Oxytocin deficiency at delivery with epidural analgesia
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Summary. The effect of epidural analgesia on oxytocin release during the second stage of normal labour was studied by comparing 10 primigravidae who had epidurals with 10 control subjects who did not have epidurals. A significant increment in oxytocin between paired peripheral blood samples taken at the onset of full cervical dilatation and crowning of the fetal head was found in the control subjects but not in those with epidurals. Forceps delivery was required more often in the group with epidural analgesia and was associated with lower oxytocin levels at crowning. Since distension of the lower birth canal and stimulation of pelvic autonomic nerves leads to oxytocin release, and the need for forceps associated with epidurals can be reduced by oxytocin, these differences are attributed to the lumbar epidural block.

During normal delivery stretching of the lower birth canal is thought to trigger a neurohumoral reflex leading to rapid secretion of oxytocin by the pituitary gland which results in strong 'expulsive' contractions (Fitzpatrick 1961). The reflex is well demonstrated in animal experiments (Debackere et al. 1961). In women stimulation of the presacral nerves, in which afferent autonomic neurons from the birth canal are conveyed, increases intrauterine pressure with a contraction (Alvarez et al. 1965). During the second stage of labour a marked increase in oxytocin activity in jugular vein plasma equivalent to 900 µ-units/ml has been described (Coch et al. 1965). Dawood et al. (1978) reported increased peripheral blood levels of oxytocin during labour which reached a maximum in the second stage and Leake et al. (1979) found an increase in oxytocin with delivery of the fetal head.

Since there has been an increased need for forceps delivery associated with lumbar epidural analgesia in labour (Bristol Maternity Hospital Report 1976; Rotunda Hospital Clinical Report 1979; O'Driscoll & Meagher 1980; Paintin & Vincent 1980), we studied the effect on this reflex of blocking genital sensation by epidural analgesia. Controversy over the role of oxytocin as a possible initiator of labour (Swaab & Boer 1979) has led some to dispute any physiological role at all for oxytocin in man; others attribute the association between forceps delivery and epidural analgesia to the attitudes of the attendant (Doughty 1969). Oxytocin in the circulation is difficult to study since only a small fraction of the jugular vein concentration is found peripherally (Coch et al. 1965); it is rapidly broken down by oxytocinase so rapid cooling of samples is essential to reduce enzyme activity (Kumaresan et al. 1974). Since oxytocinase activity varies greatly between individuals (Gazárek et al. 1976), individual changes in oxytocin levels were expected to provide more information than absolute levels and are the basis of this study.

Patients and methods

Normal primigravidae in normal labour between 37 and 41 weeks gestation were studied after their informed consent for venepuncture. Epidural
analgesia was chosen before the study by half the subjects and was conducted as described previously (Goodfellow & Studd 1979). The following criteria were met: epidural analgesia was effective in all receiving it and autonomic block was confirmed with the perianal scratch test when perineal sensation was present. Women not receiving epidural analgesia were given pethidine (50–100 mg), Stemetil (12.5 mg) and nitrous oxide/oxygen as required. None required acceleration of labour with oxytocin or prostaglandins as judged by a rate of cervical dilatation of ≥1 cm/h. No complications of labour occurred other than delay in the second stage in those who required forceps.

An antecubital venous blood sample was taken at the beginning and at the end of the second stage. The onset of the second stage was defined as full cervical dilatation without visible external signs. To avoid stimulating spurious oxytocin release by vaginal examination (Vasicka et al. 1978) the first sample was taken on a presumptive diagnosis without examination in the preceding hour. Only those patients were studied who were found to be fully dilated by routine vaginal examination or by the appearance of external signs within 15 min after sampling. The second sample was taken from the same vein in the same way at crowning of the fetal head or before any interference to aid operative delivery, including the injection of local anaesthetic for perineal and pudendal 'blocks' in the control subjects.

Blood samples (5 ml) were collected with ice-cold plastic syringes, needles and lithium heparin containers, transported on ice and within 5 min spun at 1000 rev./min for 10 min in a Mistral 2L MSE refrigerated centrifuge precooled to 4°C. The plasma was separated, flash frozen and stored immediately at or below −20°C. Samples from each patient were assayed in the same batch but were numbered randomly so that patients could not be identified in the laboratory. Oxytocin was determined by radioimmunoassay as described by Dogterom et al. (1977, 1980), within 8 months after collection. The intra-assay coefficient of variation for 16 pg was 10.17% (n=20), and the interassay coefficient of variation for 32 pg extracted from 1 ml was 8.6% (n=21).

Statistical methods

Statistical analysis of both cross-sectional and paired-sample observations was carried out by non-parametric tests since parametric tests were sometimes inappropriate to distribution. Pearson's linear correlation coefficient r, the Mann–Whitney U-test, Spearman's coefficient of rank correlation rs and Fisher's exact test were computed. Where it is stated that no significant change was found P was >0.1.

Results

Twenty women were studied, 10 had epidural analgesia and 10 were control subjects. Their clinical details are given in Table 1 together with the oxytocin concentrations and increments (crowning—full dilatation concentrations) which are shown in relation to the mode of delivery and use of analgesia in Fig. 1.

![Fig. 1. Oxytocin levels in the second stage of control (a) and epidural (b) groups related to mode of delivery. ○, Forceps delivery. * Generous episiotomy and strong fundal pressure with persistent occiput posterior position.](image-url)

There were no significant differences between the mean age, height, weight (at last antenatal visit) or duration of the first stage of labour in the two groups. There was no significant relation in individual subjects (see end of Results section) between any of these features and the levels of oxytocin or the increment during the second stage of labour.

There were no significant differences in oxytocin levels between control and epidural groups at the onset of the second stage or at crowning; comparison of paired data, however, revealed an increment in 9 out of 10 control subjects but in only 5 of the 10 patients with epidural analgesia (Fig. 1).

There was a significant rise in oxytocin levels in the control group [mean increment 10.1 pg/ml
Table 1. Clinical details and plasma oxytocin concentrations at the beginning and end of the second stage of labour in the 20 patients studied (all normal primigravidae)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no.</th>
<th>Delivery</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Height (m)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>Birth-weight (kg)</th>
<th>Duration of 1st stage (h)</th>
<th>Plasma oxytocin concn (pg/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>3.760</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>46.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>3.370</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>85.5</td>
<td>3.960</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>3.480</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>2.870</td>
<td>10.25</td>
<td>29.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>2.500</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td>4.950</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>66.2</td>
<td>3.130</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>3.040</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>98.0</td>
<td>3.070</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>28.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Epidural analgesia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no.</th>
<th>Delivery</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Height (m)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>Birth-weight (kg)</th>
<th>Duration of 1st stage (h)</th>
<th>Plasma oxytocin concn (pg/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>3.230</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>3.500</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>66.2</td>
<td>3.140</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>26.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>3.130</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>69.1</td>
<td>3.488</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>41.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>3.830</td>
<td>9.25</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>3.190</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>3.650</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>51.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>3.110</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>3.690</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>46.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S, Spontaneous; F, forceps.

a Increment = crowning—full dilatation concn.

(SD 13.5), U=27, P<0.05], but not in the epidural group [mean 0.0 pg/ml (SD 11.0)]. The forceps delivery rate was higher in the epidural group (50%) than in the control group (10%) (P=0.14). Comparison of the patients having a forceps delivery with those having a spontaneous delivery, irrespective of the method of analgesia (Fig. 1), showed that the level of oxytocin immediately before spontaneous delivery was significantly higher (U=14, P<0.025) than that at forceps delivery. This difference between the spontaneous and forceps delivery groups was not present at levels considered significant in similar comparisons of oxytocin increment (U=25, P>0.05) and full dilatation oxytocin (U=28, P>0.05). To exclude any interfering effect of forceps delivery on oxytocin levels, particularly at crowning, comparison was confined to those patients who had a spontaneous delivery (nine in the control group and five in the epidural group) and in these patients the trends in oxytocin levels between control and epidural groups were similar to those found before. The median increment was higher in the control group (6.8 pg/ml) compared with that in the epidural group (1.2 pg/ml) (U=13, P=0.05 with smaller numbers) but no difference was seen in absolute levels of oxytocin at full dilatation or crowning. [There were positive correlations in the control group (but not the epidural group) of age with oxytocin levels at crowning (r_s=0.73, P<0.2) and with the oxytocin increment (r_s=0.84, P<0.01) that are unexplained.]

**Discussion**

We found a significant rise in peripheral plasma oxytocin concentration in normal (control) primigravidae between full dilatation and crowning which confirmed previous reports (Dawood et al. 1978; Leake et al. 1979). Kumaresan et al. (1974) and Gazarek et al. (1976) found no significant change in the second stage but used cross-sectional data. Using serial observations
Vasicka et al. (1978) found a second stage rise which was attenuated by pudendal, caudal and paracervical block. Sellers et al. (1981) using cross-sectional data found no change but also studied nine women in labour with paired samples. Their timing, however, was less rigid than ours and the methods of analgesia (epidural?) were not recorded.

In contrast with our control subjects, the primigravidae with epidural analgesia in this study showed no consistent or significant increment in oxytocin levels and this relation persisted even in those patients who were delivered normally. These findings suggest that lumbar epidural analgesia which achieves uterine and perineal analgesia blocks or attenuates the normal increase of oxytocin in the second stage of primigravidae in normal labour. We suggest this may at least partly explain the relatively high incidence of forceps delivery in patients with epidural analgesia.

It is well known that the small diameter of autonomic nerve fibres predisposes them to local block and that the pelvic parasympathetic fibres re-enter the spinal cord at its lower end; therefore oxytocin deficiency in association with epidural analgesia can be explained by the local block of a birth reflex of the type described by Ferguson (1941), Fitzpatrick (1961), Dawood et al. (1978) and Leake et al. (1979). The observations of Vasicka et al. (1978) were confirmed for local block but it is interesting that they found no attenuation with epidural analgesia. Altering lumbar epidural technique leads to a considerable variation in effect (Doughty 1969); perineal and uterine analgesia were present in our patients, but a more localized block may have less effect on pelvic autonomic reflexes, or it may be allowed to wear off before delivery. Although factors like these may account for the differences between our results and those found by Vasicka et al. (1978), reduced local anaesthetic tissue levels produced by withholding ‘top ups’ are likely to continue to block small autonomic nerves for some time after sensation to pain has returned.

Other reasons could be advanced for the observed inhibition of oxytocin release. There may be an associated release of oxytocin and antidiuretic hormone (Berde 1959). Both neurohormones are secreted from separate neurons which are closely approximated in the supraoptic and paraventricular hypothalamic nuclei (Dierickx & Vandesande 1977). By studying a more stable substance called neurophysin associated with release of these neurohormones, Legros & Franchimont (1972) showed that release was stimulated by dehydration, exercise and ketosis while fluid load was inhibitory. All patients with epidural analgesia were treated as a usual safety precaution with from 500 to 3000 ml intravenous fluids, while controls did not require intravenous infusion. Although ketacidosis is reduced by epidural analgesia (Pearson & Davies 1974) none of the study patients were subjected to prolonged labour and none developed severe ketosis or dehydration. These differences seem unlikely to explain the differences in oxytocin secretion we found in our patients.

The fetus at normal delivery has much higher cord blood levels of oxytocin than those found in the mother’s peripheral blood (Chard et al. 1971; Dawood et al. 1978; Sellers et al. 1981). It has been suggested that human labour may therefore be controlled by fetal oxytocin release. If this applies to the second stage then depression of fetal central nervous system activity by epidural analgesia (Rosenblatt et al. 1981) might explain the lack of maternal oxytocin increment. The whole subject of fetal oxytocin in labour is hotly debated and has been reviewed recently (Swaab & Boer 1979). Transport across the placenta and epiphenomena effects due to a preferential fetal sampling site, oxytocinase variability and cross stimulation during fetal neurohormone release (Legros & Franchimont 1972) are other factors to consider.

Whatever the exact cause, the consequence of delay in the second stage and forceps delivery may be harmful (O'Driscoll et al. 1981). Our observations suggest that the need for forceps associated with epidural analgesia in primigravidae might be reduced by instituting or increasing treatment with oxytocin during the second stage of labour. We have already shown in a controlled study that this can be achieved (Goodfellow & Studd 1979) and since such treatment was generally applied in the Bristol Maternity Hospital where there are approx. 4500 deliveries annually the overall forceps rate has been reduced significantly from 21.4% in 1976 to 9.2% in 1980 (P < 0.001, ventouse 0.6 and 0.5% respectively) with little change in the caesarean section rate (9.8–9.1%). Although the epidural rate has also fallen from 25 to 15% the ‘forceps rate’ of primigravidae with epidural analgesia delivered vaginally has been reduced from over 70 to 43% (ventouse included, breech excluded).

Although the spontaneous delivery rate in
primigravidae with epidural analgesia has been increased in association with oxytocin supplementation in the second stage of labour it remains to be shown whether the rate in patients without epidural block can be equalled. Fitzpatrick (1961) pointed out that the oxytocin changes in the second stage are much greater than those found after oxytocin induction. Oxytocin doses for induction have increased since then but it is uncertain whether imitation of this natural reflex can be fully achieved with safety. Nevertheless, modest intravenous supplementation of oxytocin during the second stage amounting to 32 m-units/min has proved rewarding and our present study confirms the need for it in epidural treated primigravidae.
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