m d⁻¹ and S_{FC} at 1.4 m d⁻¹. The graphs represent the results of the test for the POOZ site, the full circles and the full lines in each graph represent the in situ measurements of the bSiO₂ fluxes and the results of the model 3.

where E is the number of observed values the models has to fit (4 data for each of the 8 sites), and P is the number of variable parameters used to optimize the fit.

[16] The F test was first applied to compare the one-particle model (hereafter referred to as model 1) to a two-particle model using a global S_{FC} (model 2). Next, we compared two versions of the two-particle model, one using a global S_{FC} (model 2 again) and one using a variable S_{FC} at each site (model 3). When the model considered only one type of particle, it had 23 degrees of freedom. Model 2 used 17 parameters, providing 14 degrees of freedom. Finally, model 3 had 7 degrees of freedom.

3. Results

3.1. Comparison of the Model Versions: Importance of Particle Formation

[17] Figure 1 shows the reconstructed bSiO₂ profiles at the eight sites for the three versions of the model. Model 1 is clearly not able to fit the experimental data at any of the eight sites. When the model uses two different pools of bSiO₂ but only one global S_{FC} , the bSiO₂ profiles are close to the measured values except for APFP, NACC and PAP. The F-test determined, at a 99% confidence level, that model 2 provides a better fit to the data than model 1. Thus the use of a global S_{FC} of 2.76 m d⁻¹ (Table 2) is a good approximation. Note that at the PAP site, the flux at 1000 m is low compared to the flux at 3000 m and can be explained by the presence of swimmers in the trap [Lampitt *et al.*, 2001].

[18] Model 2, which considered a global FC sinking rate (S_{FC}), gives more degrees of freedom than model 1, but the use of a global S_{FC} is also an oversimplification. Indeed, for the PAP site in the northeast Atlantic Ocean, and for the NACC and APFP sites located in the Pacific sector of the Southern Ocean (north of the Polar Front and on the Polar Front, respectively), this approach does not fit the data closely. To improve the reconstruction of these bSiO₂ fluxes, the model has to use a S_{FC} higher than the global one. Reconstructions of the Southern Ocean profiles are generally improved by using model 3 with a varying S_{FC} (Figure 1). The F-test also favors model 3 over model 2 at the 95% confidence level. At the annual scale, FC sinking rates can be classified into two groups: fast S_{FC} in the Southern Ocean and PAP site and slow S_{FC} in the rest of the world. This trend may well reflect the production of large, fast sinking diatoms commonly observed in the Southern Ocean [Kemp *et al.*, 2006].

[19] Freely suspended diatoms and large particles have such different sinking rates and specific bSiO₂ dissolution rates that parameters of the two groups of particles must be considered to correctly reconstruct bSiO₂ flux profiles. The role and importance of each particle type is confirmed by a sensitivity analysis performed on the profiles using model 3 for the sinking rates of the two particles (shown for POOZ, Figure 2). Profiles of bSiO₂ fluxes are quite sensitive to variation in sinking rates: in the upper 1000 m the profile is mostly affected by the sinking rate of FC whereas deeper, the profile is more sensitive to variation of the LP sinking rate. The composition of the flux in the upper layer

Table 3. Residence Times of the Particles in the Mixing Layer (ML) in Days Calculated Considering Only Dissolution Processes and Considering Only Sedimentation Rates at the Eight Sites^a

	POOZ	APFP	NACC	APFA	OSP	EqPac	BATS	PAP
Residence time of FC in surface layer								
Considering only sinking	0.7	0.2	0.1	10	0.5	10	10	0.15
Considering only dissolution	56	56	43	60	36	8	8	21
Residence time of LP in surface layer								
Considering only sinking	0.020	0.002	0.004	0.022	0.016	0.002	0.011	0.002
Considering only dissolution	113	113	86	121	71	15	17	42

^aTo calculate the residence time of the particles considering only sinking processes, the sinking rates are normalized by the depth of the mixed layer (MMLD) as given in Table 2. The residence times of the particles in the mixed layer considering only dissolution processes are equal to $1/k(T)$, with $k(T)$ the specific dissolution rates corrected for temperature at each site.

(parameter α) is well constrained, as when the contribution of FC and LP changes by only 5–10%, the resulting profile of bSiO₂ flux significantly differs from the one measured (Figure 2c).

3.2. Model Outputs

[20] For the eight sites, model 3 provides sinking rates ranging from 45 to 605 m d⁻¹ for the LP and from 0.1 to 10.4 m d⁻¹ for the FC (Table 2 and Figure 1), consistent with ranges found in the literature (Table 1). The sinking rates calculated by model 3 confirm the prevalence of aggregates and fecal pellets in the LP group (see values and references in Table 1) and the fact that the FC group must be essentially composed of freely suspended diatoms, small and large. Note that the two-particle models (models 2 and 3) cannot always strictly separate LP and FC using bSiO₂ sinking and specific dissolution rates. For the two sites located in the Southern Ocean (APFP and NACC) and for PAP, model 3 produces faster FC sinking rates and a faster LP sinking rates than those calculated by model 2. The S_{FC} calculated by the model 2 is in these cases lowered by the other sites' S_{FC} . The difference between the results of the two models for APFP, NACC and PAP shows the importance of a third group of particles that is included in LP in model 2 results and in FC in model 3 results. Large diatoms that have been observed in areas like the Southern Ocean, Gulf of California, and North Pacific Gyre [Kemp *et al.*, 2000, 2006] apparently dominate the production of APFP, NACC and PAP. Following the same argument, the very low S_{LP} and S_{FC} calculated by model 3 for APFA and POOZ suggest simultaneous production of small and large diatoms. Therefore there are really three groups of particles (LP, freely suspended large cells, and freely suspended small cells); however, the large, freely suspended diatoms have been included in the LP group here as the best compromise since the model can only account for two groups of particles.

[21] Also, even if not shown here, giant aggregates with small and even null sinking rates such as those observed by Rinaldi *et al.* [1995] would certainly be integrated into the FC group of particles.

4. Discussion

4.1. Particle Formation: A Major Factor Determining bSiO₂ Export and Recycling

[22] In their global analysis of bSiO₂ production and dissolution, Brzezinski *et al.* [2003] demonstrated that the

dissolution to production ratio integrated over the surface layer ($\int D:\int P$) is low under bloom conditions, leaving ample room for net export. They underlined the importance of considering dissolution when determining export of matter. Using the model results, we compare the importance of the two processes, sinking and dissolution, by calculating particle residence times in the mixed layer at each site with only sinking or only dissolution considered (Table 3). The comparison of these bSiO₂ residence times in surface waters suggests that this global data set of bSiO₂ production and dissolution cannot ignore particle formation. Sinking rates of large particles act on much shorter timescales than dissolution rates, so, rather than having the export determined by the intensity of the recycling, the intensity of export ($1-\int D:\int P$) is linked to the rate of large particle formation and drives the intensity of shallow-water recycling. The rate at which diatoms are incorporated into large particles will determine how rapidly exported they are as their fast sinking rates leave no time for dissolution to occur in surface waters. In such cases, the dissolution depth is translated downward. The recycling intensity in surface waters depends first on the ability of diatoms to remain as single cells and secondly on factors such as temperature [Bidle *et al.*, 2002; Fujii and Chai, 2005; Lawson *et al.*, 1978] and bacterial activity [Bidle and Azam, 1999] which control their fate in surface waters.

[23] Thus we suggest that low $\int D:\int P$ ratios under bloom conditions [Brzezinski *et al.*, 2003] are caused by a rapid export of bloom diatoms [Buesseler, 1998], which extracts bSiO₂ from the surface waters where intense recycling generally occurs under nonbloom conditions. Recognizing the importance of seasonality to the sinking of particles, we calculated the seasonality index of each site as defined by Berger and Wefer [1990] (Table 2) as six minus the number of months necessary to generate one half of the annual productivity when the data are ordered from the most productive to the least productive month (the 'production half-time'). Considering productivity, the eight sites could be classified in three groups [Berger and Wefer, 1990]: EqPac and BATS have constant productivity (seasonality index close to 0); OSP, APFA, NACC, POOZ, PAP have sinusoidal production (seasonality index between 2 and 3) and APFP has a strong seasonal peak in productivity (seasonality index > 3.5). As shown in Figure 3, sites exhibiting the lowest seasonality (EqPac, BATS) also exhibit the lowest export at 100 m (i.e., low $1-\int D:\int P$). Conversely, sites with higher seasonality (APFP, NACC and

Figure 3. Importance of the seasonality. The $1-\int D:\int P$ at 100 m is calculated from the model outputs as a function of the seasonality index [Berger and Wefer, 1990]. The site names are given near each corresponding point.

APFA) display a larger $1-\int D:\int P$ at 100 m. Even if seasonality is not the only important factor, Figure 3 confirms its major role in particle formation. Indeed grazing and aggregation processes are more abundant at the end of a bloom [Buesseler, 1998] and consequently the export of matter out of the surface layer is also increased. To properly model diatom export versus recycling, further research efforts must focus not only on dissolution properties, but perhaps more importantly, on representing particle formation during bloom termination.

[24] Another implication of our model results concerns the ballast theory. *Armstrong et al.* [2002] established a correlation between organic carbon and ballast (bSiO₂, CaCO₃) fluxes below the mixing layer. On the basis of this model, *Klaas and Archer* [2002] concluded that organic carbon is carried into the deeper waters preferentially by calcium carbonate, which has a higher density (2.71 g cm⁻³) than opal (2.1 g cm⁻³). *Passow* [2004] proposed the inverse of this hypothesis, that carbon, in the form of TEP, could transport organic matter and ballast to the deep sea by promoting aggregation. In APFP, OSP and NACC sites (Table 2), the fast S_{LP} suggested the dominance of large diatoms. At these sites, freely suspended diatoms can be exported from the mixed layer (Table 4) owing to the low temperature at the surface layer and/or high sinking rates.

However, at most other sites, even ballasted with a frustule whose density is twice that of organic matter (1.06 g cm⁻³), a single diatom almost completely dissolves in the upper WML. This observation calls for more caution when considering the ballast theory and emphasizes once again the importance of bloom-ending processes to explain sedimentation fluxes. The fact that POC and ballast fluxes are closely linked below the mixed layer [*Armstrong et al.*, 2002] is not necessarily related only to the higher density of bSiO₂ and CaCO₃ compared to that of organic matter. The efficiency of sedimentation depends primarily on the incorporation of diatoms into large particles like aggregates or fecal pellets (Figure 2c) in the mixed layer; the ballasting of these large particles throughout the whole water column plays a secondary role (Figure 2b).

4.2. Implications for the Silicate Pump

[25] The silicate pump is the process by which bSiO₂ is exported from surface waters with a greater efficiency than PON, driving the system toward DSi limitation. According to *Dugdale et al.* [1995], grazers are the motor of this silicate pump; whereas nitrogen is largely assimilated or recycled by grazers and therefore retained at the surface, bSiO₂ is packaged into fast-sinking fecal pellets and exported from the mixed layer. The silicate pump model was developed initially for the Equatorial Pacific [*Dugdale and Wilkerson*, 1998] and later applied to the Southern Ocean [*Brzezinski et al.*, 2001]. It is based on several assumptions, including that bSiO₂ dissolution in surface waters is negligible [*Dugdale et al.*, 1995]. Our results clearly contradict this assumption at the EqPac site [*Dugdale and Wilkerson*, 1998]. EqPac is the site with the shallowest recycling in our model output (Figure 1), as observed previously in the field [*Blain et al.*, 1999]. The EqPac site is well known for its low export efficiency [*Buesseler*, 1998], possibly related to its small seasonal variability (Table 2 and Figure 3). Consequently, the fraction of bSiO₂ production incorporated into large particles by aggregation/fecal pellet production is lowest at the EqPac site on an annual basis (Table 2). Our results (Table 4) suggest that the vast majority of the diatoms remain as single cells in surface waters, and 88% dissolve before reaching the base of the mixed layer (on average, 50 m). This extensive dissolution is consistent with the high temperatures (i.e., high dissolution rate) and bacterial activity (i.e.,

Table 4. The bSiO₂ Fluxes of the Eight Sites at the Maximum Mixed Layer Depth (MMLD), in Terms of Percent of Total bSiO₂ Production at the Surface Layer (PP_{bSiO₂}), Contribution of the Slow Sinking/Fast Dissolving Group (FC) to the Percent of PP_{bSiO₂} That Reaches This Depth, and Percent of PP_{bSiO₂} That Sinks Down to the Seafloor^a

	POOZ	APFP	NACC	APFA	OSP	EqPac	BATS	PAP
bSiO ₂ flux at MMLD, % of the PP _{bSiO₂}	34	53	58	80	50	12	16	18
bSiO ₂ flux on FC stage at the MMLD, % of the PP _{bSiO₂}	4	34	36	0	16	0	0	3
bSiO ₂ flux at the seafloor, % of the PP _{bSiO₂}	17	18	18	37	19	11	7	14

^aFor MMLD, see also Table 2.

Figure 4. The $1-\int D:\int P$ calculated at 100 m with the model 3 versus the f -ratios. The f -ratios are from *Nelson et al.* [2002] for the Southern Ocean and *Falkowski et al.* [2003] for the other sites. The names of seven of the eight sites are indicated near the corresponding point. APFA site is not in the graph as no f -ratio is available at this site. The solid line delimits the zones where f -ratio is higher or lower than $1-\int D:\int P$ at 100 m which gives an indication of the strength of the silica pump.

fast removal of protective organic coatings) encountered in these equatorial waters [*Bidle et al.*, 2002]. It is important to note that these are annual fluxes. Episodic events such as Tropical Instability Waves have been shown to trigger intense diatom concentrations at fronts [*Yoder et al.*, 1994], leading to massive sinking of algal material and the formation of large flocs of phytodetritus on the seafloor [*Smith et al.*, 1996]. However, although these episodes may be very important for sustaining life in the mesopelagic and at the sediment-water interface [*C. R. Smith et al.*, 2002; *K. L. Smith et al.*, 2002], or for formation of paleoceanographic records [*Ragueneau et al.*, 2000], our results suggest that they do not contribute appreciably to annual POC and bSiO₂ fluxes in oligotrophic ecosystems.

[26] *Brzezinski et al.* [2003] have suggested that a measure of the strength of the silica pump can be obtained by comparing $1-\int D:\int P$ for Si with the f -ratio for N (representing export intensity for Si and N respectively). When the $1-\int D:\int P$ values obtained from our model are plotted versus the f -ratio for each site (obtained from *Nelson et al.* [2002] for the Southern Ocean and from *Falkowski et al.* [2003] for the other sites) (Figure 4), the silica pump appears to be most pronounced at APFP and NACC sites. At the EqPac site, $1-\int D:\int P$ is close to 0.12, whereas the f -ratio is between 0.1 and 0.17 [*Dugdale et al.*, 1992; *McCarthy et al.*, 1996]. Since $1-\int D:\int P$ is less than or equal to the f -ratio at this site, it would appear that the silica pump is weak or nonexistent.

[27] The silica pump will be most pronounced under the following two conditions: (1) when particle formation is extensive enough to induce rapid export from the mixed layer, and (2) when Si and N cycling are decoupled, so that more Si is exported relative to N. To simplify the model as

much as possible, we pooled aggregates and fecal pellets into a single group to reconstruct bSiO₂ fluxes. This simplification may lead to some inaccuracies, as grazing (i.e., fecal pellet production) and aggregation can have very different effects on the silica pump [see *Ragueneau et al.*, 2006]. While grazers assimilate C and N from diatom material, bSiO₂ passes through the gut quasi-inertly, leading to a fivefold increase in the Si/C ratio as the material is egested [*Cowie and Hedges*, 1996; *Tande and Slagstad*, 1985]. By contrast, diatoms that are incorporated within aggregates rather than ingested by zooplankton would tend to remain alive for a longer time, fixing their Si/C ratio at a relatively constant value [*Moriceau et al.*, 2007]. Despite this caveat, with our simple model we can at least identify sites with a high flux of fast-sinking particles, and see that $1-\int D:\int P$ (at 100 m) is highest at sites with the most seasonality (Figure 3). Clearly, particle formation at the end of blooms is a key factor controlling the silica pump. Further investigation of aggregation dynamics is crucial for understanding temporal and spatial variations in the strength of the silica pump, as well as the role of diatoms in the biological carbon pump [e.g., *Ragueneau et al.*, 2006].

4.3. Impact on the Geochemistry of the Seafloor

[28] Our results have important implications for the calibration of bSiO₂ mass accumulation rates (MAR) as a proxy of paleoproductivity [*Ragueneau et al.*, 2000]. Larger opal MAR need not necessarily be ascribed to higher surface productivity. At the POOZ site (Figure 2), for example, a doubling in the bSiO₂ rain rate can be achieved without any change in surface bSiO₂ production, through either (1) a doubling in the incorporation of bSiO₂ into large particles (i.e., from 20% to 40%), (2) a 3.33-fold increase in the LP sinking rate (from 30 to 100 m d⁻¹), or (3) a 2.67-fold decrease in the LP dissolution rate from 0.04 to 0.015 d⁻¹. These ranges in values are all realistic, and a small variation in one of them can significantly increase the amount of bSiO₂ reaching the seafloor. Furthermore, these 3 factors are not necessarily independent and can therefore have additive effects. For example, incorporation into large particles would most likely increase both the particle sinking rate and decrease the bSiO₂ dissolution rate [*Moriceau et al.*, 2007]. Clearly, improving our understanding of how siliceous sediments accumulate requires proper consideration of particle formation and biogeochemical cycling in sinking particles. This is crucial not only for determining the depth of bSiO₂ dissolution in the water column, but also the extent of silica burial in sediments [*Gallinari et al.*, 2002; *Khalil et al.*, 2007].

5. Conclusions and Perspectives

[29] In this paper, we have combined sinking and silica dissolution rates [*Schultes*, 2004; *Moriceau et al.*, 2007] for three types of siliceous particles (diatoms as free cells, incorporated into zooplankton fecal pellets, or into aggregates) to reconstruct theoretical downward profiles of bSiO₂ fluxes. By applying a simple advection-reaction model to 8 sites of the world ocean where annual estimates of bSiO₂ production are available [*Ragueneau et al.*, 2002],

we were able to fit these theoretical profiles to measured bSiO₂ fluxes derived from sediment trap data. Such an approach allowed us to derive the sinking rates of particles and partitioning of bSiO₂ between freely suspended diatoms and large particles. When diatoms are incorporated into large particles via aggregation or incorporation into fecal pellets, this can impact bSiO₂ recycling and possibly other elements like C and N. Further experimentation would be needed, however, to assess this last point.

[30] The competition between recycling in surface waters and export to depth is controlled by the intensity of particle formation rather than by the extent of dissolution in surface waters. For bSiO₂ incorporated into sinking aggregates and fecal pellets, export is much faster than dissolution. Therefore the extent of dissolution in surface waters depends primarily on the amount of diatom cells that remain freely suspended and can subsequently be degraded by bacteria [Bidle and Azam, 1999]. Thus, even ballasted by its frustule, a diatom would be recycled if not incorporated into fast-sinking aggregates or fecal pellets.

[31] This finding also has implications for the use of opal MAR as a proxy of paleoproductivity, which should account not only for the production signal, but also the extent of incorporation into large particles. Furthermore, just as bSiO₂ preservation depends on the types of particles transporting diatoms toward the seafloor, it likely affects C preservation as well. Further investigation is needed of the extent of C recycling within aggregates and fecal pellets, as this has major implications for C export and benthic food webs.

[32] Our model demonstrated that dissolution in surface waters is extremely high at the EqPac site, most likely owing to the small percentage of bSiO₂ production being incorporated, on an annual basis, into large sinking particles (Table 2). This result does not support the idea of a strong silicate pump in the equatorial Pacific, as previously suggested by Dugdale *et al.* [1995]. Rather, it appears that such a mechanism would be most applicable at highly seasonal sites like NACC or APFP where the fraction of bSiO₂ production that is exported from the surface layer is highest (Figure 3). At such locations, the efficiency of the silica pump will depend upon the relative fates of Si and N in sinking particles. Since aggregation and incorporation into fecal pellets may have very distinct influences on Si and N decoupling during sinking [Ragueneau *et al.*, 2006], differentiating between these two transport pathways is essential for improving our understanding of the functioning of the silicate pump, the role of diatoms in the biological pump, and the nutritional properties of particles supporting deep-sea food webs.

[33] **Acknowledgments.** We are grateful to Monique Briand for her technical assistance with graphics. Thanks to Sorcha Ni Longphuirt, Cindy Lee and Lynn Abramson who kindly commented on this paper and corrected it from the English mistakes. The authors acknowledge NODC (Levitus) World Ocean Atlas 1998 for their data provided by the NOAA-CIRES Climate Diagnostics Center, Boulder, Colorado, USA, from their Web site at <http://www.cdc.noaa.gov/>. This work has been funded by the EU which is greatly acknowledged, partly through the ORFOIS (EVK2-CT2001-00100) project and partly through the Si-WEBS (HPRN-CT-2002-00218) Research Training network of the Marie Curie program. This is contribution 1038 of the IUEM.

References

- Aldredge, A. L., and C. Gotschalk (1988), In situ settling behaviour of marine snow, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, **33**, 339–351.
- Armstrong, R. A., C. Lee, J. I. Hedges, S. Honjo, and S. G. Wakeham (2002), A new, mechanistic model for organic carbon fluxes in the ocean based on the quantitative association of POC with ballast minerals, *Deep Sea Res., Part II*, **49**, 219–236.
- Aumont, O., E. Maier-Reimer, S. Blain, and P. Monfray (2003), An ecosystem model of the global ocean including Fe, Si, P colimitations, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, **17**(2), 1060, doi:10.1029/2001GB001745.
- Berger, W. H., and G. Wefer (1990), Export production: seasonality and intermittency, and paleoceanographic implications, *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.*, **89**, 245–254.
- Bidle, K. D., and F. Azam (1999), Accelerated dissolution of diatom silica by marine bacterial assemblages, *Nature*, **397**, 508–512.
- Bidle, K. D., M. Manganelli, and F. Azam (2002), Regulation of oceanic silicon and carbon preservation by temperature control on bacteria, *Science*, **298**, 1980–1984.
- Bienfang, P. K. (1981), Sinking rate of heterogeneous, temperate phytoplankton populations, *J. Plankton Res.*, **3**, 235–253.
- Blain, S., P. Tréguer, and M. Rodier (1999), Stocks and fluxes of biogenic silica in the western oligotrophic equatorial Pacific, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **104**, 3357–3367.
- Brzezinski, M. A., D. M. Nelson, V. M. Franck, and D. E. Sigmon (2001), Silicon dynamics within an intense open-ocean diatom bloom in the Pacific Sector of the Southern Ocean, *Deep Sea Res., Part II*, **48**, 3997–4018.
- Brzezinski, M. A., J. L. Jones, K. D. Bidle, and F. Azam (2003), The balance between silica production and silica dissolution in the sea: Insights from Monterey Bay, California, applied to the global data set, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, **48**, 1846–1854.
- Buesseler, K. O. (1998), The decoupling of production and particulate export in the surface ocean, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, **12**, 297–310.
- Cowie, G. L., and J. I. Hedges (1996), Digestion and alteration of the biochemical constituents of a diatom (*Thalassiosira weissflogii*) ingested by an herbivorous copepod (*Calanus pacificus*), *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, **41**, 581–594.
- Cushing, D. H. (1989), A difference in structure between ecosystems in strongly stratified waters and in those that are only weakly stratified, *J. Plankton Res.*, **11**, 1–13.
- Dugdale, R. C., and F. P. Wilkerson (1998), Silicate regulation of new production in the equatorial Pacific upwelling, *Nature*, **391**, 270–273.
- Dugdale, R. C., F. P. Wilkerson, R. T. Barber, and F. P. Chavez (1992), Estimating new production in the equatorial Pacific Ocean at 150°W, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **97**, 681–686.
- Dugdale, R. C., F. P. Wilkerson, and H. J. Minas (1995), The role of a silicate pump in driving new production, *Deep Sea Res., Part I*, **42**, 697–719.
- Falkowski, P. G., E. A. Laws, R. T. Barber, and J. W. Murray (2003), Phytoplankton and their role in primary, new and export production, in *Ocean Biogeochemistry*, edited by M. J. R. Fasham, pp. 99–121, Springer, Berlin.
- Fujii, M., and F. Chai (2005), Effects of biogenic silica dissolution on silicon cycling and export production, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **32**, L05617, doi:10.1029/2004GL022054.
- Gallinari, M., O. Ragueneau, L. Corrin, D. J. Demaster, and P. Tréguer (2002), The importance of water column processes on the dissolution properties of biogenic silica in deep sea-sediments: I. Solubility, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, **66**, 2701–2717.
- Greenwood, J., V. W. Truesdale, and A. R. Rendell (2001), Biogenic silica dissolution in seawater—In vitro chemical kinetics, *Prog. Oceanogr.*, **48**, 1–23.
- Kemp, A. E. S., J. Pike, R. B. Pearce, and C. B. Lange (2000), The “Fall dump”—A new perspective on the role of a “shade flora” in the annual cycle of diatom production and export flux, *Deep Sea Res., Part I*, **47**, 2129–2154.
- Kemp, A. E. S., R. B. Pearce, I. Grigorov, J. Rance, C. B. Lange, P. Quilty, and I. Salter (2006), Production of giant marine diatoms and their export at oceanic frontal zones: Implications for Si and C flux in stratified oceans, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, **20**, GB4S04, doi:10.1029/2006GB002698.
- Khalil, K., C. Rabouille, M. Gallinari, and O. Ragueneau (2007), Constraining biogenic silica dissolution in marine sediments: A comparison between diagenetic models and experimental dissolution rates, *Mar. Chem.*, doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2006.12.004, in press.
- Klaas, C., and D. E. Archer (2002), Association of sinking organic matter with various types of mineral ballast in the deep sea: Implications for the rain ratio, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, **16**(4), 1116, doi:10.1029/2001GB001765.

- Lampitt, R. S., K. Kiriakoulakis, O. Ragueneau, A. Vangriesheim, and G. Wolff (2001), Material supply to the abyssal seafloor in the north-east Atlantic, *Prog. Oceanogr.*, 50, 27–63.
- Lawson, S. D., D. C. Hurd, and H. Stuart Pankratz (1978), Silica dissolution rates of decomposing phytoplankton assemblages at various temperature, *Am. J. Sci.*, 278, 1373–1393.
- Leynaert, A., P. Tréguer, C. Lancelot, and M. Rodier (2001), Silicon limitation of biogenic silica production in the equatorial Pacific, *Deep Sea Res., Part I*, 48, 639–660.
- Mann, K. H., and J. R. N. Lazier (Eds.) (1996), *Dynamics of Marine Ecosystems: Biological-Physical Interactions in the Oceans*, 394 pp., Blackwell Sci., Malden, Mass.
- McCarthy, J. J., C. Garside, J. L. Nevins, R. T. Barber, and J. W. Murray (1996), New production along the 140°W in the equatorial Pacific during and following the 1992 El Niño event, *Deep Sea Res., Part II*, 43, 1065–1093.
- Moriceau, B., M. Garvey, U. Passow, and O. Ragueneau (2007), Evidence for reduced biogenic silica dissolution rates in diatom aggregates, *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 333, 129–142.
- Nelson, D. M., P. Tréguer, M. A. Brzezinski, A. Leynaert, and B. Quéguiner (1995), Production and dissolution of biogenic silica in the ocean: Revised global estimates, comparison with regional data and relationship to biogenic sedimentation, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 9, 359–372.
- Nelson, D. M., et al. (2002), Vertical budgets for organic carbon and biogenic silica in the Pacific sector of the Southern Ocean, 1996–1998, *Deep Sea Res., Part II*, 49, 1645–1674.
- Officer, C. B., and J. H. Ryther (1980), The possible importance of silicon in marine eutrophication, *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 3, 83–91.
- Passow, U. (2004), Switching perspectives: Do mineral fluxes determine particulate organic carbon fluxes or vice versa?, *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.*, 5, Q04002, doi:10.1029/2003GC000670.
- Pondaven, P., C. Fravallo, D. Ruiz-Pino, P. Tréguer, B. Quéguiner, and C. Jeandel (1998), Modelling the silica pump in the Permanently Open Ocean Zone of the Southern Ocean, *J. Mar. Syst.*, 17, 587–619.
- Ragueneau, O., et al. (2000), A review of the Si cycle in the modern ocean: Recent progress and missing gaps in the application of biogenic opal as a paleoproductivity proxy, *Global Planet. Change*, 26, 317–365.
- Ragueneau, O., N. Dittert, P. Pondaven, P. Tréguer, and L. Corrin (2002), Si/C decoupling in the world ocean: Is the Southern Ocean different?, *Deep Sea Res., Part II*, 49, 3127–3154.
- Ragueneau, O., S. Schultes, K. Bidle, P. Claquin, and B. Moriceau (2006), Si and C interactions in the world ocean: Importance of ecological processes and implications for the role of diatoms in the biological pump, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 20, GB4S02, doi:10.1029/2006GB002688.
- Rickert, D., M. Schlüter, and K. Wallmann (2002), Dissolution kinetics of biogenic silica from the water column to the sediments, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 66, 439–455.
- Ridgwell, A. J., A. J. Watson, and D. E. Archer (2002), Modeling the response on the oceanic Si inventory to perturbation, and consequences for atmospheric CO₂, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 16(4), 1071, doi:10.1029/2002GB001877.
- Rinaldi, A., R. A. Vollenweider, G. Montanari, C. R. Ferrari, and A. Ghetti (1995), Mucilages in Italian seas: The Adriatic and Tyrrhenian Seas, 1988–1991, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 165, 165–183.
- Sarmiento, J. L., J. Dunne, and R. A. Armstrong (2004), Do we now understand the ocean's biological pump?, *U.S. JGOFs Newsl.*, 12(4), 1–5.
- Schultes, S. (2004), The role of mesozooplankton grazing in the biogeochemical cycle of silicon in the Southern Ocean, Ph.D. thesis, 168 pp., Univ. Bremen, Bremen, Germany.
- Smayda, T. J. (1970), The sinking and suspension of phytoplankton in the sea, *Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. Annu. Rev.*, 8, 353–414.
- Smetacek, V. (1999), Diatoms and the ocean carbon cycle, *Protist*, 150, 25–32.
- Smith, C. R., D. J. Hoover, S. E. Doan, R. H. Pope, D. J. Demaster, F. C. Dobbs, and M. A. Altabet (1996), Phytodetritus at the abyssal seafloor across 10° of latitude in the central equatorial Pacific, *Deep Sea Res., Part II*, 43, 1309–1338.
- Smith, C. R., S. L. Minks, A. G. Glover, D. J. Demaster, and P. Y. Sumida (2002), FOODBANCs on the Antarctic Peninsula Shelf: The benthic food bank hypothesis and the seasonal deposition pulse, *Eos Trans. AGU*, 83(4), Ocean Sci. Meet. Suppl., Abstract OS31N-02.
- Smith, K. L. Jr., R. J. Baldwin, D. M. Karl, and A. Boetius (2002), Benthic community responses to pulses in pelagic food supply: North Pacific subtropical Gyre, *Deep Sea Res., Part I*, 49, 971–990.
- Tande, K. S., and D. Slagstad (1985), Assimilation efficiency in herbivorous aquatic organisms—The potential of the ratio method using ¹⁴C and biogenic silica as markers, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 30, 1090–1093.
- Thornton, D. C. O. (2002), Diatom aggregation in the sea: Mechanisms and ecological implications, *Eur. J. Phycol.*, 37, 149–161.
- Tréguer, P., D. M. Nelson, A. J. V. Bennekou, D. J. Demaster, A. Leynaert, and B. Quéguiner (1995), The silica balance in the world ocean: A reestimate, *Science*, 268, 375–379.
- Turner, J. T. (2002), Zooplankton faecal pellets, marine snow and sinking phytoplankton blooms, *Aquat. Microb. Ecol.*, 27, 57–102.
- Van Cappellen, P., S. Dixit, and J. Van Beusekom (2002), Biogenic silica dissolution in the oceans: Reconciling experimental and field-based dissolution rates, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 16(4), 1075, doi:10.1029/2001GB001431.
- Wassmann, P., L. Hansen, I. J. Andreassen, C. W. Riser, and J. Urban-Rich (1999), Distribution and sedimentation of faecal pellets on the Nordvest-banken shelf, northern Norway, in 1994, *Sarsia*, 84, 239–252.
- Yoder, J. A., S. G. Ackelson, R. T. Barber, P. Flamant, and W. M. Balch (1994), A line in the sea, *Nature*, 371, 689–692.

M. Gallinari, B. Moriceau, O. Ragueneau, and K. Soetaert, Institut Universitaire Européen de la Mer, UMR CNRS 6539, Place Copernic, Technopôle Brest-Iroise, F-29280 Plouzané, France. (moriceau@univ-brest.fr)