

PERSPECTIVE

**Contrasting effects of the onset of spring on reproductive success of
Arctic-nesting geese**

Bart A. Nolet^{1,2*}, Kees H.T. Schreven¹, Michiel P. Boom¹ & Thomas K. Lameris³

¹Department of Animal Ecology, Netherlands Institute of Ecology, Droevendaalsesteeg 10, 6708
PB Wageningen, The Netherlands

²Department of Theoretical and Computational Ecology, Institute of Biodiversity and Ecosystem
Dynamics, University of Amsterdam, Science Park 904, 1098 XH Amsterdam, The Netherlands

³Department of Coastal Systems and Utrecht University, Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea
Research, Landsdiep 4, 1797 SZ 't Horntje (Texel), The Netherlands

*Corresponding author: b.nolet@nioo.knaw.nl

Running title: Reproductive success of geese in a warming Arctic

ABSTRACT

Breeding output of geese, measured as the proportion of juveniles in autumn or winter flocks, is lower in years with a late onset of spring in some species, but higher in at least one other species. Here we argue this is because the timing of spring affects different stages of the reproductive cycle differently in different species. Because the effects on two different stages are opposite, the combined effects can result in either a positive or a negative overall effect. These stages are the pre-laying, laying and nesting phase on the one hand, and the hatchling, fledgling and juvenile phase on the other hand. The first phase is predominantly positively affected by an early snowmelt, with higher breeding propensity, clutch size and nest success. The second phase on the other hand is negatively affected by early snowmelt, because of a mismatch with a nutrient food peak, leading to slow gosling growth and reduced survival. We argue that recognition of this chain of events is crucial when one wants to predict goose productivity and eventually goose population dynamics. In a rapidly warming Arctic, the negative effects of a mismatch might become increasingly important.

Keywords: Arctic warming, breeding propensity, climate change, clutch size, fledgling survival, hatchling growth, nest success, phenological mismatch, snowmelt

Plant and animals are responding to the rapid changes in climate, for instance by advancing spring events like sprouting and egg-laying (Parmesan and Yohe 2003). Species react with specific sensitivities to various cues in the environment, which may change at different rates with climate, and hence not all species respond to the same extent to the changing climate.

Plants and primary consumers tend to react more strongly than secondary consumers, resulting in so-called mismatches between these trophic levels (Thackeray et al. 2010). A well-known example is the reproduction of insectivorous birds becoming mistimed with the peak in insects (Visser et al. 1998, Visser et al. 2012). But also the reproduction of primary consumers may become mistimed, albeit more with a peak in nutritive quality of food rather than a peak in food biomass (Nolet et al. 2005, Doiron et al. 2015). Because cues vary over time as well as space, such mistimed reproduction is most likely to occur in long-distance migrants, in which adjustments in the timing of reproduction are constrained by timing of migration (Both and Visser 2001, Knudsen et al. 2011).

Climate change is most rapid in the Arctic region (Serreze and Francis 2006, Pithan and Mauritsen 2014, Box et al. 2019). This can have large consequences for the many birds that migrate long distances to the Arctic to benefit from the short but productive growing season, while enjoying reduced competition and predation (Sedinger and Raveling 1986, McKinnon et al. 2010, Somveille et al. 2018). In Arctic-nesting geese, their precocial young grow fast when they are able to feed on young, nitrogen-rich plants (Lepage et al. 1998, Richman et al. 2015). In the Arctic breeding areas, plant nitrogen concentration peaks at the beginning of the growing season shortly after snowmelt (van der Graaf et al. 2006, Doiron et al. 2013, Lameris et al. 2018). Accounting for the increase in plant biomass, the peak in nitrogen biomass in their food plants occurs later than the peak in nitrogen concentration, and reproduction is well-timed when hatching coincides with this peak (van der Graaf et al. 2006, Lameris et al. 2017a).

In order to ensure well-timed reproduction, timing of spring migration is crucial. Geese time their migratory departure from the temperate zone in spring based on cues including photoperiod as well as the green-up of vegetation (Shariatinajafabadi et al. 2014) or some correlated measure like temperature sum (Duriez et al. 2009, van Wijk et al. 2012). However, green-up or temperature sums are not well correlated along the whole migration routes of the geese, meaning that geese cannot predict the onset of spring in the Arctic from their temperate wintering sites (Tombre et al. 2008, Kölzsch et al. 2015). Since spring has advanced more in the Arctic than in the temperate zone, at least some goose species migrating to the Arctic now arrive too late to benefit from optimal growth conditions, which impacts their reproductive success (Clausen and Clausen 2013, Doiron et al. 2015, Lameris et al. 2017b).

Effects of climate change on the reproduction of Arctic geese are of prime interest to predict future population developments of these birds. How a (progressively) earlier Arctic spring affects goose reproductive output has been studied in various species, based on both short-term annual variation and long-term warming trends. However, the obtained results are paradoxical, in that most studies show higher breeding output, measured as proportion of juveniles in autumn or winter flocks, in years with an early onset of spring (Alisauskas 2002, Trinder et al. 2009, Morrissette et al. 2010, Nolet et al. 2013, Jensen et al. 2014, Cleasby et al. 2017), while one study found a higher reproductive success in years with a late onset of spring (Clausen and Clausen 2013). Here we argue this is because the timing of spring affects different stages of the reproductive cycle differently in different species. Because the effects on the two different stages are opposite, the combined effects can result in either a positive or a negative overall effect.

Pre-laying, Laying and Nesting Phase

Arctic-nesting geese are dependent on a short Arctic summer for successful reproduction. They alleviate part of this constraint by extra fueling during spring migration (Kölzsch et al. 2016). In

years that the geese have more stores on spring staging grounds, they tend to return with more young in autumn, both when measured at the population level (Alisauskas 2002, Mainguy et al. 2002) and at the individual level (Ebbinge and Spaans 1995, Klaassen et al. 2017, Dokter et al. 2018). In unusually early springs, geese may leave stopovers prematurely or skip them altogether to arrive in time but with little stores at the breeding grounds, eventually yielding them little time savings before laying as they compensate by foraging at the pre-breeding grounds (Lameris et al. 2018). Only earlier departure in good condition from wintering grounds would prevent this negative effect of early springs on the first reproductive stage.

Bringing nutrient stores to the breeding grounds enables geese to produce eggs soon after arrival and well before the feeding conditions are optimal (Perrins 1970, Ryder 1970, Ankney 1984, Drent et al. 2003, Van der Jeugd et al. 2009). Geese use a mixture of so-called capital and income strategies, with eggs being partly produced from body stores and partly from local resources (Budeau et al. 1991, Gauthier et al. 2003, Schmutz et al. 2006, Hahn et al. 2011, Klaassen et al. 2017). Whether more or less capital is being used depends on the species' body size and migration distance (Hobson et al. 2011), their foraging ecology (i.e. being grubbers or grazers) (Sharp et al. 2013), but also on the spring food conditions (Klaassen et al. 2017, Hupp et al. 2018, Lameris et al. 2018).

A further part of the body stores is needed to fuel incubation, when the females cannot feed long enough to maintain body weight (Ankney and MacInnes 1978, Budeau et al. 1991, Spaans et al. 2007, Eichhorn et al. 2010). In their decision regarding when to commence nesting, the birds face a trade-off between current and future reproductive success (Daan et al. 1990), and geese with too low pre-laying body condition are therefore expected to refrain from breeding (Drent et al. 2003). Body stores are especially important in late springs, when only geese with ample body stores have prospects of successfully raising offspring, resulting in a lower breeding propensity when snowmelt is late (Reed et al. 2004, Dickey et al. 2008, Anderson et al. 2014) (Fig. 1).

Birds face another trade-off between the seasonal increase in potential clutch size and the seasonal decline in egg value because of a lower recruitment of later hatched young (Drent and Daan 1980, Lepage et al. 2000). This trade-off can explain the general seasonal decline in clutch size, as nicely illustrated by a condition-dependent model (Rowe et al. 1994). This model also predicts that in a late season, with egg value declining, a slower build-up of body condition due to snow cover will lead to smaller clutches and laying at relatively high snow cover. Indeed, in years when snow melts late, Arctic-nesting geese start nesting at some later date (Prop and De Vries 1993, Cooke et al. 1995, Madsen et al. 2007), but relative to snowmelt, they commence nesting at a higher snow cover (Barry 1962, Lindberg et al. 1997, Bêty et al. 2003). Greater Snow Geese (*Anser caerulescens atlanticus*), for instance, commence egg-laying after snow melt in early springs, and at a later date but before snow melt in late springs (Gauthier et al. 2013). Like in other bird species (Murphy 1986, Perrins and McCleery 1989), clutch size in geese is generally smaller in late springs (Barry 1962, Raveling 1978, Madsen et al. 2007, Ross et al. 2017). While this can be viewed as the optimal decision in late springs, a mechanistic explanation is that for geese, being partly income breeders, poorer feeding conditions in the Arctic in late springs can only support smaller clutches (van Oudenhove et al. 2014) (Fig. 1).

Laying a smaller clutch may compensate for the lower pre-laying condition of the female, explaining equal body weights at the start of incubation irrespective of spring being early or late (Ankney and MacInnes 1978, Spaans et al. 2007, Sénéchal et al. 2011). Commencing nesting at a higher snow cover in late springs may however force incubating females to engage in longer nest recesses in search for food (Eichholz and Sedinger 1999), exposing the nests to egg predation (Samelius and Alisauskas 2001, Bêty et al. 2002); egg predation may also increase indirectly by an extended incubation period (Aldrich and Raveling 1993, Tombre and Erikstad 1996). As a result, nest success (i.e., the proportion of nests which at least one egg surviving) is typically lower in late springs (Madsen et al. 2007) (Fig. 1). However, females do not only need to feed but

also drink during nest recesses, which may complicate matters. Early snow melt may lead to unusually dry conditions in mesic tundra habitats, forcing incubating females to move over greater distances to drink and thus engage in longer rather than shorter nest recesses, thereby increasing nest predation (Lecomte et al. 2009).

Early snowmelt may also be associated with an increased incubation success (being defined here as the product of nest success, egg survival and hatching success; see Rockwell et al. 1993) through direct positive temperature effects on eggs. At least in one study hatching success (but not egg survival) was related to spring temperature (van Oudenhove et al. 2014).

Hatchling, Fledgling and Juvenile Phase

As mentioned above, goose reproduction is well-timed when hatching coincides with the peak in nitrogen biomass (van der Graaf et al. 2006). Early-hatched goslings grow faster than those hatching late (Cooch et al. 1991, Sedinger and Flint 1991, Lindholm et al. 1994, Lepage et al. 1999), because the latter ones already suffer from declining protein concentrations in their food plants (Richman et al. 2015). With climate warming, the timing of hatch becomes increasingly mismatched with the peak in food quality (Doiron et al. 2015). This causes the hatchlings to grow slower (Brook et al. 2015, Ross et al. 2018), and to have a lower chance of survival up to fledging (Lindholm et al. 1994, Lameris et al. 2018, Ross et al. 2018). This slower growth increases the length of the period in which goslings are vulnerable to size-dependent predation (Ricklefs and Starck 1998, Samelius and Alisauskas 1999, Dmitriew 2011). In general, smaller goslings in poor condition are expected to be most vulnerable (Williams et al. 1993). Slowly growing goslings also experience increased thermoregulatory costs due to their smaller size, which might contribute to a lower survival (Lindholm et al. 1994, Fortin et al. 2000, Gauthier et al. 2006) (Fig. 1).

Because slower growing goslings reach a smaller final body size, the slow growth has knock-on fitness effects later in life (Ankney and MacInnes 1978, Black and Owen 1987, Afton and Paulus 1992, Choudhury et al. 1996, Poisbleau et al. 2006). What is most relevant here is that juveniles small for their age experience reduced post-fledging survival (Loonen et al. 1999, Slattery and Alisauskas 2002, Brook et al. 2015), aggravating the negative effects of a mismatch (Fig. 1).

Goose departure from the breeding grounds is found to be related to the first frost spell (Xu and Si 2019), and when gosling have not fledged by then they are left behind (Barry 1962). Arctic warming may lead to longer summer seasons, providing more time to grow which may partly offset any negative effects of a mismatch earlier in the season. However, it is unclear whether goslings can really profit from a longer season, because gosling mortality can be high even in the presence of abundant food if the nutritive quality is not sufficient to meet their needs for growth and maintenance (Richman et al. 2015).

Combined Effects

Negative effects of a late snowmelt predominantly occur in the pre-laying, laying and nesting phase. Through the combined effects of lower breeding propensity, somewhat smaller clutch sizes and lower nest success, reproductive success of Arctic-nesting geese at hatching tends to be lower in late springs than early springs (Madsen et al. 2007, Dickey et al. 2008). In contrast, positive, potentially compensatory effects occur during the hatchling, fledgling and juvenile phase by a better match with nitrogen biomass after a late spring, as suggested by Clausen and Clausen (2013). This better match ensures a better growth, with knock-on effects on subsequent fledgling and juvenile survival. Because the annual reproductive success is the product of breeding propensity, clutch size, nest success and gosling survival, a late spring may both lower and enhance reproductive success. Conversely, an early onset of spring may have primarily beneficial effects in the pre-laying, laying and nesting phase, but deleterious effects during the hatchling, fledgling and juvenile phase through an increase of a mismatch (Fig. 1).

The exact relationships with date of snowmelt depend on how hatch dates are correlated with date of snowmelt, and how date of snowmelt is correlated with date of peak nitrogen biomass (Lameris et al. 2018). Because these correlations differ between sites, and because of the differing life-histories of different species, the resulting effect of date of snowmelt on population productivity may differ between species. Light-bellied Brent Geese (*Branta bernicla hrota*) that showed a higher breeding productivity with a later snowmelt may be exceptionally vulnerable to a mismatch. They are long-distance migrants with a virtual non-stop migration that does not allow for adjustments in timing along the way (Clausen and Clausen 2013), while breeding in an area where climate is rapidly warming (Førland et al. 2011). Importantly, perhaps to prevent high thermoregulatory costs due to their small body size (Hupp et al. 2018), they are the last goose species to arrive on the breeding grounds (Clausen and Clausen 2013). While in general small bird species have less scope for capital-breeding than larger ones (Meijer and Drent 1999), the interval between arrival and laying can be of overriding importance, and, based on the short interval, they are expected, like Dark-bellied Brent Geese (*Branta bernicla bernicla*), to be largely capital breeders investing stores into their eggs (Klaassen et al. 2006). While they may have some leeway to adjust to earlier springs by using even more capital stores for egg production when spring starts early, like the closely related Black Brant (*Branta bernicla nigricans*) (Hupp et al. 2018), the options to prevent a mismatch seem to be limited; other (larger) goose species may have more options to start laying earlier in earlier years, because they simply arrive earlier (Hupp et al. 2018).

There are indications that the same processes as outlined above for geese are also relevant for other Arctic migrant bird groups, such as shorebirds. However, especially the shorebirds' smaller body size and largely insectivorous diet create some important differences. Being smaller than geese, shorebirds, for instance, are more at risk of starvation when snow melt is late and they have to survive on body stores (Morrison et al. 2007). They also differ from geese

in that they are income-breeders, forming their eggs from exogenous resources (Klaassen et al. 2001, Morrison and Hobson 2004, Hobson and Jehl 2010). For shorebirds in general, late springs are associated with reduced breeding success, due to higher risk of nest predation as well as less possibilities for re-laying (Meltofte et al. 2008). With regard to the mismatch, shorebird chicks, for instance, are dependent on a peak in arthropod abundance, that has shifted forward in time in recent years (Tulp and Schekkerman 2008, Reneerkens et al. 2016). Some species have responded by advancing laying dates, whereas others did not, suggesting there are migratory constraints to an advancement (McKinnon et al. 2012, Liebezeit et al. 2014, Reneerkens et al. 2016) In Red Knots (*Calidris canutus canutus*) body size of juveniles is positively related to date of snow melt in the Arctic, suggesting that their body size at fledging is smaller following a mismatch in early springs, resulting in a lower subsequent survival (van Gils et al. 2016). In general, however, evidence for a phenological mismatch for shorebirds is rare, perhaps because arthropod abundance, more than plant growth, is strongly affected by weather conditions following snow melt (McKinnon et al. 2012, Reneerkens et al. 2016, Leung et al. 2018, Corkery et al. 2019, Saalfeld et al. 2019). While only few studies investigate the connection between proportion of juveniles and climatic conditions in the breeding grounds and none of these include onset of spring, most of these studies find a higher proportion of juveniles following warm breeding seasons (Schekkerman et al. 1998, Beale et al. 2006, Aharon-Rotman et al. 2015).

While the positive effects of an early spring have been dominant in the historic past, the negative effects of an early spring may soon become more important due to the rapid climate warming in the Arctic. In any case, recognition of the chain of events (Fig. 1) is crucial when we want to be able to predict the effects of Arctic warming on goose productivity and eventually goose population dynamics.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Ray Alisauskas and one anonymous reviewer for providing valuable comments that helped to improve the manuscript.

Funding statement: We were funded by the Polar Program of the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO; grants 866.13.010, ALWPP.2016.024 and ALWPP.2016.030).

Author contributions: Nolet and Schreven proposed the idea of this commentary; Nolet did the original writing; Schreven, Boom and Lameris contributed to the writing.

LITERATURE CITED

- Afton, A. D., and S. L. Paulus (1992). Incubation and Brood Care. In Ecology and Management of Breeding Waterfowl, (B. D. J. Batt, A. D. Afton, M. G. Anderson, C. D. Ankney, D. H. Johnson, J. A. Kadlec, and G. L. Krapu, Editors). University of Minnesota press, Minneapolis, MN, USA. 62-108.
- Aharon-Rotman, Y., M. Soloviev, C. Minton, P. Tomkovich, C. Hassell, and M. Klaassen (2015). Loss of periodicity in breeding success of waders links to changes in lemming cycles in Arctic ecosystems. *Oikos* 124:861-870.
- Aldrich, T. W., and D. G. Raveling (1993). Effects of experience and body weight on incubation behavior of Canada Geese. *The Auk* 100:670-679.
- Alisauskas, R. T. (2002). Arctic climate, spring nutrition, and recruitment in midcontinent lesser snow geese. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 66:181-193.
- Anderson, H. B., J. Madsen, E. Fuglei, G. H. Jensen, S. J. Woodin, and R. van der Wal (2014). The dilemma of where to nest: influence of spring snow cover, food proximity and predator abundance on reproductive success of an arctic-breeding migratory herbivore is dependent on nesting habitat choice. *Polar Biology* 38:153-162.
- Ankney, C. D. (1984). Nutrient reserve dynamics of breeding and molting Brant. *The Auk* 101:361-370.
- Ankney, C. D., and C. D. MacInnes (1978). Nutrient reserves and reproductive performance of female Lesser Snow Geese. *The Auk* 95:459-471.
- Barry, T. W. (1962). Effect of late seasons on Atlantic Brant reproduction. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 26:19-26.
- Beale, C. M., S. Dodd, and J. W. Pearce-Higgins (2006). Wader recruitment indices suggest nesting success is temperature-dependent in Dunlin *Calidris alpina*. *Ibis* 148:405-410.
- Bêty, J., G. Gauthier, and J.-F. Giroux (2003). Body condition, migration, and timing of reproduction in snow geese: a test of the condition-dependent model of optimal clutch size. *American Naturalist* 162:110-121.
- Bêty, J., G. Gauthier, E. Korpimäki, and J.-F. Giroux (2002). Shared predators and indirect trophic interactions: lemming cycles and arctic-nesting geese. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 71:88-98.
- Black, J. M., and M. Owen (1987). Determinants of social rank in goose flocks: Acquisition of social rank in young geese. *Behaviour* 102:129-146.
- Both, C., and M. E. Visser (2001). Adjustment to climate change is constrained by arrival date in a long-distance migrant bird. *Nature* 411:296-298.

- Box, J. E., W. T. Colgan, T. R. Christensen, N. M. Schmidt, M. Lund, F.-J. W. Parmentier, R. Brown, U. S. Bhatt, E. S. Euskirchen, V. E. Romanovsky, J. E. Walsh, et al. (2019). Key indicators of Arctic climate change: 1971–2017. *Environmental Research Letters* 14.
- Brook, R. W., J. O. Leafloor, K. F. Abraham, and D. C. Douglas (2015). Density dependence and phenological mismatch: consequences for growth and survival of sub-arctic nesting Canada Geese. *Avian Conservation and Ecology* 10:1.
- Budeau, D. A., J. T. Ratti, and C. R. Ely (1991). Energy dynamics, foraging ecology, and behavior of prenesting greater white-fronted geese. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 55:556-563.
- Choudhury, S., J. M. Black, and M. Owen (1996). Body size, fitness and compatibility in Barnacle Geese *Branta leucopsis*. *Ibis* 138:700-709.
- Clausen, K. K., and P. Clausen (2013). Earlier Arctic springs cause phenological mismatch in long-distance migrants. *Oecologia* 173:1101-1112.
- Cleasby, I. R., T. W. Bodey, F. Vigfusdottir, J. L. McDonald, G. McElwaine, K. Mackie, K. Colhoun, and S. Bearhop (2017). Climatic conditions produce contrasting influences on demographic traits in a long-distance Arctic migrant. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 86:285-295.
- Cooch, E. G., D. B. Lank, A. Dzubin, R. F. Rockwell, and F. Cooke (1991). Body size variation in Lesser Snow Geese: environmental plasticity in gosling growth rates. *Ecology* 72:503-512.
- Cooke, F., R. F. Rockwell, and D. B. Lank (1995). *The Snow Geese of La Pérouse Bay: natural selection in the wild*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.
- Corkery, C. A., E. Nol, and L. McKinnon (2019). No effects of asynchrony between hatching and peak food availability on chick growth in Semipalmated Plovers (*Charadrius semipalmatus*) near Churchill, Manitoba. *Polar Biology* 42:593-601.
- Daan, S., C. Dijkstra, and J. M. Tinbergen (1990). Family planning in the kestrel (*Falco tinnunculus*): the ultimate control of covariation of laying date and clutch size. *Behaviour* 114:83-116.
- Dickey, M.-H., G. Gauthier, and M.-C. Cadieux (2008). Climatic effects on the breeding phenology and reproductive success of an arctic-nesting goose species. *Global Change Biology* 14:1973-1985.
- Dmitriew, C. M. (2011). The evolution of growth trajectories: what limits growth rate? *Biological Reviews* 86:97–116.
- Doiron, M., G. Gauthier, and E. Levesque (2015). Trophic mismatch and its effects on the growth of young in an Arctic herbivore. *Global Change Biology* 21:4364-4376.
- Doiron, M., P. Legagneux, G. Gauthier, and E. Lévesque (2013). Broad-scale satellite Normalized Difference Vegetation Index data predict plant biomass and peak date of nitrogen concentration in Arctic tundra vegetation. *Applied Vegetation Science* 16:343-351.
- Dokter, A. M., W. Fokkema, S. K. Bekker, W. Bouten, B. S. Ebbinge, G. Müskens, H. Olf, H. P. v. d. Jeugd, and B. A. Nolet (2018). Body stores persist as fitness correlate in a long-distance migrant released from food constraints. *Behavioral Ecology* 29:1157-1166.
- Drent, R., C. Both, M. Green, J. Madsen, and T. Piersma (2003). Pay-offs and penalties of competing migratory schedules. *Oikos* 103:274-292.
- Drent, R. H., and S. Daan (1980). The prudent parent: energetic adjustments in avian breeding. *Ardea* 68:225-252.
- Duriez, O., S. Bauer, A. Destin, J. Madsen, B. A. Nolet, R. A. Stillman, and M. Klaassen (2009). What decision rules might pink-footed geese use to depart on migration? An individual-based model. *Behavioral Ecology* 20:560-569.
- Ebbinge, B. S., and B. Spaans (1995). The importance of body reserves accumulated in spring staging areas in the temperate zone for breeding in Dark-bellied Brent Geese *Branta b. bernicla* in the high Arctic. *Journal of Avian Biology* 26:105-113.
- Eichholz, M. W., and J. S. Sedinger (1999). Regulation of incubation behavior in Black Brant. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 77:249-257.
- Eichhorn, G., H. P. Van der Jeugd, H. A. Meijer, and R. H. Drent (2010). Fueling incubation: differential use of body stores in Arctic- and temperate-breeding Barnacle Geese (*Branta leucopsis*) *The Auk* 127:162-172.

- Førland, E. J., R. Benestad, I. Hanssen-Bauer, J. E. Haugen, and T. E. Skaugen (2011). Temperature and precipitation development at Svalbard 1900–2100. *Advances in Meteorology* 2011:1-14.
- Fortin, D., J. Larochelle, and G. Gauthier (2000). The effect of wind, radiation and body orientation on the thermal environment of Greater Snow goose goslings. *Journal of Thermal Biology* 25:227-238.
- Gauthier, G., J. Bety, M. C. Cadieux, P. Legagneux, M. Doiron, C. Chevallier, S. Lai, A. Tarroux, and D. Berteaux (2013). Long-term monitoring at multiple trophic levels suggests heterogeneity in responses to climate change in the Canadian Arctic tundra. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 368:20120482.
- Gauthier, G., J. Bêty, and K. A. Hobson (2003). Are Greater Snow Geese capital breeders? New evidence from a stable-isotope model. *Ecology* 84:3250-3264.
- Gauthier, G., F. Fournier, and J. Larochelle (2006). The effect of environmental conditions on early growth in geese. *Acta Zoológica Sinica* 52(Suppl.):670–674.
- Hahn, S., M. J. J. E. Loonen, and M. Klaassen (2011). The reliance on distant resources for egg formation in high Arctic breeding barnacle geese *Branta leucopsis*. *Journal of Avian Biology* 42:159-168.
- Hobson, K. A., and J. R. Jehl (2010). Arctic waders and the capital-income continuum: Further tests using isotopic contrasts of egg components. *Journal of Avian Biology* 41:565-572.
- Hobson, K. A., C. M. Sharp, R. L. Jefferies, R. F. Rockwell, and K. F. Abraham (2011). Nutrient allocation strategies to eggs by Lesser Snow Geese (*Chen caerulescens*) at a sub-Arctic colony. *The Auk* 128:156-165.
- Hupp, J. W., D. H. Ward, D. X. Soto, and K. A. Hobson (2018). Spring temperature, migration chronology, and nutrient allocation to eggs in three species of arctic-nesting geese: Implications for resilience to climate warming. *Global Change Biology* 24:5056-5071.
- Jensen, G. H., J. Madsen, F. A. Johnson, and M. P. Tamstorf (2014). Snow conditions as an estimator of the breeding output in high-Arctic pink-footed geese *Anser brachyrhynchus*. *Polar Biology* 37:1-14.
- Klaassen, M., K. F. Abraham, R. L. Jefferies, and M. Vrtiska (2006). Factors affecting the site of investment, and the reliance on savings for arctic breeders: the capital-income dichotomy revisited. *Ardea* 94:371-384.
- Klaassen, M., S. Hahn, H. Korthals, and J. Madsen (2017). Eggs brought in from afar: Svalbard-breeding pink-footed geese can fly their eggs across the Barents Sea. *Journal of Avian Biology* 48:173-179.
- Klaassen, M., Å. Lindström, H. Meltofte, and T. Piersma (2001). Arctic waders are not capital breeders. *Nature* 413:794.
- Knudsen, E., A. Lindén, C. Both, N. Jonzén, F. Pulido, N. Saino, W. J. Sutherland, L. A. Bach, T. Coppack, T. Ergon, P. Gienapp, et al. (2011). Challenging claims in the study of migratory birds and climate change. *Biological Reviews* 86:928-946.
- Kölzsch, A., S. Bauer, R. de Boer, L. Griffin, D. Cabot, K.-M. Exo, H. P. van der Jeugd, and B. A. Nolet (2015). Forecasting spring from afar? Timing of migration and predictability of phenology along different migration routes of an avian herbivore. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 84:272–283.
- Kölzsch, A., G. J. D. M. Müskens, H. Kruckenberg, P. Glazov, R. Weinzierl, B. A. Nolet, and M. Wikelski (2016). Towards a new understanding of migration timing: slower spring than autumn migration in geese reflects different decision rules for stopover use and departure. *Oikos* 125:1496-1507.
- Lameris, T. K., F. Jochems, A. J. v. d. Graaf, M. Andersson, J. Limpens, and B. A. Nolet (2017a). Forage plants of an Arctic-nesting herbivore show larger warming response in breeding than wintering grounds, potentially disrupting migration phenology. *Ecology and Evolution* 7:2652-2660.

- Lameris, T. K., I. Scholten, S. Bauer, M. M. P. Cobben, B. J. Ens, and B. A. Nolet (2017b). Potential for an Arctic-breeding migratory bird to adjust spring migration phenology to Arctic amplification. *Global Change Biology* 23:4058-4067.
- Lameris, T. K., H. P. van der Jeugd, G. Eichhorn, A. M. Dokter, W. Bouten, M. P. Boom, K. E. Litvin, B. J. Ens, and B. A. Nolet (2018). Arctic geese tune migration to a warming climate but still suffer from a phenological mismatch. *Current Biology* 28:2467-2473.
- Lecomte, N., G. Gauthier, and J.-F. Giroux (2009). A link between water availability and nesting success mediated by predator–prey interactions in the Arctic. *Ecology* 90:465-475.
- Lepage, D., A. Desrochers, and G. Gauthier (1999). Seasonal decline of growth and fledging success in Snow Geese *Anser caerulescens*: An effect of date or parental quality? *Journal of Avian Biology* 30:72-78.
- Lepage, D., G. Gauthier, and S. Menu (2000). Reproductive consequences of egg-laying decisions in snow geese. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 69:414-427.
- Lepage, D., G. Gauthier, and A. Reed (1998). Seasonal variation in growth of greater snow goose goslings: the role of food supply. *Oecologia* 114:226-235.
- Leung, M. C. Y., E. Bolduc, F. I. Doyle, D. G. Reid, B. S. Gilbert, A. J. Kenney, C. J. Krebs, and J. Bêty (2018). Phenology of hatching and food in low Arctic passerines and shorebirds: is there a mismatch? *Arctic Science* 4:538-556.
- Liebezeit, J. R., K. E. B. Gurney, M. Budde, S. Zack, and D. Ward (2014). Phenological advancement in arctic bird species: relative importance of snow melt and ecological factors. *Polar Biology* 37:1309-1320.
- Lindberg, M. S., J. S. Sedinger, and P. L. Flint (1997). Effects of spring environment on nesting phenology and clutch size of Black Brant. *The Condor* 99:381-388.
- Lindholm, A., G. Gauthier, and A. Desrochers (1994). Effects of hatch date and food supply on gosling growth in Arctic-nesting Greater Snow Geese. *The Condor* 96:898-908.
- Loonen, M., L. W. Bruinzeel, J. M. Black, and R. H. Drent (1999). The benefit of large broods in barnacle geese: a study using natural and experimental manipulations. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 68:753-768.
- Madsen, J., M. Mikkel Tamstorf, M. Klaassen, N. Eide, C. Glahder, F. Rigét, H. Nyegaard, and F. Cottaar (2007). Effects of snow cover on the timing and success of reproduction in high-Arctic pink-footed geese *Anser brachyrhynchus*. *Polar Biology* 30:1363-1372.
- Mainguy, J., J. Bety, G. Gauthier, and J. F. Giroux (2002). Are body condition and reproductive effort of laying Greater Snow Geese affected by the spring hunt? *The Condor* 104:156-161.
- McKinnon, L., M. Picotin, E. Bolduc, C. Juillet, and J. Bêty (2012). Timing of breeding, peak food availability, and effects of mismatch on chick growth in birds nesting in the High Arctic. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 90:961-971.
- McKinnon, L., P. A. Smith, E. Nol, J. L. Martin, F. I. Doyle, K. F. Abraham, H. G. Gilchrist, R. I. G. Morrison, and J. Bêty (2010). Lower predation risk for migratory birds at high latitudes. *Science* 327:326-327.
- Meijer, T., and R. Drent (1999). Re-examination of the capital and income dichotomy in breeding birds. *Ibis* 141:399-414.
- Meltofte, H., T. T. Høye, and N. M. Schmidt (2008). Effects of food availability, snow and predation on breeding performance of waders at Zackenberg. In *High-Arctic Ecosystem Dynamics in a Changing Climate*. *Advances in Ecological Research* 40:325-343.
- Morrison, R. I. G., N. C. Davidson, and J. R. Wilson (2007). Survival of the fattest: body stores on migration and survival in red knots *Calidris canutus islandica*. *Journal of Avian Biology* 38:479-487.
- Morrison, R. I. G., and K. A. Hobson (2004). Use of body stores in shorebirds after arrival on high-arctic breeding grounds. *The Auk* 121:333-344.
- Morrisette, M., J. Bêty, G. Gauthier, A. Reed, and J. Lefebvre (2010). Climate, trophic interactions, density dependence and carry-over effects on the population productivity of a migratory Arctic herbivorous bird. *Oikos* 119:1181-1191.

- Murphy, M. T. (1986). Temporal components of reproductive variability in eastern kingbirds (*Tyrannus tyrannus*). *Ecology* 67:1483-1492.
- Nolet, B. A., S. Bauer, N. Feige, Y. I. Kokorev, I. Y. Popov, and B. S. Ebbinge (2013). Faltering lemming cycles reduce productivity and population size of a migratory Arctic goose species. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 82:804-813.
- Nolet, B. A., L. Broftová, I. M. A. Heitköning, A. Vorel, and V. Kostkan (2005). Slow growth of a translocated beaver population partly due to climatic shift in food quality. *Oikos* 111:632-640.
- Parmesan, C., and G. Yohe (2003). A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across natural systems. *Nature* 421:37-42.
- Perrins, C. M. (1970). The timing of birds' breeding seasons. *Ibis* 112:242-255.
- Perrins, C. M., and R. H. McCleery (1989). Laying dates and clutch size in the great tit. *Wilson Bulletin* 101:236-253.
- Pithan, F., and T. Mauritsen (2014). Arctic amplification dominated by temperature feedbacks in contemporary climate models. *Nature Geoscience* 7:181-184.
- Poisbleau, M., F. H., M. Valeix, P.-Y. Perroi, S. Dalloyau, and M. M. Lambrechts (2006). Social dominance correlates and family status in wintering dark-bellied brent geese, *Branta bernicla bernicla*. *Animal Behaviour* 71:1351-1358.
- Prop, J., and J. De Vries (1993). Impact of snow and food conditions on the reproductive performance of barnacle geese *Branta leucopsis*. *Ornis Scandinavica* 24:110-121.
- Raveling, D. G. (1978). The timing of egg laying by northern geese. *The Auk* 95:294-303.
- Reed, E. T., G. Gauthier, and J. F. Giroux (2004). Effects of spring conditions on breeding propensity of Greater Snow Goose females. *Animal Biodiversity and Conservation* 27:35-46.
- Reneerkens, J., N. M. Schmidt, O. Gilg, J. Hansen, L. H. Hansen, J. Moreau, and T. Piersma (2016). Effects of food abundance and early clutch predation on reproductive timing in a high Arctic shorebird exposed to advancements in arthropod abundance. *Ecology and Evolution* 6:7375-7386.
- Richman, S. E., J. O. Leafloor, W. H. Karasov, and S. R. McWilliams (2015). Ecological implications of reduced forage quality on growth and survival of sympatric geese. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 84:284-298.
- Ricklefs, R. E., and J. M. Starck (1998). The evolution of the developmental mode in birds. In *Avian growth and development. Evolution within the altricial-precocial spectrum.* , (J. M. Starck, and R. E. Ricklefs, Editors). Oxford University Press, New York. 366-382.
- Rockwell, R. F., E. G. Cooch, C. B. Thompson, and F. Cooke (1993). Age and reproductive success in female lesser snow geese: experience, senescence and the cost of philopatry. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 62:323-333.
- Ross, M. V., R. T. Alisauskas, D. C. Douglas, and D. K. Kellett (2017). Decadal declines in avian herbivore reproduction: density-dependent nutrition and phenological mismatch in the Arctic. *Ecology* 98:1869-1883.
- Ross, M. V., R. T. Alisauskas, D. C. Douglas, D. K. Kellett, and K. L. Drake (2018). Density - dependent and phenological mismatch effects on growth and survival in lesser snow and Ross's goslings. *Journal of Avian Biology* 49:e01748.
- Rowe, L., D. Ludwig, and D. Schluter (1994). Time, condition, and the seasonal decline of avian clutch size. *American Naturalist* 143:698-772.
- Ryder, J. P. (1970). A possible factor in the evolution of clutch size in Ross' Goose. *Wilson Bulletin* 82:5-13.
- Saalfeld, S. T., D. C. McEwen, D. C. Kesler, M. G. Butler, J. A. Cunningham, A. C. Doll, W. B. English, D. E. Gerik, K. Grond, P. Herzog, B. L. Hill, et al. (2019). Phenological mismatch in Arctic-breeding shorebirds: Impact of snowmelt and unpredictable weather conditions on food availability and chick growth. *Ecology & Evolution* 9:6693-6707.
- Samelius, G., and R. T. Alisauskas (1999). Diet and growth of glaucous gulls at a large Arctic goose colony. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 77:1327-1331.

- Samelius, G., and R. T. Alisauskas (2001). Deterring arctic fox predation: the role of parental nest attendance by lesser snow geese. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 79:861-866.
- Schekkerman, H., M. W. J. van Roomen, and L. G. Underhill (1998). Growth, behaviour of broods and weather-related variation in breeding productivity of Curlew Sandpipers *Calidris ferruginea*. *Ardea* 86:153-168.
- Schmutz, J. A., K. A. Hobson, and J. A. Morse (2006). An isotopic assessment of protein from diet and endogenous stores: effects on egg production and incubation behaviour of geese. *Ardea* 94:385-397.
- Sedinger, J. S., and P. L. Flint (1991). Growth rate is negatively correlated with hatch date in Black Brant. *Ecology* 72:496-502.
- Sedinger, J. S., and D. G. Raveling (1986). Timing of nesting by Canada geese in relation to the phenology and availability of their food plants. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 55:1083-1102.
- Sénéchal, E., J. Bêty, and H. G. Gilchrist (2011). Interactions between lay date, clutch size, and postlaying energetic needs in a capital breeder. *Behavioral Ecology* 22:162–168.
- Serreze, M. C., and J. A. Francis (2006). The Arctic on the fast track of change. *Weather* 61:65-69.
- Shariatnajafabadi, M., T. Wang, A. K. Skidmore, A. G. Toxopeus, A. K. Izsch, B. A. Nolet, K.-M. Exo, L. Griffin, J. Stahl, and D. Cabot (2014). Migratory herbivorous waterfowl track satellite-derived green wave index. *PLoS ONE* 9:e108331.
- Sharp, C. M., K. F. Abraham, K. A. Hobson, and G. Burness (2013). Allocation of nutrients to reproduction at high latitudes: Insights from two species of sympatrically nesting geese. *The Auk* 130:171-179.
- Slattery, S. M., and R. T. Alisauskas (2002). Use of the Barker model in an experiment examining covariate effects on first-year survival in Ross's Geese (*Chen rossii*): a case study. *Journal of Applied Statistics* 29:497- 508.
- Somveille, M., A. S. L. Rodrigues, and A. Manica (2018). Energy efficiency drives the global seasonal distribution of birds. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 2:962-969.
- Spaans, B., C. A. Van't Hoff, W. Van der Veer, and B. S. Ebbinge (2007). The significance of female body stores for egg laying and incubation in Dark-bellied Brent Geese *Branta bernicla bernicla*. *Ardea* 95:3-15.
- Thackeray, S. J., T. H. Sparks, M. Frederiksen, S. Burthe, P. J. Bacon, J. R. Bell, M. S. Botham, T. M. Brereton, P. W. Bright, L. Carvalho, T. I. M. Clutton-Brock, et al. (2010). Trophic level asynchrony in rates of phenological change for marine, freshwater and terrestrial environments. *Global Change Biology* 16:3304-3313.
- Tombre, I. M., and K. E. Erikstad (1996). An experimental study of incubation effort in high-Arctic barnacle geese. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 65:325-331.
- Tombre, I. M., K. A. Hogda, J. Madsen, L. R. Griffin, E. Kuijken, P. Shimmings, E. Rees, and C. Verschuere (2008). The onset of spring and timing of migration in two arctic nesting goose populations: the pink-footed goose *Anser bachyrhynchus* and the barnacle goose *Branta leucopsis*. *Journal of Avian Biology* 39:691-703.
- Trinder, M. N., D. Hassell, and S. Votier (2009). Reproductive performance in arctic-nesting geese is influenced by environmental conditions during the wintering, breeding and migration seasons. *Oikos* 118:1093-1101.
- Tulp, I., and H. Schekkerman (2008). Has prey availability for arctic birds advanced with climate change? Hindcasting the abundance of tundra arthropods using weather and seasonal variation. *Arctic* 61:48-60.
- van der Graaf, A. J., J. Stahl, A. Klimkowska, J. P. Bakker, and R. H. Drent (2006). Surfing on a green wave – how plant growth drives spring migration in the Barnacle Goose *Branta leucopsis*. *Ardea* 94:567-577.
- Van der Jeugd, H. P., G. Eichhorn, K. E. Litvin, J. Stahl, K. Larsson, A. J. Van der Graaf, and R. H. Drent (2009). Keeping up with early springs: rapid range expansion in an avian herbivore incurs a mismatch between reproductive timing and food supply. *Global Change Biology* 15:1057-1071.

- van Gils, J. A., S. Lisovski, T. Lok, W. Meissner, A. Ożarowska, J. de Fouw, E. Rakhimberdiev, M. Y. Soloviev, T. Piersma, and M. Klaassen (2016). Body shrinkage due to Arctic warming reduces red knot fitness in tropical wintering range. *Science* 352:819-821.
- van Oudenhove, L., G. Gauthier, and J. D. Lebreton (2014). Year-round effects of climate on demographic parameters of an arctic-nesting goose species. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 83:1322-1333.
- van Wijk, R. E., A. Kölzsch, H. Kruckenberg, B. S. Ebbinge, G. J. D. M. Müskens, and B. A. Nolet (2012). Individually tracked geese follow peaks of temperature acceleration during spring migration *Oikos* 121:655-664.
- Visser, M. E., L. te Marvelde, and M. E. Lof (2012). Adaptive phenological mismatches of birds and their food in a warming world. *Journal of Ornithology* 153:75-84.
- Visser, M. E., A. J. van Noordwijk, J. M. Tinbergen, and C. M. Lessells (1998). Warmer springs lead to mis-timed reproduction in Great Tits (*Parus major*). *Proceedings of the Royal Society, London B* 265:1867-1870.
- Williams, T. D., E. G. Cooch, R. L. Jefferies, and F. Cooke (1993). Environmental degradation, food limitation and reproductive output: juvenile survival in lesser snow geese. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 62:766-777.
- Xu, F., and Y. Si (2019). The frost wave hypothesis: How the environment drives autumn departure of migratory waterfowl. *Ecological Indicators* 101:1018-1025.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1. Chain of effects eventually leading to population productivity as measured as the proportion of juveniles in autumn or winter flocks. For clarity, only bottom-up effects are considered. The vegetation phenology on migratory staging sites may or may not be correlated to the vegetation phenology on the breeding site, but in any case determines arrival date on the breeding site, which in turn determines body condition of the female upon arrival. The date of snowmelt on the breeding site is likely to be correlated to the peak date of food quality, and hence to the potential mismatch. The food quality affects the body condition of the female and the chicks, and thereby the fitness components (note the arrow that runs from Body condition female to Breeding Propensity). The population productivity is the product of breeding propensity, clutch size, incubation success, hatchling survival and fledgling survival, where incubation success in turn is the product of nest success, egg survival of successful nests, and hatching success of surviving eggs. Because the date of snowmelt and the mismatch predominantly affect different stages in the breeding cycle, their combined outcome may result in negative or positive relationships between breeding site phenology and population productivity.

