Potential for biocontrol of hairy root disease by a Paenibacillus clade

Bosmans, Lien; de Bruijn, Irene; Gerards, S.; Moerkens, Rob; Van Looveren, Lore; Wittemans, Lieve; Van Calenberge, Bart; Paeleman, Anneleen; Van Kerckhove, Stef; Rozenski, Jef; de Mot, Rene; Rediers, Hans; Raaijmakers, J.M.; Lievens, Bart

published in
Frontiers in Microbiology
2017

DOI (link to publisher)
10.3389/fmicb.2017.00447

document version
Peer reviewed version

Potential for biocontrol of hairy root disease by a Paenibacillus clade exhibiting antagonistic activity against rhizogenic Agrobacterium biovar 1 strains

Lien Bosmans[1*], Irene d. Bruijn[2], Saskia Gerards[2], Rob Moerkens[3], Lore Van Looveren[3], Lieve Wittemans[4], Bart Van Calenberge[4], Anneleen Paeleman[5], Stef Van Kerckhove[5], Jef Rozenski[6], Rene De Mot[7], Hans Rediers[1], Jos Raaijmakers[2], Bart Lievens[1]

[1]Microbial and Molecular Systems, KULeuven, Belgium, [2]NIOO, Netherlands, [3]PCH Hoogstraten, Belgium, [4]Proefcentrum Sint-Katelijne-Waver, Belgium, [5]Scientia terrae, Belgium, [6]REGA instituut, Belgium, [7]Centre of Microbial and Plant Genetics, Belgium

Submitted to Journal:
Frontiers in Microbiology

Specialty Section:
Plant Biotic Interactions

Article type:
Original Research Article

Manuscript ID:
243031

Received on:
16 Nov 2016

Frontiers website link:
www.frontiersin.org

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest

Author contribution statement

/

Keywords

Agrobacterium, Antagonistic Activity, biological control, High-Throughput Screening, Paenibacillus.

Abstract

Word count: 263

Rhizogenic Agrobacterium biovar 1 is the causative agent of hairy root disease (HRD) in the hydroponic cultivation of tomato and cucumber causing significant losses in marketable yield. In order to prevent and control the disease chemical disinfectants such as hydrogen peroxide or hypochlorite are generally applied to sanitize the hydroponic system and/or hydroponic solution. However, effective control of HRD sometimes requires high disinfectant doses that may have phytotoxic effects. Moreover, several of these chemicals may be converted to unwanted by-products with human health hazards. Here we explored the potential of beneficial bacteria as a sustainable means to control HRD. A large collection of diverse bacterial genera was screened for antagonistic activity against rhizogenic Agrobacterium biovar 1 using the agar overlay assay. Out of more than 130 strains tested only Paenibacillus strains showed antagonistic activity. Strikingly, phylogenetic analysis showed that antagonistic activity was restricted to a particular Paenibacillus clade, representing the species P. illinoisensis, P. pabuli, P. taichungensis, P. tundrae, P. tylopili, P. xylanexedens and P. xylanilyticus. Assessment of the spectrum of activity revealed that some strains were able to inhibit the growth of all 35 rhizogenic agrobacteria strains tested, while others were only active against part of the collection, suggesting a different mode of action. Preliminary characterization of the compounds involved in the antagonistic activity of two closely related Paenibacillus strains, tentatively identified as P. xylanexedens, revealed that they are water-soluble and have low molecular weight. Application of a combination of these strains in greenhouse conditions resulted in a significant reduction of HRD, indicating the great potential of these strains to control HRD.

Funding statement

We thank VLAIO (Flanders Innovation & Entrepreneurship) to support this research (project IWT-LA: 120761).

Ethics statements

(Authors are required to state the ethical considerations of their study in the manuscript, including for cases where the study was exempt from ethical approval procedures)

Does the study presented in the manuscript involve human or animal subjects: No

Potential for biocontrol of hairy root disease by a *Paenibacillus* clade exhibiting antagonistic activity against rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1 strains

Lien Bosmans[1], Irene De Bruijn[2], Saskia Gerards[2], Rob Moerkens[3], Lore Van Looveren[3], Lieve Wittemans[4], Bart Van Calenberge[4], Anneleen Paeleman[5], Stefan Van Kerckhove[5], René De Mot[6], Jef Rozenski[7], Hans Rediers[1,$], Jos M. Raaijmakers[2,$], Bart Lievens[1,$*].

[1] *Laboratory for Process Microbial Ecology and Bioinspirational Management (PME&BIM), Department of Microbial and Molecular Systems (M²S), KU Leuven, Campus De Nayer, B-2860 Sint-Katelijne-Waver, Belgium.*

[2] *Department of Microbial Ecology, Netherlands Institute of Ecology (NIOO-KNAW), 6708 PB Wageningen, The Netherlands.*

[3] *Research Centre Hoogstraten vzw, B-2328 Meerle, Belgium.*

[4] *Research Station for Vegetable Production vzw, B-2860 Sint-Katelijne-Waver, Belgium.*

[5] *Scientia Terrae vzw, B-2860 Sint-Katelijne-Waver, Belgium.*

[6] *Centre of Microbial and Plant Genetics, M²S, KU Leuven, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium.*

[7] *Rega Institute, KU Leuven, B-3000 Leuven, Belgium.*

Running title: Biological control of rhizogenic agrobacteria

[$] Shared last co-authorship.

* Corresponding author: B. Lievens, Laboratory for Process Microbial Ecology and Bioinspirational Management, KU Leuven, Campus De Nayer, Fortsesteenweg 30A, B-2860 Sint-Katelijne-Waver, Belgium. Phone: +32 15 305590. Fax: +32 15 305599. E-mail address: bart.lievens@kuleuven.be.

ABSTRACT

Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1 is the causative agent of hairy root disease (HRD) in the hydroponic cultivation of tomato and cucumber causing significant losses in marketable yield. In order to prevent and control the disease chemical disinfectants such as hydrogen peroxide or hypochlorite are generally applied to sanitize the hydroponic system and/or hydroponic solution. However, effective control of HRD sometimes requires high disinfectant doses that may have phytotoxic effects. Moreover, several of these chemicals may be converted to unwanted by-products with human health hazards. Here we explored the potential of beneficial bacteria as a sustainable means to control HRD. A large collection of diverse bacterial genera was screened for antagonistic activity against rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1 using the agar overlay assay. Out of more than 130 strains tested only *Paenibacillus* strains showed antagonistic activity. Strikingly, phylogenetic analysis showed that antagonistic activity was restricted to a particular *Paenibacillus* clade, representing the species *P. illinoisensis*, *P. pabuli*, *P. taichungensis*, *P. tundrae*, *P. tylopili*, *P. xylanexedens* and *P. xylanilyticus*. Assessment of the spectrum of activity revealed that some strains were able to inhibit the growth of all 35 rhizogenic agrobacteria strains tested, while others were only active against part of the collection, suggesting a different mode of action. Preliminary characterization of the compounds involved in the antagonistic activity of two closely related *Paenibacillus* strains, tentatively identified as *P. xylanexedens*, revealed that they are water-soluble and have low molecular weight. Application of a combination of these strains in greenhouse conditions resulted in a significant reduction of HRD, indicating the great potential of these strains to control HRD.

Key words: *Agrobacterium*, antagonistic activity, biological control, high-throughput screening, *Paenibacillus*.

INTRODUCTION

Since the early 1990s, in several European countries hydroponically grown cucumber plants and tomato crops have been affected by a disorder called 'hairy root disease' (HRD). The disease is characterized by extensive root proliferation leading to strong vegetative growth and, in severe cases, substantial losses in marketable yield (Weller *et al.,* 2006; Ludeking *et al.,* 2013). In hydroponic crops HRD is generally associated with rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1 strains (further referred to as 'rhizogenic agrobacteria'), harbouring a Ri–plasmid (root-inducing plasmid) (Gelvin, 2003). Symptoms arise following transfer of a portion of the Ri-plasmid (T-DNA; transferred DNA) from the bacterium to plant cells, where it is integrated in the chromosomal DNA and subsequently expressed (Hooykaas and Beijersbergen, 1994), leading to excessive root development. Once plants are infected, HRD cannot be controlled by curative means and rather preventative actions should therefore be taken, such as preventing and/or removing *Agrobacterium* containing biofilms that are often associated with the disease in the greenhouse irrigation system (Danhorn *et al.*, 2007; Bosmans *et al.,* 2015). However, to effectively prevent the disease generally high concentrations of chemical disinfectants are required, including levels that may be phytotoxic (Bosmans *et al.,* 2016c). Moreover, several of these chemicals may be converted to unwanted by-products with human health hazards (Damstra, 2002). Therefore, there is currently a strong interest in alternative means to prevent and control HRD such as the use of biocontrol organisms (BCO).

The use of BCO has received great attention the last few decades because of the ability of such antagonistic strains to suppress plant diseases with less environmental impact than chemical pesticides, reduced off-target effects in microbiota linked to a narrow activity spectrum, and the possibility to be integrated with other control methods (Raaijmakers *et al.,* 2002; Rubino *et al.,* 2013). Especially rhizosphere bacteria are generally considered ideal BCO of soilborne plant pathogens because of their effective colonization of the rhizosphere providing a front-line defence against pathogen attack, their versatility to protect plants under different conditions, and production of antimicrobial compounds (Sharma *et al.,* 2009). However, so far, no bacterial antagonists have been identified to control rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1.

The objectives of this study were (i) to identify potential bacterial BCO of rhizogenic agrobacteria using both laboratory and greenhouse experiments and (ii) to perform a preliminary characterization of the compounds involved in the antagonistic activity. To this end, a large collection of diverse bacterial isolates from rhizosphere soil was screened for antagonistic activity using the agar overlay assay. Antimicrobial compounds were determined using RP-HPLC and a quadrupole orthogonal acceleration time-of-flight mass spectrometer. Further, biocontrol activity of a mixture of the most promising strains was assessed under greenhouse conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Culture collection and screening for antagonists of rhizogenic agrobacteria

In a first screening, a collection of 130 phylogenetically different bacterial strains isolated from soil habitats (de Ridder-Duine *et al.,* 2005) was used in this study and subjected to high-throughput screening for antagonists of rhizogenic agrobacteria as

described previously (Tyc *et al.*, 2014) (Table S1, Supporting Information). The collection consisted of strains from different phyla and different classes (Table 1), and has previously been evaluated for antagonistic activity against two human pathogenic model organisms, including *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* (Tyc *et al.*, 2014). Additionally, *Streptomyces rimosus* DSM40260, a producer of oxytetracycline, was included in the study as a reference strain. Strains were stored in glycerol at -80 °C in two 96-well plates until further use. To this end, first wells of the 96-well plates were filled with 150 µl lysogeny broth (LB) (10 g/L NaCl, 10 g/L Bacto™ Tryptone, 5 g/L Bacto™ Yeast extract) and inoculated with the strains. Plates were then incubated for two days at 25 °C with gentle agitation, after which 50 µl of 50 % (v/v) glycerol was added to achieve a final glycerol concentration of 12.5 % (v/v).

For assessing the antagonistic properties of the collection, the 96-well plates were thawed and isolates were spotted using the Genetix QPix 2 colony picking robot (Molecular Devices, UK Limited, Wokingham, United Kingdom) on OmniTray-plates (size 128 × 86 mm; capacity 90 mL; Greiner Bio-One B.V., Alphen a/d Rijn, The Netherlands) with 15 mL solid bacterial growth medium (5 g/L NaCl, 1 g/L KH_2PO_4; 3 g/L Oxoid Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB); 20 g/L Merck Agar-agar). The plates were incubated for 5 days at 20 °C and were used as source plates for spotting test plates containing the same medium mentioned above. Importantly, Merck agar-agar was used in our screening as this agar was shown to support bacterial antagonistic activity against rhizogenic agrobacteria, while several other agars were not (Bosmans *et al.*, 2016b). Spot-inoculated OmniTray plates were then incubated for 1 day at 25 °C. Subsequently, 15 mL melted LB agar containing *Agrobacterium* (about 6 x 10^5 cells per mL) was poured over the surface of the plate and incubated again at 25 °C. After 24 hours of incubation, the diameter of the inhibition visible zones surrounding spotted colonies

was recorded (Tyc et al. 2014; Bosmans et al. 2016b). Experiments were performed for one rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1 strain (ST15.13/097, isolated from tomato; Bosmans *et al.*, 2015), and were independently repeated twice.

In a second screening, several strains from the same genus as the only strain showing antagonistic activity in the initial high-throughput screening mentioned above (i.e. *Paenibacillus*) (Table 2) were evaluated for antagonistic activity against *Agrobacterium* biovar 1 strain ST15.13/097 in an agar overlay assay using 9 cm-diameter petri dishes as described by Bosmans *et al.* (2016b). For all strains showing antagonistic activity the spectrum of activity was evaluated using 35 rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1 strains and 37 other strains from diverse phyla including Actinobacteria, Firmicutes and Proteobacteria, among which several plant pathogens (Table 3).

Characterization of antagonistic strains

For all strains with antagonistic activity the 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) genes were partially amplified and sequenced as described by Bosmans *et al.* (2015). Obtained sequences were individually trimmed for quality, using a minimum Phred score of 20, and, in cases of ambiguous base calls, manually edited based on the obtained electropherograms. A maximum likelihood tree was constructed using MEGA v5.2 (Tamura *et al.*, 2011) to assess the phylogenetic relatedness between the antagonistic strains as well as their phylogenetic relationships with previously characterized reference (type) strains for which the sequences were retrieved from EzTaxon (www.ezbiocloud.net/eztaxon).

Antagonistic strains were subjected to a Bioscreen C analysis (Oy Growth Curves Ab Ltd, Helsinki, Finland) to assess growth characteristics in different media.

The working volume in the wells of the Bioscreen plate was 200 µL, comprised of 5 µL bacterial suspension (about 10^5 cells per mL LB medium) and 195 µL of one of the following three media: TSB (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK), LB and a minimal broth medium (M70) containing 2 g/L Bacto™ Yeast extract and 10 g/L Mannitol (Sigma, Missouri, US). The temperature was controlled at 25 ºC, and the optical density of the cell suspensions was measured automatically at 600nm in regular intervals of 15 min, for three days. Before each measurement, the Bioscreen plate was automatically shaken for 60 seconds. The experiments were performed two times independently, each with three replicates. Tested culture medium without inoculum was used as a reference. Growth curves were generated by monitoring the averaged optical density (OD_{600}) as a function of incubation time.

Preliminary characterization of the antagonistic compound(s)

The two best performing strains (based on the size of the zone of inhibition, specificity and growth in the previous assays), including AD117 (the same as ST15.13/036, Bosmans *et al.*, 2016b) and ST15.15/027, were selected for preliminary characterization of the antagonistic compounds. First, isolates were investigated for production of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) having antagonistic activity against *Agrobacterium*. To this end, two bottoms of a 9 cm-diameter petri dishe, one containing a freshly spot-inoculated (15 µL per spot; about 10^5 cells per mL in TSB) antagonistic bacterium (on the medium described above) and the other a rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1 isolate (ST15.13/097) (on TSA, Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK), were sealed facing each other and incubated at 25 °C with the petri-dish containing the antagonistic bacterium at the bottom. The experiments were carried out using two independent

repeats, each with three replicates. Growth inhibition was calculated by measuring the zone of inhibition after 1, 2 and 3 days of incubation.

Secondly, to assess whether the antagonistic compounds are secreted to the extracellular space, cell-free culture filtrates were prepared and tested for antibacterial activity in a microtitre plate (Thermo Scientific™ Nunc™ MicroWell™ 96-Well Microplates). To this end, antagonistic bacteria were cultured in liquid medium (100 mL) consisting of 3 g/L tryptic soy broth (TSB; Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK), 5 g/L NaCl, and 1 g/L KH_2PO_4, and incubated at 25 °C for 2 days. Cultures of about 10^4 cells per mL were then filter-sterilized (0.2-µm filter, sterile mixed cellulose ester membrane, Whatman, GE Healthcare Life Sciences, UK), and a portion of the filtrate was added to the wells of the microtiter plate. More specifically, 100, 150 and 190 µL of the cell-free filtrates were added to 100, 50 and 10 µL LB containing *Agrobacterium* biovar 1 isolate ST15.13/097 (final concentration of 5 x 10^2 cells per mL for each condition), respectively. In the control wells, the culture filtrate was replaced by LB. For all treatments, plates were incubated with gentle agitation and growth was photospectrometrically (OD_{600}) quantified after 24 h of incubation at 25 °C. Experiments were independently repeated twice.

Extraction and purification of the antagonistic compound(s)

For the extraction and identification of the compounds responsible for the antagonistic activity, the two best performing strains, AD117 and ST15.15/027, were selected and spot-inoculated (15 µL per spot) on the agar medium mentioned above in 9 cm-diameter petri dishes (60 plates per strain). Following inoculation with *Agrobacterium* (isolate ST15.13/097) (see above) and subsequent incubation for 1 day at 25 °C, 60 agar pieces of approximately 1 cm^2 were excised from the zone of

inhibition, suspended in 65% methanol (65% methanol, 34.9% milliQ water and 0.1% formic acid) and shaken for 3 h at room temperature. After centrifugation at 5000 g for 15 min, the liquid phase was transferred and the methanol was evaporated by air drying. Subsequently, the aqueous phase was frozen and freeze-dried, and the dried extract was dissolved again in 65% methanol prior to further analysis. Obtained extracts were analysed by reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) (Waters Chromatography B.V., Etten-Leur, the Netherlands) equipped with a Waters 996 photodiode array detector. The separations were performed on a Waters Symmetry C18RP column (5 μm, 3.9 $\times$ 150 mm) with a mobile phase of 70% methanol and 0.1% formic acid, and operated at a flow of 0.2 mL/min for 10 min (or 60 min for improved resolution of peaks) with UV detection at 240 nm. Fractions were collected each 5 min or by collecting particular peaks. For each collected fraction, methanol was evaporated and the remaining (aqueous) phase was freeze-dried, dissolved again in 65% methanol, and 20 µL was spotted on a sterile filter paper and covered by an *Agrobacterium* overlay. 20µL methanol, spotted on filter paper was used as an control.

For those HPLC fractions that had activity against *Agrobacterium*, mass spectra were acquired in positive ionization mode on a quadrupole orthogonal acceleration time-of-flight mass spectrometer (Syntapt G2, Waters, Milford, MA) equipped with a standard electrospray probe and controlled by the MassLynx 4.1 software. Resolution of the instrument was set to 15000 (resolution mode). The capillary voltage and cone voltage were set to 3 kV and 35 V, respectively. Accurate masses were obtained using the LockSpray source and leucine enkephalin (2 ng/µL in acetonitrile:water 1:1) as reference compound infused at 3 µL/min. The chromatographic system consisted of an ultra-performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) system (Acquity H-class, Waters, Milford, MA). Separations were performed on a reversed phase C18 column (Acquity

HSS T3 1.8 µm 1x50 mm) at a flow rate of 150 µL/min. The injection volume was 5 µL. A linear gradient of acetonitrile in water (2 to 22% in 10 min) was applied. Mass spectra in the mass range m/z 100 to 700 were acquired at a rate of one spectrum per second.

Evaluation of the antagonistic activity in greenhouse conditions

A greenhouse experiment was performed to assess the biocontrol activity of a mixture of the two selected bacteria (AD117 and ST15.15/027) against *Agrobacterium* biovar 1 in a commercial hydroponic tomato production system in Belgium (Research Centre Hoogstraten, Belgium). Experiments were performed using the tomato cultivar 'Rebelski' (De Ruiter, The Netherlands), rootstock Maxifort (De Ruiter, The Netherlands). Four plants were planted in one rockwool mat with a plant density of 2.5 plants / m^2. From the start of the experiment, i.e. from the moment of planting of ~60-day-old tomato seedlings (January 2016), a set of 20 plants (5 rockwool mats) were treated by adding a mixture of 50 mL of the two candidate BCO (10^8 cells/mL each) to the rockwool mat daily for 10 days, while another set of 20 plants remained untreated. From day ten of the experiment, all 40 plants were artificially infected by applying a rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1 strain (isolate ST15.13/097) (50 mL of a suspension of 10^8 cells/mL) once a week for a total of six weeks to the rockwool mats. Plants were visually evaluated every two weeks for a total examination period of eight weeks (until 17 weeks after infection) for development of aberrant root formation. Plants were considered infected when visual HRD symptoms were confirmed by a positive qPCR analysis of the pathogen from investigated root material (Bosmans *et al.*, 2016a).

RESULTS

Antagonistic activity against rhizogenic agrobacteria

Out of 130 tested bacterial strains belonging to different phyla and different classes, *Paenibacillus* strain AD117 showed antibacterial activity against the tested rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* strain (ST15.13/097) (Table 1 and Table S1, Supporting Information). Additional screening of other *Paenibacillus* strains resulted in four additional antagonistic strains, including the type strain of *Paenibacillus xylanilyticus* (DSM17255^T) and three *Paenibacillus* strains that were not yet assigned to the species level (ST15.15/027, ST15.15/031 and ST15.15/032) (Table 2). Overall, for these strains the average diameter of the inhibition zones varied between 1.57 cm and 2.88 cm, with the largest zones of inhibition for strains AD117 (2.88 cm) and ST15.15/027 (2.79 cm) (Fig S1, Supporting Information). 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis using the EZTaxon database showed that the strains AD117, ST15.15/027, ST15.15/031 and ST15.15/032 had highest sequence homology with *Paenibacillus illinoisensis* (ST15.15/031 and ST15.15/032) and *P. xylanexedens* (AD117 and ST15.15/027) (Table 2). Examination of the growth characteristics of the five selected strains revealed highest growth rates for AD117, DSM17255^T and ST15.15/027, irrespective of the growth medium used (data not shown). Phylogenetic analysis with all validly named *Paenibacillus* species (163 species) revealed that these five strains clustered tightly with *P. illinoisensis*, *P. xylanilyticus*, *P. taichungensis*, *P. pabuli*, *P. tundra*, *P. tylopili* and *P. xylanexedens* (Fig. 1). When also the type strains of these species were subjected to the agar overlay assay, all strains demonstrated antagonistic activity, while strains that were less related to this cluster did not (Table 2).

Assessment of the spectrum of antagonistic activity of strains AD117, DSM15255^T, ST15.15/027, ST15.15/031 and ST15.15/032 revealed that three strains

(AD117, DSM17255^T and ST15.15/027) showed antagonistic activity against all rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1 strains (35) tested (Table 3). In contrast, the isolates corresponding to *P. illinoisensis*, ST15.15/031 and ST15.15/032, showed a different activity spectrum and were only able to inhibit the growth of 19 and 17 *Agrobacterium* biovar 1 strains, respectively (Table 3). Furthermore, strains AD117, DSM17255^T and ST15.15/027 were able to supress the growth of one or more rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 2 strains causing HRD on Rosaceae. Additionally, strain ST15.15/027 showed antagonistic activity against *Rhizobium vitis* LMG256, a plant pathogen causing crown gall of grapevine (Table 3).

Preliminary characterization of the antagonistic compound(s)

Based on the results described above (size of the zone of inhibition, spectrum of activity and general growth characteristics), both AD117 and ST15.15/027 were selected for further experiments to identify the active substances mediating the antagonistic effects observed. First, strains were evaluated for the production of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) with antagonistic activity against rhizogenic agrobacteria, but no VOC-dependent activity could be detected. In contrast, when the cell-free culture filtrates were tested, a dose-dependent growth inhibition of *Agrobacterium* was observed (Fig. 2), suggesting that the selected bacteria secrete water-soluble antibacterial compounds. HPLC fractionation of an extract from the agar cut from the inhibition zones in the agar overlay assay was performed and gave one fraction with antagonistic activity. For each isolate, mass spectrometry analysis of this HPLC fraction showed the presence of four specific peaks having a mass number of *m/z* = 463.2030, 477.1830, 504.2669 and 578.2324.

Greenhouse experiments

A mixture of AD117 and ST15.15/027 was evaluated for its biocontrol potential of rhizogenic agrobacteria in greenhouse conditions. To this end, two sets of 20 plants were scored weekly for development of excessive root formation. Nine weeks after artificial infection with *Agrobacterium*, the first symptoms of HRD were observed. After 17 weeks about 75% of all control plants artificially infected with *Agrobacterium* showed HRD. When plants were treated with a mixture of AD117 and ST15.15/027 incidence of HRD dropped to 45% (Fig. 3), suggesting high biocontrol potential of the used inoculum. Observation of HRD symptoms was always confirmed by a positive qPCR analysis targeting *Agrobacterium* biovar 1 DNA.

DISCUSSION

HRD caused by rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1 strains is an economically important disease in the hydroponic cultivation of cucurbits and tomato leading to significant losses in marketable yield. As different lineages of rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* strains are able to form biofilms in which they can be protected from chemical disinfectants (Bosmans *et al.,* 2015), or are able to tolerate high disinfectant concentrations (Bosmans *et al.,* 2016c) or even diverse antibiotics (Khodykina *et al.,* 2014), there is an urgent need for alternative, effective means to prevent and control the disease including the use of biocontrol organisms.

After an extensive evaluation of a diverse bacterial collection several *Paenibacillus* strains were found to have antagonistic activity against rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1 strains. Antagonistic strains included the type strain of *P. xylanilyticus* (DSM17255^{T}), two strains putatively identified as *P. illinoisensis* (ST15.15/031 and ST15.15/032) and two strains putatively identified as *P. xylanexedens*

(AD117 and ST15.15/027). *Paenibacillus* species have been isolated from various ecological habitats including soil, air, rhizosphere, and extreme environments such as floral plant nectar, warm water springs and glaciers (McSpadden Gardener, 2004; Jacquemyn *et al.,* 2013). The wide range of habitats from which the identified strains have been previously isolated include air (DSM17255^{T}), rhizosphere (AD117; de Ridder-Duine *et al.,* 2005), malting wheat kernels (ST15.15/031, ST15.15/032 (Malfliet *et al.,* 2013)), and oak bourbon casks used to age beer (ST15.15/027), which suggests that antagonistic activity against rhizogenic agrobacteria is not related to the original (natural) habitat of the strains, and that antagonistic activity is not dependent on a history of previous contact with the pathogen (see also Duffy *et al.,* 2003). However, positioning of these strains in a phylogenetic tree containing 16S rRNA gene sequences of the reference (type) strains of all validly named *Paenibacillus* species revealed that these five strains clustered tightly together with the type strains of *P. illinoisensis*, *P. xylanilyticus*, *P. taichungensis*, *P. pabuli*, *P. tundra*, *P. tylopili and P. xylanexedens*. Similar results were obtained when a phylogenetic analysis was performed using *rpoB* sequences (encoding the β subunit of the bacterial RNA polymerase) (although less sequences were available for type strains; data not shown), confirming their close phylogenetic relatedness. When also these strains were subjected to the agar overlay assay, they all exhibited antagonistic activity against rhizogenic agrobacteria, suggesting phylogenetic conservation in antagonistic activity. Also other studies have reported on a correlation between antimicrobial activity and phylogeny. For example, Satheeja and Jebakumar (2011) showed that the antimicrobial activities of *Streptomyces* isolates were linked to their phylogenetic position. Likewise, Wilson *et al.* (2010) found a correlation between the antimicrobial activities of marine bacteria and the phylogeny of the isolates investigated. Several studies have shown antagonistic properties of

Paenibacillus species or demonstrated their potential as biocontrol agents to control plant diseases caused by bacteria, fungi and oomycetes (Tjamos *et al.,* 2004; Jung *et al.,* 2005; Haggag and Timmusk, 2008; Timmusk *et al.,* 2009; Algam *et al.,* 2010; Sato *et al.,* 2014). However, to the best of our knowledge, our study is the first in which a correlation was found between a distinct phylogenetic clade and antagonistic activity against a particular bacterial pathogen. All antagonistic strains were found to have the following 16S rRNA gene signature sequence differentiating antagonistic from non-antagonistic strains: 5'-TTGGGACAACTACCGGAAACGGTAGCTAATACCGAATA-3'.

Strikingly, differences were observed between the activity spectrum of the phylogenetically-clustered antagonistic *Paenibacillus* strains. More particularly, while isolates AD117, DSM17255^{T} and ST15.15/027 showed antagonistic activity against all rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1 isolates tested (35 isolates), the two isolates identified as *P. illinoisensis,* (ST15.15/031 and ST15.15/032) showed a different activity spectrum inhibiting the growth of different *Agrobacterium* strains and were only antagonistic against part (approximately 50%) of the strains tested. Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1 comprises a group of different genetic lineages exhibiting substantial genetic diversity (Bosmans *et al.,* 2015). Nevertheless, no correlation could be found between the genetic background of the tested *Agrobacterium* strains and their vulnerability/resistance to these *Paenibacillus* strains. This also suggests that different modes of action are at play explaining antagonistic activity against rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1. Interestingly, strains AD117, DSM17255^{T} and ST15.15/027 were also able to supress the growth of one or more rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 2 strains, causing HRD on other crops such as Rosaceae (Cervera *et al.,* 1998). Additionally, strain ST15.15/027 showed antagonistic activity against *Rhizobium vitis*.

Preliminary characterization of the antagonistic compounds of AD117 and ST15.15/027 revealed that the compounds are water-soluble molecules of low molecular weight (<600 Da). There also seems to be an important role of Ca^{2+} to produce and/or secrete potential toxins/antibiotics against rhizogenic agrobacteria (Bosmans *et al.*, 2016c). Further research, however, is necessary to structurally identify and characterize these compounds. The fact that they are water-soluble opens perspectives towards their application and efficacy in hydroponic systems. Indeed, when the paenibacilli were evaluated in a commercial hydroponic tomato production system, a significant reduction in incidence of HRD (45% versus 75% for the control treatment) was obtained when plants were evaluated over a period of about 4 months. Although these results are highly promising, it can be assumed that biocontrol efficacy can even be enhanced by frequent application of the BCO.

Altogether, we have shown that *Paenibacillus* holds great potential to control HRD. Furthermore, we have shown that its antagonistic activity against rhizogenic agrobacteria is related with the phylogeny of the *Paenibacillus* strains, but not with the phylogeny of the agrobacteria. Together with its plant-growth promoting traits (Lamsal *et al.*, 2013), this makes *Paenibacillus* an excellent candidate for practical applications in the hydroponic cultivation of cucurbits and tomato crops.

Acknowledgements

We thank VLAIO (Flanders Innovation & Entrepreneurship) to support this research (project IWT-LA: 120761).

Conflict of Interest

No conflict of interest declared.

REFERENCES

Algam, S. A. E., Xie, G., Li, B., Yu, S., Su, T. and Larsen, J. (2010). Effects of *Paenibacillus* strains and chitosan on plant growth promotion and control of Ralstonia wilt in tomato. *J. Plant. Pathol.* 92, 593-600.

Bosmans, L., Álvarez-Pérez, S., Moerkens, R., Wittemans, L., Van Calenberge, B., Van Kerckhove, S., Paeleman, A., De Mot, R., Rediers, H. and Lievens, B. (2015). Assessment of the genetic and phenotypic diversity among rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1 strains infecting solanaceous and cucurbit crops. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 91, doi: 10.1093/femsec/fiv081.

Bosmans, L., Paeleman, A., Moerkens, R., Wittemans, L., Van Calenberge, B., Van Kerckhove, S., De Mot, R., Rediers, H. and Lievens, B. (2016a). Development of a qPCR assay for detection and quantification of rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1 strains. *Eur. J. Plant. Pathol.*, doi: 10.1007/s10658-016-0861-6.

Bosmans, L., De Bruijn, I., De Mot, R., Rediers, H. and Lievens, B. (2016b). Agar composition affects in vitro screening of biocontrol activity of antagonistic microorganisms. *J. Microbiol. Meth.* 127, 7-9.

Bosmans, L., Van Calenberge, B., Paeleman, A., Moerkens, R., Wittemans L., Van Kerckhove, S., De Mot, R., Lievens, B. and Rediers, H. (2016c). Efficacy of hydrogen peroxide treatment for control of hairy roots disease caused by rhizogenic agrobacteria. *J. Appl. Microbiol.*, doi: 10.1111/jam.13187.

Cervera, M., López, M. M., Navarro, L. and Peña, L. (1998). Virulence and supervirulence of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* in woody fruit plants. *Physiol. Mol. Plant. Pathol.* 52, 67-78.

Damstra, T. (2002). Potential effects of certain persistent organic pollutants and endocrine disrupting chemicals on the health of children. *J. Toxicol.: Clin. Toxicol.* 40, 457-465.

Danhorn, T. and Fuqua, C. (2007). Biofilm formation by plant-associated bacteria. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol*. 61, 401-422.

De Ridder-Duine, A. S., Kowalchuk, G. A., Klein Gunnewiek, P. J. A., Smant, W., van Veen, J. A. and De Boer, W. (2005). Rhizosphere bacterial community composition in natural stands of *Carex arenaria* (sand sedge) is determined by bulk soil community composition. *Soil Biol. Biochem*. 37, 349–357.

Duffy, B., Schouten, A. and Raaijmakers, J. M. (2003). Pathogen self-defense: mechanisms to counteract microbial antagonism. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 41, 501-538.

Gelvin, SB. (2003). *Agrobacterium*-mediated plant transformation: the biology behind the 'gene-jockeying' tool. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 67, 16–37.

Haggag, W. M. and Timmusk, S. (2008). Colonization of peanut roots by biofilm-forming *Paenibacillus polymyxa* initiates biocontrol against crown rot disease. *J Appl. Microbiol*. 104, 961-969.

Hooykaas, P.J.J and Beijersbergen, A.G. (1994). The virulence system of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 32, 157– 181.

Jacquemyn, H., Lenaerts, M., Tyteca, D. and Lievens, B. (2013). Microbial diversity in the floral nectar of seven *Epipactis* (Orchidaceae) species. *Microbiology Open* 2, 644–658.

Jung, W. J., Jin, Y. L., Kim, K. Y., Park, R. D. and Kim, T. H. (2005). Changes in pathogenesis-related proteins in pepper plants with regard to biological control of phytophthora blight with *Paenibacillus illinoisensis*. *Biol. Control* 50, 165-178.

Khodykina, M. V., Polityko, V. A., Kyrova, E. I., Krutyakov, Yu. A., Zherebin, P. M. and Ignatov, A. N. (2014). Antibacterial activity of antibiotics combined with silver agent "Zeroks' against causing agents of bacterial plant diseases. *Potato Protection* 2, 83–86.

Lamsal, K., Kim, S. W., Kim, Y. S. and Lee, Y. S. (2013). Biocontrol of late blight and plant growth promotion in tomato using rhizobacterial isolates. *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 23, 897-904.

Ludeking, D., Hamelink, R., Wubben, J. P., Wubben, J. and Schenk, M. F. (2013). Aanpak van overmatige wortelgroei in vruchtgroentegewassen. *Wageningen UR Glastuinbouw* 1244, 34.

Malfliet, S., Justé, A., Crauwels, S., Willems, K. A., De Cooman, L., Lievens, B. and Aerts, G. (2013). Assessing the xylanolytic bacterial diversity during the malting process. *Food Microbiol*. 36, 406-415.

McSpadden Gardener, B. B. (2004). Ecology of *Bacillus* and *Paenibacillus* spp. in agricultural systems. *Phytopathology*. 94, 1252-1258.

Raaijmakers, J. M., Vlami, M. and De Souza, J. T. (2002). Antibiotic production by bacterial biocontrol agents. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* 81, 537-547.

Sato, I., Yoshida, S., Iwamoto, Y., Aino, M., Hyakumachi, M., Shimizu, M. and Tsushima, S. (2014). Suppressive potential of *Paenibacillus* strains isolated from the tomato phyllosphere against Fusarium crown and root rot of tomato. *Microbes Environ.* 29, 168-177.

Satheeja, S. V. and Jebakumar, S. R. (2011). Phylogenetic analysis and antimicrobial activities of *Streptomyces* isolates from mangrove sediment. *J. Basic Microbiol.* 51, 71-79.

Sharma, R. R., Singh, D. and Singh, R. (2009). Biological control of postharvest diseases of fruits and vegetables by microbial antagonists: A review. *Biol. Control* 50, 205-220.

Rubino, F. M., Mandic-Rajcevic, S., Mrema, E. J. and Colosio, C. (2013). Principles and Application of the Integrated Pest Management Approach. Biological Pesticides. In *Environmental Security Assessment and Management of Obsolete Pesticides in Southeast Europe*. Springer Netherlands.

Tamura, K., Peterson, D., Peterson, N., Stecher, G. and Nei, M. (2011). MEGA5: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 28, 2731–2739.

Timmusk, S., Van West, P., Gow, N. A. R. and Paul Huffstutler, R. (2009). *Paenibacillus polymyxa* antagonizes oomycete plant pathogens *Phytophthora palmivora* and *Pythium aphanidermatum*. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 106, 1473-1481.

Tjamos, E. C., Tsitsigiannis, D. I., Tjamos, S. E., Antoniou, P. P. and Katinakis, P. (2004). Selection and screening of endorhizosphere bacteria from solarized soils as biocontrol agents against *Verticillium dahliae* of solanaceous hosts. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* 110, 35-44.

Tyc, O., van den Berg, M., Gerards, S., van Veen, J. A., Raaijmakers, J. M., De Boer, W. and Garbeva, P. (2014). Impact of interspecific interactions on antagonistic activity among soil bacteria. *Front. Microbiol*. 5, 567.

Weller, S. A., Stead, D. E. and Young, J. P. W. (2006). Recurrent outbreaks of root mat in cucumber and tomato are associated with a monomorphic, cucumopine, Ri-plasmid harboured by various Alphaproteobacteria. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 258,136–143.

Wilson, G. S., Raftos, D. A., Corrigan, S. L. and Nair, S. V. (2010). Diversity and antimicrobial activities of surface-attached marine bacteria from Sydney Harbour, Australia. *Microbiol. Res.* 165, 300-311.

FIGURES LEGENDS

Figure 1. Phylogenetic positioning of *Paenibacillus* strains showing antagonistic activity against rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1 strains. A maximum likelihood (ML) tree was constructed based on 16S rRNA gene sequences (1390 bp) for all reference (type) strains of all *Paenibacillus* species (EZtaxon) currently described (163 species) and all other *Paenibacillus* strains included in this study (Table 2). Only members of a tight cluster of *Paenibacillus* strains were found to have antagonistic activity against rhizogenic agrobacteria, while strains that were less related to this cluster were not antagonistic. *Paenibacillus* strains that were tested for antagonistic activity against *Agrobacterium* biovar 1 (isolate ST15.13/097) are marked with a green or red dot, representing antagonistic or no antagonistic strains, respectively. Strains without coloured dots were not tested for antagonistic activity against *Agrobacterium* biovar 1. Major bootstrap values (> 85 %; 1000 replications) are shown at the nodes of the tree.

Figure 2. Antagonistic activity of cell-free culture filtrates of selected *Paenibacillus* strains (AD117 and ST15.15/027) grown in LB against rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1 (isolate ST15.13/097). *Paenibacillus* cultures of 10^4 cells per mL were filter-sterilized and 100 µL (blue), 150 µL (red) and 190 µL (green) of the cell-free filtrates were added to 100, 50 and 10 µL *Agrobacterium*-containing LB (final concentration of 5 x 10^2 cells per mL), respectively. The yellow bar represents the control treatment (200 µL LB medium; no culture filtrate). Bacterial growth ((OD_{600}) was measured after 24 h of incubation at 25 °C. Presented data are means of two independent experiments (two replicates per experiment) and error bars represent standard error of the mean. The asterisk indicates a statistically significant difference (Student t-test) with the control ($P < 0.05$).

Figure 3. Biocontrol activity of a mixture of *Paenibacillus* strains (AD117 and ST15.15/027) against rhizogenic agrobacteria causing HRD (isolate ST15.13/097) in

greenhouse conditions. Incidence of HRD (calculated as the ratio of infected tomato plants) is plotted in function of time (weeks after initial infection with *Agrobacterium*): red, control plants (n=20); green, plants treated with the BCO mixture (n=20). Since day 10 of the experiment, all hydroponically grown plants were weekly infected with *Agrobacterium* (isolate ST15.13/097) for six weeks in total. Plants were visually evaluated every two weeks for development of excessive root formation. Observation of symptoms was confirmed by a positive qPCR analysis specifically targeting *Agrobacterium* biovar 1 DNA.

TABLES

Table 1. Overview of antagonistic activity screening of 130 bacterial soil isolates[a] against rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1 (strain ST15.13/097)[b]. For more details, the reader is referred to Table S1 (Supporting Information).

Phylum / Class	Number of strains tested	Strains with antagonistic activity
Actinobacteria		
Actinobacteria	9	0
Bacteroidetes		
Flavobacteria	15	0
Sphingobacteria	1	0
Firmicutes		
Bacilli	7	1[c]
Proteobacteria		
Alpha-proteobacteria	12	0
Beta-proteobacteria	61	0
Gamma-proteobacteria	25	0
Total	130	1

[a]The collection consisted of 130 isolates from soil habitats (de Ridder-Duine *et al.,* 2005) and has previously been evaluated for antagonistic activity against *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* (Tyc *et al.,* 2014).

[b]Antagonistic activity was evaluated using the agar overlay assay (Bosmans *et al.,* 2016b). The strain with antagonistic activity produced a clear zone of inhibition where *Agrobacterium* growth was inhibited.

598 [c]*Paenibacillus* sp. AD117.

599

600 **Table 2.** Antagonistic activity[a] of diverse *Paenibacillus* strains against rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1 (strain ST15.13/097).

Isolate[b]	***Paenibacillus***	**Antagonistic activity**
DSM5050^T	*Paenibacillus alginolyticus*	-
DSM15478	*Paenibacillus barcinonensis*	+
DSM13188^T	*Paenibacillus borealis*	-
DSM17253^T	*Paenibacillus favisporus*	-
DSM22343^T	*Paenibacillus glacialis*	-
LMG12239^T	*Paenibacillus glucanolyticus*	-
DSM17608^T	*Paenibacillus glycanilyticus*	-
DSM15220^T	*Paenibacillus graminis*	-
LMG23886^T	*Paenibacillus humicus*	-
DSM13815^T	*Paenibacillus jamilae*	-
DSM7030	*Paenibacillus larvae*	-
LMG6324^T	*Paenibacillus macerans*	-
LMG6935^T	*Paenibacillus macquariensis*	-
LMG15970	*Paenibacillus pabuli*	+
ST15.15/027	*Paenibacillus* sp.[c]	+
ST15.15/031	*Paenibacillus* sp.[d]	+
ST15.15/032	*Paenibacillus* sp.[e]	+
AD117	*Paenibacillus* sp.[f]	+
DSM19942	*Paenibacillus taichungensis*	+
DSM7262^T	*Paenibacillus thiaminolyticus*	-
DSM21291	*Paenibacillus tundrae*	+

DSM18927	*Paenibacillus tylopili*	+
LMG9817^{T}	*Paenibacillus validus*	-
DSM16970^{T}	*Paenibacillus xinjiangensis*	-
DSM17255	*Paenibacillus xylanilyticus*	+

[a]Antagonistic activity was evaluated using the agar overlay assay (Bosmans *et al.*, 2016b). Strains with antagonistic activity produced a clear zone of inhibition where *Agrobacterium* growth was inhibited (+). -, no inhibition zone observed.

[b]AD, NIOO culture collection, Wageningen, The Netherlands; DSM, Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen, Braunschweig, Germany; LMG, Laboratory of Microbiology, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium; ST, PME&BIM culture collection, Sint-Katelijne Waver, Belgium.

[c]rRNA gene analysis (1390 bp) using EzTaxon revealed highest sequence identity (99.65%) with *Paenibacillus xylanexedens* DSM21292^{T} (GenBank Accession N° EU558281).

[d]rRNA gene analysis (1390 bp) using EzTaxon revealed highest sequence identity (99.88%) with *Paenibacillus illinoisensis* NBRC15959^{T} (GenBank Accession N° AB681007).

[e]rRNA gene analysis (1390 bp) using EzTaxon revealed highest sequence identity (99.72%) with *Paenibacillus illinoisensis* NBRC15959^{T} (GenBank Accession N° AB681007).

[f]rRNA gene analysis (1390 bp) using EzTaxon revealed highest sequence identity (99.85%) with *Paenibacillus xylanexedens* DSM21292^{T} (GenBank Accession N° EU558281).

615 **Table 3.** Activity spectrum of selected *Paenibacillus* strains[a].

Phylum / Class	Species	Isolate[b]	Antagonistic activity				
			AD117	ST15.15/027	DSM17255	ST15.15/031	ST15.15/032
Actinobacteria							
Actinobacteria	*Mycobacterium peregrinum*	LMG19256	-	-	-	-	-
Bacteroidetes							
Flavobacteria	*Flavobacterium breve*	ST01.08/026	-	-	-	-	-
Firmicutes							
Bacilli	*Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*	ST12.14/143	-	-	-	-	-
	Bacillus bataviensis	EMI_2_2	-	-	-	-	-
	Bacillus endophyticus	EMI_1_27	-	-	-	-	-
	Bacillus megaterium	EMI_2_14	-	-	-	-	-
	Bacillus muralis	EMI_1_24	-	-	-	-	-
	Bacillus pumilus	ST12.14/241	-	-	-	-	-
	Bacillus subtilis	ST01.08/012	-	-	-	-	-
	Bacillus thuringiensis	ST12.14/323	-	-	-	-	-
	Staphylococcus aureus	ST01.08/020	-	-	-	-	-
Proteobacteria							
Alpha-proteobacteria	*Agrobacterium tumefaciens*	LMG187	-	-	-	-	-
	Rhizobium larrymoorei	LMG21410	-	-	-	-	-
	Rhizobium meliloti	LMG4290	-	-	-	-	-
	Rhizobium rubi	LMG294	-	-	-	-	-
	Rhizobium vitis	LMG256	-	+	-	-	-
	Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1 **O**[c]	MAFF106580	+	+	+	-	+

Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1	O	MAFF106587	+	+	+	-	+
Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1	O	MAFF301724	+	+	+	-	+
Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1	O	MAFF210265	+	+	+	-	-
Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1	O	MAFF210268	+	+	+	+	+
Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1	O	NCPPB2655	+	+	+	-	-
Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1	O	NCPPB2656	+	+	+	+	+
Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1	O	NCPPB2659	+	+	+	+	+
Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1	O	NCPPB2660	+	+	+	-	-
Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1	O	NCPPB4043	+	+	+	-	-
Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1	O	NCPPB4042	+	+	+	-	-
Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1	O	ST15.13/001	+	+	+	+	+
Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1	O	ST15.13/006	+	+	+	-	-
Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1	O	ST15.13/007	+	+	+	-	-
Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1	O	ST15.13/012	+	+	+	+	+
Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1	O	ST15.13/013	+	+	+	+	-
Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1	O	ST15.13/039	+	+	+	+	+
Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1	O	ST15.13/040	+	+	+	+	-
Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1	O	ST15.13/042	+	+	+	+	-
Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1	O	ST15.13/046	+	+	+	+	+
Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1	O	ST15.13/048	+	+	+	+	+
Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1	O	ST15.13/054	+	+	+	+	+
Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1	O	ST15.13/056	+	+	+	+	+
Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1	O	ST15.13/057	+	+	+	+	-
Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1	O	ST15.13/059	+	+	+	-	-
Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1	O	ST15.13/060	+	+	+	+	+

	Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1	**O**	ST15.13/064	+	+	+	+	+
	Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1	**O**	ST15.13/077	+	+	+	+	+
	Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1	**O**	ST15.13/090	+	+	+	-	-
	Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1	**O**	ST15.13/091	+	+	+	-	-
	Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1	**O**	ST15.13/095	+	+	+	-	+
	Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1	**O**	ST15.13/097	+	+	+	-	-
	Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1	**O**	ST15.13/098	+	+	+	-	-
	Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1	**O**	NCPPB4062	+	+	+	+	-
	Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 1	**O**	ST15.13/045	+	+	+	+	-
	Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 2		NCPPB2991	+	+	+	-	-
	Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 2		LMG150	-	-	-	-	-
	Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 2		NCPPB2303	-	-	-	-	-
	Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 2		LMG149	-	-	-	-	-
	Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 2		LMG138	+	+	-	-	-
	Rhizogenic *Agrobacterium* biovar 2		ST15.13/027	-	-	-	-	-
Beta-proteobacteria	*Burkholderia bryophila*		ST15.15/021	-	-	-	-	-
	Burkholderia insulsa		ST15.15/014	-	-	-	-	-
	Collimonas arenae		ST15.15/017	-	-	-	-	-
	Collimonas fungivorans		ST15.15/016	-	-	-	-	-
	Collimonas pratensis		ST15.15/019	-	-	-	-	-
	Janthinobacterium lividum		ST15.15/039	-	-	-	-	-
Gamma-proteobacteria	*Escherichia coli*		ST08.12/001	-	-	-	-	-
	Pseudomonas aeruginosa		ST01.08/008	-	-	-	-	-
	Pseudomonas fluorescens		ST12.14/123	-	-	-	-	-
	Pseudomonas lurida		EPU_2_30	-	-	-	-	-

Pseudomonas orientalis	ST12.14/122	-	-	-	-	-
Pseudomonas plecoglossicida	ST12.14/336	-	-	-	-	-
Pseudomonas poae	9.1.2-B1	-	-	-	-	-
Pseudomonas putida	ST12.14/260	-	-	-	-	-
Pseudomonas veronii	EHE_1_3	-	-	-	-	-

[a]Antagonistic activity was evaluated using the agar overlay assay (Bosmans *et al.*, 2016b). Antagonistic effects were observed as a clear zone of inhibition where growth of the tested bacterium was inhibited (+). -, no inhibition zone observed.

[b]AD, NIOO culture collection, Wageningen, The Netherlands; LMG, Laboratory of Microbiology, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium; MAFF, NIAS Genebank (National Institute of Agrobiological Sciences), Ibaraki, Japan; NCPPB, National Collection of Plant Pathogenic Bacteria, York, UK; EMI, EPU, EHE and ST, PME&BIM culture collection, Sint-Katelijne Waver, Belgium.

[c]*Agrobacterium* biovar 1 strains isolated from Cucurbitaceae (melon, cucumber) and Solanaceae (tomato crops) (for more information, see Bosmans *et al.*, 2015) are indicated by green and red circles, respectively.

Figure 1.JPEG



Figure 2.JPEG



Figure 3.JPEG